MARĀŢHĀ HISTORY

RE-EXAMINED

[1295-1707]

S. R. SHARMA, M.A.

Professor of History, Fergusson College, Poona
Author of "Mughal Empire in India," "The Crescent in India,"
and 'A Brief Survey of Human History."



KARNATAK PUBLISHING HOUSL

'The real student of mank...u treats no standpoint as absolutely right or absolutely wrong"

OSWALD SPENGLER

Printed by M. N. Kulkarin it the Karnatak Printing Press, Chira Barar, Bombay and published by him at the Kainatak Publishing House, Chira Barar, Bombay 2

PREFACE

Why I have attempted this re-examination of Marāthā History I have explained in the Introduction How is, no doubt, a matter for the Reader to judge. The subject is both vast and bristling with controversies. I claim no infallibility for either my conclusions or my authorities. I am open to correction

I am indebted to Rev Irineu Lobo, SI, for the citations from Professor Pissurlencar's Portuguesa e Maratas, as well as for his genial visits during the arduous moments of my writing, to Professor R V Oturkar, MA, for his robust criticism which was helpful even when I was incorrigible, and to my colleague Mr K G Nitsure, MA, who has materially shared my labours throughout—and more particularly in the preparation of the Bibliography and the Index

To the venerable Rao Bahadur G S Sardesai, BA, I owe much inspiration and help with books and discussions, especially on the pre-Sivājī period

Great as my obligations are to all these and several other friends who patiently criticised portions of my MS 1 own the fullest responsibility for all my views and especially my errors of commission and omission

Poona, October 1944

S R S.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER

I THE BACKGROUND

II THE TUTELAGE

III THE PIONEERS

IV THE INSPIRATION

V THE GRAND STRATEGIST

VI THE CHHATRAPATI

VII THE PATRIMONY

VIII THE SEA FRONT

IX THE CRISIS

X. THE ACHIEVEMENT

EPILOGUE

NOTES AND REFERENCES

BIBLIOGRAPHY

INDEX

INTRODUCTION

No apology is needed to introduce a re-examination of Marāṭhā history which, it will be admitted, has been long I wish, however, that the task had been attempted overdue by some one more competent or better qualified than myself to undertake it "The Mahrattas were once a mighty nation", wrote Edward Scott Waring in 1810, "how they rose and how they fell may surely challenge enquiry" Nearly twenty years before Waring's History of the Mahrattas appeared the subject had attracted the attention of a German professor of Halle University who published his now little known Geschichte der Maratten, as early as 1791, for the edification of his European contemporaries The writer himself admitted that he could not vouch for the authenticity of the earlier parts of his fantastic work, but that he had compiled it from such accounts as were available to him in the several European languages It comprised 288 octavo pages and also contained a map prepared by Forster in 1786 The book closes with 'the peace with England of 17th May, 1782' The author never visited India and the work has little value to-day except as a rare specimen of the first European account of the Marāthās full of quaint errors

The next in point of interest is the better known work of Edward Scott Waring, published in London in 1810. The author was for seven years attached to the English embassy at Poona and had greater opportunities of gaining information upon many points than usually fall to the lot of other persons. "I state this," he records in his Preface, "to excuse the presumption of my undertaking, aware that I expose myself to the charge of having trifled with my time, and of having lost opportunities not to be recovered." Modestly conscious of his limitations, "yet, without arrogance," he adds, "I may assume the merit of having been the first to present the reader

with a connected history of the Mahrattas, derived from original sources, and sources till lately not known to have existed I am aware that some portions of Mahratta history are before the Public, none, however, derived from their own annals, and consequently neither so copious nor so authentic. He particularly assumes merit 'of having considered his subject most fully, and of having spared no pains to procure every possible record that could add greater interest to his work, or justify the favourable opinion of his friends. His appraisal of the comparative merits and demerits of the Persian and Marāṭhī source materials is worthy of special attention.

Regarding the former, he writes, "None, so far as I can judge, can be more fallacious, or can less requite the diligence of patient investigation Ferishta, who composed a general history of India, as well as a particular history of the Deccan, is almost the only historian who merits the praise of impartiality and accuracy He died before the era of Manratta independence, and his mantle has not fallen upon any of his brethren The Mooslims, of course, view with animosity and anguish, the progress the Mahrattas have made in the conquest of their fairest provinces, and which of late years must have been aggravated by the bondage of their king, the unfortunate representative of the house of Timoor From such persons little that was favourable to the Mahratta character could be expected The facts they give are garbled and perverted, while the slightest circumstance against them is scize? upon, and extended to an immeasurable length. Their style is also a subject of just reprehension Their forced and unnatural images, their swelling cadences and modulated phraseo logy, are as disgusting to a discriminating taste, as they must be inimical to historical truth. For in a history composed in verse, something will be sacrificed to measure, and much to rhythm Although the Persian histories be not written in verse, yet they partake of all its faults. They abound in quaint similes and forced antithesis, while the redundancy of their epithets distract and bewilder attention. If this judgment to the Persian scholar seem harsh, I refer him to the history

of the late Nizam of the Deccan, or, if he object, to the undisputed master of this prurient style, the celebrated Abul Fazil"

One may not quite fall in with this criticism in toto, but it is certainly a welcome corrective to the evaggerated importance that is attached by some latter day scholars to the sanctity of the Persian authorities. Apart from the linguistic features, the Muslim accounts may not be considered more reliable or authentic simply because they contradict the native sources. There is much truth in Waring's warning that from such persons little that was favourable to the Marātnā character could be expected. 'The facts they give are garbled and perverted, while the slightest circumstance against them is seized upon, and extended to an immeasurable length'

On the contrary, "Not so the Mahratta histories", states "Their historians (some will deny them the name) write in a plain, simple and unaffected style, content to relate passing events in apposite terms, without seeking turgid imagery or inflated phraseology Excepting in the letter addressed to the Peshwa, by the great Mulhar Rao Holkar, no attempt is made to make the worse appear the better reason Victory and defeat are briefly related, if they pass over the latter too hastily, they do not dwell upon the former with unnecessary They do not endeavour to bias or mislead the minuteness judgment, but are certainly deficient in chronology and in historical reflections Whether I have done justice to their works I am at a loss to determine, aware of my own incompetency, and not ignorant of the deficiency of my materials" The frankness and modesty of Waring are worthy of emulation, though we may not accept all his conclusions

The premier historian of the Marāthās in English, though not on that account unchallengeable, has been and still is, James Cunningham Grant Duff He was captain of the Native Infantry of Bombay and Political Agent at Satara (1806-22) The first edition of his well-known *History of the Mahrattas* was published in London in 1826 (in 3 vols) In its latest form (1921) it has been resurrected in two volumes edited by

S M Edwardes with an interesting 'Memoir of the Author' and a leained Introduction

'The want of a complete history of the rise, progress, and decline of our immediate predecessors in conquest, the Mahrattas," writes Grant Duff, "has been long felt by all persons conversant with the affairs of India, in so much, that it is very generally acknowledged, we cannot fully understand the means by which our own vast empire in that quarter was acquired, until this desideratum be supplied"

Aware of the difficulties and shortcomings of the indefatigable Oime and the pioneer Scott Waring, Grant Duff honestly strove ('working twelve and fourteen hours daily without intermission subject to very serious headaches, which at last became very agonising, returning every fifth day, and lasting from six to sixteen hours at a time, requiring me to work with wet cloths girt about my head') to make good their deficiencies, with what result modern scholars best know

"Circumstances placed me", he says in his Preface to the first volume of the original edition, "in situations which at once removed many of the obstacles which those gentlemen (Orme and Waring) encountered, and thiew materials within my reach which had been previously inaccessible." Nevertheless, he confesses his initial lack of education and heavy preoccupations with civil and military duties, "ill-calculated for preparing us for the task of historians." But it must be admitted that Grant Duff, by his indefatigable labours provided for all his successors a solid bedrock and starting point in the writing of a history of the Marāṭhā people

He has no doubt provoked much criticism—not undeservedly—, but his very shortcomings and errors provided hot incentives to further efforts by the natives in re-writing their own history more correctly. To be fair to Grant Duff his critics would do well to remember his frank attitude expressed in these unmistakable words. "There being differences of opinion as to whether the writer of history should draw his own conclusions, or leave the reader reflect for himself, I may expect censure or approbation according to the taste of parties

I have never spared my sentiments when it became my duty to offer them, but I have certainly rather endeavoured to supply facts than to obtrude my own commentaries, and though I am well aware that, to gain confidence with the one half of the world, one has only to assume it, I trust that I shall not have the less credit with the other for frankly acknowledging a distrust in myself"

Besides, he has also stated "in such a work many errors must exist, of these, I can only say, I shall feel obliged to any person who, after due consideration and inquiry, will have the goodness, publicly or privately, to point them out" can deny that this has been too well done by readers of Grant Duff for over a century since 'Your difficulty, and yet what none but you could accomplish,' wrote Montstuart Elphinstone to him, 'was to get at facts and to combine them with judgment so as to make a consistent and rational history out of a mass of gossiping Bukkurs and gasconading Tawareekhs' also suggested 'I think, however, you should have introduced more of the manners of the Mahrattas as they now stand, and it may be a question whether that does not come more naturally when you reach the present period, but, on the whole I think that, as you are writing for Europe, you should make people acquainted with your actors before you begin your play' Grant Duff appears to have acted on this hint somewhat in his 'Preliminary observations respecting the Geography, Chief Features, Climate, People, Religion, Learning, Early History, and Institutions of the Mahratta Country'. and these have been supplemented and improved upon by his latest editor in his Introduction Whether or not Grant Duff's History of the Mahrattas 'takes its place in the very first rank of historical compositions', it has been considered important enough to be translated into Marāthī, quoted and criticised during a whole century Though some of his details and conclusions have been criticised and corrected, the work as a whole is yet to be superseded effectively, despite the researches and writings of generations of scholars

Mahadev Govind Ranade's Rise of the Maratha Power,

published three quarters of a century later, in 1901, not only marked the next inilestone in Maratha historiography, but also emphasised a new approach and outlook regarding the subject It clearly indicated that no foreigner, however diligent or honest, could correctly gauge or interpret the true character or significance of historical movements. Grant Duff had no doubt sensed the importance of 'a very extraordinary power. the history of which was only known in a very superficial manner', but he could not adequately understand or assess its spirit as Ranade could From this point of view, even Indian scholars of great reputation hailing from other parts of India and drawing their inspirations from tainted sources have sadly missed the real import and correct significance of the rise of the Marāthā power There cannot indeed be any true insight without sympathy Ranade may not have been right in all his conclusions, but his main contribution consisted in emphasising an approach and view-point. The rise of the Maratha power, he pointed out, 'was not a mere accident due to any chance combination, but a genuine effort on the part of a Hindu nationality to assert its independence', and that 'the success it achieved was due to a general upheaval, social, religious, and political of all classes of the population' There are many, he writes, 'who think that there can be no particular moral significance in the story of the rise and fall of a freebooting Power, which thrived by plunder and adventure, and succeeded only because it was the most cunning and adventurous among all those who helped to dismember the great Moghul Empire after the death of Aurangzeb This is a very common feeling with the readers, who derive their knowledge of these events solely from the works of English historians Even Mr Grant Duff has given his support to the view that "the turbulent predatory spirit of the Hindus of Mahāiāshtra, though smothered for a time, had its latent embers stirred by the contentions of their Mahomedan Conquerors, till, like the parched grass kindled amid the forests of the Sahyadri mountains, they burst forth in spreading flame, and men afar off wondered at the conflagration" If this view of the historian

be correct, it may fairly be urged that there is nothing in the narrative which can be described as having a moral significance useful for all time The sequel of this narrative will, however, it is hoped, furnish grounds which will lead the historical student of Modern India to the conclusion that such a view is inconsistent with facts, and that the mistake is of a sort which renders the whole story unintelligible' Without repeating all his arguments, I feel no hesitation in expressing my complete agreement with his main contention that "Freebooters and adventurers never succeed in building up empires which last for generations and permanently alter the political map of a great Continent" One cannot help regretting that Ranade's contemplated "second volume" of which manuscript notes were nearly ready should have for ever remained un-Nevertheless, his General Introduction to Shahu Chhatrapati and the Peshwas' Diaries is a very valuable sequel indicating the sound principles of his treatment

A History of the Maratha People by C A Kincaid and D B Parasnis, first published in three volumes (1918, 1922, and 1925), has since been brought out in a single volume (1931) The work, despite the linking together of the two names, bears unmistakable testimony to Mr Kincaid's individual authorship, though Parasnis must have supplied him the materials This is the meaning of the acknowledgment "Foi twelve years we had been closely associated in the creation of Mr Kincaid, it must be frankly stated, is a story-writer-not a historian His second chapter on 'The Pandharpur Movement, 1271-1640', is typical of his method, he hardly misses an opportunity to intersperse his narrative with childish anecdotes which needlessly undermine the standard of the book as a serious study of Marāthā History Dennis Kincaid's The Grand Rebel, which is admittedly 'An Impression of Shivaji, Founder of the Maratha Empire' (1937) is, within its scope and purpose, a much better representation in a fascinating style of his important theme brilliant sketch of Śivājī---" the founder of the Maratha state whose memory inspired the rise of modern Hindu Nationalism,

a man for whom a majority of Hindus entertain much the same sentiment as the Germans for Frederick the Second and the Italians for Garibaldi, and whom the Marathas adore as more than human"—is at once more artistic in its sense of proportion as well as sense of history His picture of the Marāthās presented in his 'Prologue', conveys a truer and more sympathetic impression of the people than is contained m more learned treatises lacking the poetic insight of Dennis Kincaid As he has neatly put it in his 'Preface' 'Most English people have heard of the Moguls as almost the traditional pre-British rulers of India They then find it puzzling that the earlier heroes of Anglo-Indian biography apparently never oppose any Moguls but are constantly in difficulties with Such of their chiefs who were so unfortuthe Marathas nate as to oppose Anglo-Indian celebrities are generally reprobated as rebels, their names, which Victorian writers made earnest but incorrect attempts to spell, provide an easy target for such sprightly historians of to-day as Mr Guedella, who are entertained by the un-English sound of them But as at school one's curiosity was often piqued less by the inevitable Romans than by their unsuccessful opponents, many people must have vaguely wondered about these Marathas, the rise of whose power was exactly contemporaneous with the appearance of the English in India, who destroyed the Mogul Empire and disputed with both English and French for the mastery of a sub-continent, who once more opposed the English in the Mutiny, providing in Nana Sahib the cleverest and in the Princess of Jhansi the best and bravest, of the revolutionary leaders, and from whom have sprung rulers of such deserved repute as Princess Ahalyabai of Indore and the present (1937) Gaekwar of Baroda, and dynasties as devoted to the Empire as Gwalior and Kolhapur'

No other history of the Marāthās, as a whole, has since been published in English The Riyāsat, in Marāthī, by Rao Bahadur G S Sardesai, stands in a class by itself. It is a rime of information and a monument to the patient industry, painstaking scholarship, and patriotic zeal of the septuagena-

rıan historian of Mahārāstra who is still an unbeaten living encyclopædia of historical information with a particular flair for dates, documents and details. This is not the place to assess his vast and varied work as an historian, but his appreciation by his life-long collaborator and friend, Sir Jadunath Sarkar, may be quoted without being inapposite vigilance in self-criticism has been the saving salt of his writ-Tireless striving after accuracy, passion for going down to the root of things, cool balance of judgment and unfailing common-sense in interpretation have marked his historical works" It has been his long cherished desire to present his Riyāsat in an English garb Until that desire is fulfilled, English readers should remain content with his Main Currents of Maratha History which is a reprint of his lectures delivered at the Patna University in 1926 The following extract from his introductory remarks is worthy of special attention —

'A vast amount of fresh historical material has been published in Maharashtra during the last quarter of a century, of which the outside public of India who do not know the Marathi language, are more or less ignorant. It is impossible to make all this material available to readers in English, and unless it reaches non-Marathi readers, it cannot excite corresponding research in other languages With this object in view, I thought of taking a rapid glance over the whole course of Maratha history, touching those salient points which have been recently established in Maharashtra on this new evidence, and those others which are still to some extent debatable, indefinite, I shall therefore speak on the aims and objects of Maratha policy, explaining what it has achieved and what it has failed to achieve, what good or evil it did to India, and what place it can claim in the history of India as a whole. interpreting, in fact, to the non-Maratha world, the meaning of this documentary evidence, and the results it leads one to. as regards the past achievements of the Marathas same time, I have a great desire to bring about a co-ordination of effort throughout the country between Maharashtra and the other parts of India in this important subject of national interest I think without such an interchange and such a supplementing from all quarters, our individual efforts in Maharashtra will for ever remain isolated and incomplete Our past is a common property which we all have to share equally'

This puts in a nutshell the raison d'être of the present effort also It attempts to do more elaborately and systematically what Ranade and Sardesai have already outlined from the point of view of the natives of Mahārāstra Apart from a popular book in Hindi (G D Tamaskar's Marāthonkā Utthan aur Patan-1930) I have not come across any recent attempt to present Marāthā history in a language that might appeal to a wider circle of readers outside Mahārāştra as well Much research has been carried on ceaselessly, in and outside this province, bringing to light new facts as well as fresh standpoints The work of synthesising and interpretation has not merely not kept pace with this march of research, but has altogether lagged behind. Very learned treatises, such as Sarkar's Shwan and His Times and Surendianath Sen's Administrative System of the Marathas and Military System of the Marathas have been published, but no attempt has been made to re-examine Maratha history as a whole, in the light of all the new materials and literature

The task no doubt appears to be too staggering for any single individual to attempt. The materials are so vast, varied and scattered, the languages in which they are found are so many and difficult, and the controversies over details and situations so frequent and baffling, that these have effectively scared away scholars far better equipped and qualified than I can ever claim to be. But time and tide waits for no man, and with the ceaseless accumulation of materials the task is bound to grow more bewildering as the years roll on. It is more than a century since Grant Duff wrote, and nearly a quarter century since Kincaid's book first appeared, yet, none has come forward to fill the gap

If I have ventured to meet this need, it is out of no false sense of the lightness of the task that I have done so I am

fully conscious of the greatness of my subject. However, having at least partially, succeeded in fulfilling such a want in the matter of Mughal History, for a fairly large body of readers, I felt tempted to try to meet this greater desideratum, as well. But readers will easily, I hope, note the difference in the treatment and style of presentation of my former and present themes. Considering the nature and scope of Marāṭhā history, as well as my purpose here, I have tried to be artistic without being unscientific, sympathetic without being uncritical, and simple without being unhistorical. I have looked at the pattern as a whole without inspecting the details of the parts too closely, except where they seemed to be of vital importance. While emphasising the perspectives, I hope, I have not been blind to the prosaic details so as to pervert the picture

The bibliography at the end will indicate the extent of my indebtedness. Friends, too numerous to be mentioned without being invidious, have helped and encouraged me in this endeavour, but the responsibility for all that I have put in final form here is entirely my own, though I have thankfully considered their criticism and respected their differing points of view. This volume is part of my contemplated work, and closes with the death of Aurangzeb. I have relegated the notes and references to the end which I expect will be found convenient by most of my readers. The Appendices have been added to amplify the text and notes where I considered they were called for. For the rest the work must speak for itself.

CHAPTER ONE

THE BACKGROUND

'The people of that country had never heard of the Mussulmans, the Mahrāṭṭā land had never been punished by their armies, no Mussulman king or prince had penetrated so far Deogiri was exceedingly rich in gold and silver, jewels and pearls, and other valuables'—BARANI

The central fact which provoked the Marāthā movement during the seventeenth century of the Christian era was the challenge of Muslim domination. That menace had its portentous beginning in Sind and Multān nine centuries earlier, but its enduring consequences were not realised until long afterwards. Islām was a revolutionary force, and its advent in North India was opposed tooth and nail by the Rājpūts for several centuries. Heroic as their resistance was it nevertheless ultimately proved ineffective. The Muslim advance was delayed but not prevented. Was history to repeat itself in South India? Let us follow rather than anticipate the historical process.

Saturday, 26 February, 1295 AD (19 Rabī '-u'l-ākhar, 695 H)¹ was indeed a fateful day for the Deccan and South India On that date 'Alā-u'd-Dīn Khaljī started from Karā on his historic expedition to Devgiri. The enormous treasure that he got on that occasion, and the ease with which he could gather it, were to him a revelation of the state of things in the South. Firishta reckons it at 600 maunds of pearls, 2 maunds of diamonds, rubies, emeralds and sapphires, 1,000 maunds of silver, 4,000 pieces of silk, besides other precious commodities 'to which reason forbids us to give credit '2 In addition to this plunder the cession of Elichpūr and its dependencies was also demanded, that the conqueror might leave a garrison there for the collection of revenues to be remitted to him at Karā-Mānikpūr 'Alā-u'd-Dīn determined by this dar-

ing adventure the shape of things to come in the Deccan for several centuries

Khaljī imperialism was sustained on the gold got from the Deccan and South India,—from Devgiri, Warangal, Dyārasamudra, and Ma'bar 'Alā-u'd-Dīn made his successful bid for the throne of Delhi being emboldened by the enormous loot he had secured from the Yadava capital Having murdered his uncle, Sultan Jalal-u'd-Din, and usurped his authority, he was devoured by a zeal for conquest. Ambitious of emulating the example of Alexander the Great, he found additional incentives in religious fanaticism and the greed for gold. In the South he had discovered an El Dorado too tempting to be ignored even in the face of the Mughal raids nearer home. Like Mahmud of Ghazna, he covered his lust for lucre with a feryour for his Faith It was exceedingly worthwhile despoiling the infidels and desecrating their idol-temples in the name of Islām in addition to this, a Kamal Devi or a Deval Devi could also be secured for the royal harem, the Ghazi would consider that a heavenly reward With all this. 'Ala-u'd-Din was a shrewd and practical man He did not seek to kill the goose that laid' the golden egg During the Warangal expedition he instructed his slave-general, Nā'ib Malik Kāfūr, 'If the Rāi consented to surrender his treasure and jewels, elephants and horses, and also to send treasure and elephants in the following year, the Na'ib was to accept these terms and not press the Rai too hard was to come to an arrangement and retire without pushing matters too far, lest Rāi Ladar Deo (Pratāparudra Dev II of Warangal) should get the better of him If he could not do this, he was, for the sake of his own name and fame, to bring the Rāi to Delhi's

The Khaljīs ruled over North India from 13 June 1290, when Jalāl-u'd-Dīn ascended the throne at Delhi, to 8 September 1320, when Ghiyās-u'd-Dīn Tughlaq Shāh was proclaimed' Sultān by the army This was a short but revolutionary régime The Khaljīs inaugurated a military dictatorship of which 'Alā-u'd-Dīn was the best exponent "The need for security, internal as well as external, was the dominant note of his policy'

He found in South India a rich quarry to support his military rule. Four expeditions were accordingly sent across the Vindhyas under his Nā'ib or Deputy, the famous Malik Kāfūr who was a hazār dīnārī slave,—a low-caste Hindu purchased in Gujarāt

In 1306-7 he led an expedition to Devgiri (2nd since 'Alau'd-Dīn's) on the ostensible ground that Rāmdev Rāo had failed to pay the promised tribute for three years The next raid was against Pratāparudra of Warangal in 1309 His third was a campaign into Mysore (Dvārasamudra) and Ma'bar in 1310-11 In 1312 Malık Kāfūr once again felt it necessary to invade Devgiri in order to punish Rāmdev's son and successor Sankardev The last Khaljī expedition to the Yādava capital was provoked by the rebellion of Harpaldev, the last ruler of that dynasty, in 1318 This was under Outb-u'd-Din Mubarak Shah and Malik Khusrau, another Hindu slave of low-caste to act as a Muslim general This favourite of the depraved Sultan, however, after a victorious expedition into Warangal and Madura, 'hatched the egg of ambition in his brain' and usurped the throne of Delhi by murdering his master Mubarak Shāh

To understand the easy triumphs of the Muslims during this quarter century (1295—1320) it is necessary for us to study more closely the conditions obtaining in the Deccan and South India at that time The seven expeditions of the Khaliis into the South were not unlike the seventeen raids of Mahmud Ghazna in the North (1000-27) their aims, character, and results were almost identical Both the Ghaznavid and the Khaljī adventurers were actuated by predatory motives reinforced with religious fanaticism, both were alike tempted by the opulence and political impotence of the infidels. The military advantage in both cases lay with the Muslim aggressors, the revolutionary consequences too were not dissimilar in the two instances Politically, a portion of the invaded territories nearest to their own kingdoms was annexed by both to serve as a stepping-stone for further encroachments The Hindus of the South, however, seemed to have learnt nothing from the

misfortunes of their co-religionists in the North Equally rich, equally divided and short-sighted, their frantic and fitful resistance was foredoomed to failure. The immediate result of the Khaljī incuisions was tragic

The principal kingdoms to bear the brunt of the Muslim attacks in the South were those of Devgiri of the Yādavas, Warangal of the Kākatiyas, Dvārasamudra of the Hoysalas, and Ma'bar (Madura) of the Pāndyas Among these we are concerned here mostly with the Yādavas, the rest will be noticed only incidentally

The Yadava dominions constituted the Maharastra of those times Of their extent and exact boundaries it is not possible to speak accurately Epigraphic evidence on such matters is not always reliable The Yādava rulers, like all their contemporaries, claimed victories and conquests with scant regard for truth 4 A recent writer, however, has computed that 'During the palmy days of Singhana, the greatest king of the dynasty. the Seuna (Yadava) authority extended over the whole of Western Deccan, comprising Mahārāstra, Northern Konkan, including the districts of North Kanara, Belgaum, Bijapur. Dhārwār, Bellāry, and portions of the south-western Telugu country's But, for our purposes, the character of this kingdom is of greater importance than its extent or boundaries. Despite the pompous titles assumed by the Yadava monarchs, such as Pratāpa-chakravartın, Samastabhuvanāśraya, Samrāt, and Srī Prthvi-vallabha, they proved themselves unworthy of the Suvarnagaruda-dhvaja (golden eagle emblem) which they vainly flaunted Whatever their earlier traditions or achievements in a purely Hindu world, the last three of the glorious Yādavas failed ingloriously in the face of the Mlechhas 'Alāu'd-Din could reduce Rämdev Rão to submission in the course of twenty-five days This amazing and ignominious surrender needs the closest scrutiny. It will reveal that there was nothing in it to support the traditional sentiment regarding the Yadava 6

From contemporary Muslim and other (local) sources we are able to reconstruct a fairly reliable picture of the situation. From Amīr Khusrau we learn that 'Alā-u'd-Dīn started from

Karā-Mānikpūr on 19 Rabi-'u'l-ākhar 695 н, and returned to that place 'after taking immense booty from Rämdeo' on 28 Rajab the same year? According to Wassaf, 'He appointed spies to ascertain when the Rai's army was engaged in warfare. and then he advanced and took the country without the means which other kings think necessary for conquest The prudent Rāi in order to save his life gave his daughter in marriage to the Sultan and made over to him his treasures and jewels"s Barani, who followed soon after, states 'When 'Alā-u'd-Dīn went to Bhailsan (Bhilsa) he heard much of the wealth and elephants of Deogir He inquired about the approaches to that place, and resolved upon marching thither from Karā with a large force (3-4,000 horse and 2,000 infantry) but without informing the Sultan 'Alā-u'd-Dīn marched to Elichpür, and thence to Ghātı-lājaurā When 'Alā-u'd-Dīn arrived at Ghäti-lajaura, the army of Ram-deo under the command of his son had gone to a distance The people of that country had never heard of the Mussulmans, the Mahrāttā land had never been punished by their armies, no Mussulman king or prince had penetrated so far Deogir was exceedingly rich in gold and silver, jewels and pearls, and other valuables When Rāmdeo heard of the approach of the Muhammadans, he collected what forces he could, and sent them under one of his $r\bar{a}n\bar{a}s$ to Ghātı-lājaurā They were defeated and dispersed by 'Alā-u'd-Din who then entered Deogir On the first day he took 30 elephants and some thousand horses Rām-deo came in and made his submission 'Ala-u'd-Din carried off an unprecedented amount of booty'9 'Işāmy alleges that when Rāmdev was warned by Kānhā (governor of Lājaurā) that the Turks were invading his dominions, the heedless monarch dismissed him with ridicule But the valiant rana hastened to the frontier where, with the assistance of two women-warriors, he attempted to stem the tide of invasion 'The two brave Hindu women who were like tigresses on the battle-field attacked the Turkish army fiercely, thereby exciting the admiration of their foes Nevertheless the Turks defeated the Hindus and put most of them to death During the battle, Kānhā and the two women

were taken prisoner though they fought ever so well '10 Ibn-1-Batüta says that Rām-deo 'submitted and surrendered the city (Kataka or Deogīr) without fighting, making valuable presents to his conqueror '11

Firishta, though writing very much later, is supposed to have made use of earlier works which have not survived since Substantially agreeing with the accounts cited above, he gives further details He states that 'Alā-u'd-Dīn 'enlisted many chiefs of distinction who had formerly been dependants of the Balban family '12 Secondly, he says that 'Alā-u'd-Dīn's army was composed of '8,000 chosen horse' The first place of any consequence reached by him was Elichpür where, having stopped for a while to refresh his troops, he moved by forced marches to Deogīr, 'the lower town of which was not entirely fortified, the outer wall being then incomblete' Rām-deo, with his son Sankar-deo, was 'absent in a distant part of his dominions' But, as soon as he heard of 'Alā-u'd-Dīn's advance, he hastened home and tried to intercept the enemy with a numerous army For this purpose he threw himself in between 'Alā-u'd-Dīn and the city and 'opposed him with great gallantry, but was eventually defeated with severe loss '13 Firishta has supplemented and, in part, modified this statement by reference to the Mulhiqāt and the Tabaqāt-i-Nasirī thus 'On reaching Devgiri 'Alā-u'd-Dīn found the Rājā himself in the city, but his wife and eldest son were at worship at a temple at some distance On the approach of 'Alā-u'd-Dīn, Rām-deo was in the greatest consternation Having, however, collected 3 or 4,000 citizens and domestics, he opposed the Mahomedans at a distance of two kos (4 miles) from the city but, being defeated, retired into the fort which had at that time no ditch '14 In his great hurry, Rāmdev had improvised an army of riff-raffs and domestics to defend his capital city, so too did his men put into the fort salt bags which had been received from the Konkan, mistaking them for grain The garrison consequently was soon starved into submission So helpless did the Yadava feel in the grip of 'Alā-u'd-Dīn, that he tried to dissuade his more spirited son Sankardey (who had meanwhile rushed to the city with a large

force) from attacking the aggressor, declaring that the Muslims were 'an enterprising and warlike race, with whom peace was better than war'. The young prince, however, would not be convinced of this until he had tried conclusions with the Turk on the bloody field of battle. This made Rāmdev Rāo apologise to the conqueror in abject and pitiable terms. 'It must be known to you,' he said to 'Alā-u'd-Dīn, 'that I had no hand in the late quarrel. If my son, owing to the folly and petulence of youth, has broken the conditions between us, that event ought not to render me responsible for his rashness'.

'Alā-u'd-Din had so effectively surrounded the place that the inhabitants had no opportunity to escape, which enabled him to levy large sums on the merchants by way of contributions He had also captured 40 elephants, and several thousand horses belonging to Rāmdev in the town Little wonder that Firishta 'We may here justly remark that in triumphantly observes the long volumes of history there is scarcely anything to be compared with this exploit, whether we regard the resolution in forming the plan, the boldness of its execution, or the great good fortune which attended its accomplishment '15 We learn from 'Isāmy that Garshāsp (1e 'Alā-u'd-Dīn) was greatly pleased with Rāmdev, he summoned him to his camp, and treated him with much consideration. He gave back to Rāmdev his royal umbrella together with his kingdom, and presented him with two powerful elephants. They then vowed to each other that they would act as father and son, whereupon, Garshāsp who had attained his object returned to Karā 126

If the Muslim accounts are to be trusted, the conduct of Rāmdev Rāo deserved condign punishment. His son Sankardev and his son-in-law Harpāldev, as we shall presently see, behaved more manfully as well as patriotically. But before proceeding to describe their martyrdom we should hold a closer inquest over the ignominious capitulation of the most inglorious of the Yādavas Dnāneśvara's dedicatory lines eulogising Rāmdev have misled some writers about the character of his reign 17

In the first place, there was little harmony within the royal family Devgiri was a house divided against itself. On the

death of Krsna (1260 AD), father of Rāmdev, his brother Mahādev appears to have usurped authority taking advantage of the minority of Rāmdev When the latter came of age, he had to secure his legitimate patrimony by means of a palacerevolution 18 References in contemporary works, like Chakradhara's Līlācharita and Bhāskara's Sisubālavadha, indicate that 'Rāmdev ascended the throne, Āmana (Mahādev's son) was overthrown, and Devgiri underwent a revolution '19 (1271) Likewise. Hemādri who was karanādhipa20 under Mahādev (whose son Amana, it is alleged, was cruelly executed by Ramdev, along with several of his supporters) was too orthodox a protagonist of the conservative order to be on good terms with his new master Besides being the murderer of his late patron's son, Rāmdey's religious inclinations were too friendly towards the heterodox (if not heretical) sect of the Mahānubhāvas Hemādri's critics allege that he invited the Muslims to Devgiri, while his defenders charge the Mahānubhāvas with being in league with the Mlechhas 21 Whether the Muslims came of their own accord or in response to an invitation, the result was the same It is clear that they must have found the internal situation very inviting indeed. Besides the antipathy between the king and his chief minister, sectarianism was rampant withın the State Sanātanīs vs Mahānubhāvas, Lingāyats vs Jainas, etc Some consider the Mahānubhāvas more anti-Jaina than anti-Sanātanī 22 This only adds one more edge to the anti-so-many dissensions The militant sect of the Vīra-Saivas (Lingāyats) was born at Kalyāni (the Kalachuri capital) in the Deccan only a century before It was one of the most violent movements ever started against both Brāhmanism and James 23 The Muslim invaders were too ready to exploit these differences They seem to have exempted the Mahānubhāva monks (who wore sable clothes like the Muslim faqīrs) from paying the nziya, thereby lending a dismal colour to orthodox suspicions about their complicity with the invaders 24

One trait, however, was common to all the sects of the Hindus, namely, their antipathy towards all the rest Besides this suicidal exclusivism, the moral or psychological effect of

their total teaching was devitalising. The fourfold way to Mokśa (viz Dnāna, Karma, Bhakti and Vairāgya) inculcated by them only stressed in different terms the means of escaping life This was the very antithesis of the positive activism of the invading Muslims To make matters worse, the leadership of Mahārāstra then was in the extremely incompetent hands of Rāmdev Rāo, who, despite his pedantic titles (Gurjarakunjara-dana-kantirava, Telingatunga-tarumulanamattadantāvala, Mālavapradīpa-samana-malayāmla, etc), as Rājwādé has observed, was an unmilitary king 25 According to the Paithan copperplate inscription, Rāmdev granted three villages to 57 Brāhmans on condition that (among other indications of good behaviour) they should use no weapons 126 This stipulation, indeed, was superfluous for a people for whom Hemādri had already prescribed an engrossing round of rituals in his Chaturvarga-Chintamam Its Vrata-, Dana-, Tritha-, Moksa- and Prāyaśchitta-Khandas left little room for trifling duties like the defence of the State Karma was not as yet the action of the Gītā, but only one class of ritual 27

Marco Polo who sojourned through the land between 1288-93, speaks of the people of Ma'bar as 'going to battle with lances and shields, but without clothing, and are a despicable unwarlike race'28 They do not kill cattle, he further observes, nor any kind of animals for food, but when desirous of eating the flesh of sheep or other beasts, or birds, 'they procure the Saracens, who are not under the influence of the same laws and customs, to perform the office' But under better leadership, even such a non-violent people were made to give a better account of themselves by other rulers, as we shall notice later But Rāmdev Rāo of Devgiri possessed little grit and found his own sons quarrelling among themselves 29 A revolt of Mālugi, one of his feudatories, is referred to by Rudra Kavi in hıs Rāstraudha-vamśa Mahākāvya, wherein Rāmdev was taken prisoner, but released by the intervention of Hemādri 30 Marco Polo also refers to Thana (?) as 'a great kingdom with a language of its own, and a king of its own, tributory to nobody'31 The sovereignty of the Yadavas over the Konkan

appears to have been challenged about this time. According to the poet, above referred to, Mālugi's grand-father obtained Tal-Konkan from the Yādava king as his marriage portion, and this territory was extended by the next two rulers of the Mayūragiri Bāgula family 32. After 1322 the land definitely passed out of the hands of the Hindus into those of the 'Saracens' who conquered it by force of arms, says Odoricus, and 'are now subject to the emperor of Delhi '33

All this came about because of the initial ineptitude of Instead of strengthening the defences of his Rāmdev Rāo realm he appears to have indulged in futile puerilities. At the moment of the Muslim attack his capital was in a sad state of negligence the fort was without a moat, the city wihout an army, and there were not even provisions for the besieged garrison 34 Even after the shock of the first surprise was over, Rāmdev Rāo did no better If 'Isāmy is to be believed, 'Rāmdev Rāo, who remained loyal to 'Alā-u'd-Dīn, sent a secret messenger to Delhi to inform him that a rebellion headed by Sangama (Sankara?) had broken out at Devgiri against the Sultan He was himself held a prisoner in his palace by Bhillama (Sangama?) and his followers, and he requested the Sultan to send a competent person with an army to put down the rebels and restore the imperial authority '35 Malik Kāfūr appeared before Devgiri, may be in response to this call, on 24 March 1307 (19 Ramazan 706 H) 'Ramdev and his family who were spared by the special command of the Sultan were made prisoner' and sent to Delhi along with enormous booty 36 According to Firishta, Malik Kāfūr 'having first subdued a great part of the country of the Mahrāttās, which he distributed among his officers, proceeded to the siege of Deogiri, since known by the name Daulatābād Rām-deo being in no condition to oppose the Mahomedan troops, left his son Sanker-deo in the fort, and advanced with presents to meet the conqueror in order to obtain peace'

Going to Delhi as a prisoner of war, along with 'rich presents and 17 elephants to pay his respects', Rāmdev was 'received with great marks of favour and distinction' He had

'royal dignities conferred upon him, the title of $R\bar{a}i$ - $R\bar{a}y\bar{a}n$ was granted to him, and he was not only restored to his government, but other districts were added to his dominions, for all of which he did homage and paid tribute to the King of Delhi'. The district of Nausāri was given to him and a $l\bar{a}kh$ of tankas for expenses of his journey home. Rāmdev continued to pay his annual tribute regularly. Barani also tells us how Rāmdev paid obsequious attentions to Malik Kāfūr, 'as dutiful as any tanyat of Delhi,' while he was on his way to Warangal in 1309

'On approaching Devgir, Rāi-Rāyān Rāmdeo came forth to meet the army with respectful offerings to the Sultan and presents to the generals While the army was marching through the territories of Deogir, Rāmdeo attended every day at headquarters So long as it remained encamped in the suburbs of the city, he showed every mark of loyalty and to the best of his ability supplied Na'ib Kafur and his officers with fodder, and the army with materiel Every day he and his officers went out to the camp rendering every assistance He made the bazār people of Deogir attend the army and gave them strict orders to supply the wants of the soldiers at cheap rates The army remained in the suburbs of Deogir for some days resting from its fatigues When it marched, Rämdeo sent men forward to all the villages on the route, as far as the borders of Warangal, with orders for the collection of fodder and provisions for the army, and giving notice that if a bit of rope was lost they would have to answer for it He was as dutiful as any raiyat of Delhi He sent on all stragglers to rejoin the army, and he added to it a force of Mahrattas, both horse and foot He himself accompanied the march several stages' and then took leave and returned All wise and experienced men noticed and applauded his devotion and attention '37

Rāmdev had fallen never to rise again. Deogiri was made the base of operations against all the southern Hindu kingdoms. Like a drowning person the Yādava' monarch was dragging all his possible saviours into the lethal element. For a third time the victorious Malik was at Devgiri on 3 February 1311 (13 Ramazān 710 H), this time to march against Ma'bar and

Dvārasamudra As before, the Rāi-Rāyān placed all the resources of his State at the disposal of the Nā'ib During this dark period of Hindu history, Mahārāstra provided the sinews of war to the Muslim conquerors for the enslavement of the rest of India instead of fighting valiantly 'for the ashes of her fathers and the temples of her gods' The days of redemption were far off

In the estimation of <u>Kh</u>usrau, the *materiel* provided by Rāmdeo 'was beyond all computation' and included hard and soft goods of wool and leather, brass and iron ³⁸

We may not doubt that the King of Devgiri on this occasion was Rāmdev, though Barani and Firishta erroneously state that he was dead '9 'Isamy and Khusiau correctly indicate the existence of Rāmdev, Rāo who died only a little after the return of Malık Kāfūr from his southern campaign Rāmdev's inveterate hostility towards the Hoysala Ballala III is well known It is therefore not surprising that he issued orders to Parśurām Dalavāi (whose estates lay on the border) to guide the Muslim army into Dvārasamudra 40 Sankardev's hatred of the invaders was too deep-seated to permit him to stoop to such His opposition to 'Alā-u'd-Dīn had been made clear on the very first occasion despite his father's cowardice He had ever since continued to be rebellious In fact, his intransigeance had called for repeated punitive expeditions on the part of the Khalji Sultan When Ramdev died, therefore, Sankardev once again rose in revolt

'News reached Delhi,' writes 'Iṣāmy, 'sometime after Malik Kāfūr's return from Ma'bar, that Rāmdeo died and Bhillama (Sankardev) revolted The Sultān sent Malik Nā'ib to suppress the rebellion' According to Firishta 'Alā-u'd-Dīn consented to Malik Kāfūr's proposal, who accordingly proceeded, the fourth time, to the Deccan in the year 712 H (1312) He seized the Rājā of Deogīr and inhumanly put him to death He then laid waste the countries of Kanara, from Dābhol to Chaul, and as far as Rāichūr and Mūdgal He afterwards tool, up his residence at Deogīr and, realising the tribute from the princes of Telingāna and Karnātak, despatched the whole to

Delhi '42 'Iṣāmy's description of the settlement of the country appears, on the face of it, exaggerated 'Malik Kāfūr,' he says, 'after taking possession of the kingdom treated the people with 'kındness and moderation As soon' as he entered Deogiri, he assured the people of safety, nobody was slain, and none imprisoned He despatched letters to all parts of the kingdom declaring general amnesty These measures restored tranquillity to the mind of the people, and they felt that they had nothing to fear from their new Muslim masters Malık Nā'ıb knew that the prosperity of the State depended on agriculture So he summoned the cultivators to his presence, spoke to them kindly, and granted them leases The farmers being convinced that they had a ruler who was interested in promoting their welfare, devoted themselves to their lands vigorously and extracted greater yield from the soil than ever before '48

This is, obviously, too idealised a picture even to appear The known policy of 'Ala-u'd-Din towards his inplausible fidel subjects should make us sceptical about such beneficence 'Isamy qualifies his statement by saving 'Though he showed kindness to people who submitted to his authority, he but down rebels with a stern hand' If there were lovalists like Rāmdev Rāo at Devgiri, there were men too like Kānhā and Sankardev. and even women 'who fought like tigresses on the field of battle' It could not therefore have been 'roses, roses all the way' The peace and prosperity were not for those who opposed, for, towards such, the conqueror was naturally stern Besides, Malik Kāfūr was in the Deccan for too short a period to see the fruits of his benevolence 'He pulled down temples and built mosques in their places,' the same 'Işāmy writes 'He erected in obedience to the commands of the Sultan a great mosque at Deogiri and named it after him. He strove to establish Islam in the land of the Mahrāttās and, under his rule, Deogiri became a great Muslim centre in the Deccan'44 The good that men do is oft interred with their bones, the evil lives long after them !

Neither Malik Kāfūr, nor his master 'Alā-u'd-Dīn, survived long enough to reap the harvest of their sowing in the Deccan Both died a miserable death at Delhi with whose particulars we

are little concerned here Before the cycle of palace-revolutions. was completed at the capital, an epidemic of revolts broke out all over the Khaljī dominions 'At this time,' writes Firishta, 'the flames of universal insurrection, which had long been smothered, began to burst forth and were first apparent in Gujarāt Meanwhile, the Rājpūts of Chitor threw the Mahomedan officers over the walls and asserted their independence, while Harpāldev, the son-in-law of Rāmdev, stirred up the Deccan to arms, and expelled a number of the Mahomedan garrisons '45

These rebellions had started even before 'Alā-u'd-Dīn's breath was stilled in his body. The dying Sultan, it is said, bit his own flesh out of frenzy when he got news of these dis-But his agony was cut short by his hazār-dīnārī slave and Na'ib of the empire, it is alleged, by poison Malik Kafur, having usurped the throne, was himself murdered soon after It was, therefore, left to Mubarak Shah who succeeded, to quell In the second year of his reign, the new Sultan the revolts marched into the Deccan to chastise Harpāldev, 'who by the assistance of the other princes of the Deccan had recovered the country of the Marathas A detachment was sent in pursuit which brought back Harpaldev prisoner. He was flayed alive, decapitated, and his head fixed above the gate of his own capital The King then ordered a chain of posts to be established as far as Dvārasamudra, and built a mosque in Devgīr which still remains He appointed Malik Beg Luky, one of his father's slaves, to command in the Deccan' (Firishta)40

We learn from 'Isāmy and Amīr Khusrau that, owing to the troubles at Delhi, 'Ain-u'l-Mulk and other officers were recalled post haste 'with all the Muslim inhabitants resident in Devegiri' 47 The opportunity thus created was promptly seized by Harpāldev and his coadjutors. But the result was catastrophic Marāthā independence, as it then appeared, was extinguished for ever. The historic family of the Yādavas, on whom lay the responsibility of stemming the tide of Muslim advance into South India, was tragically overwhelmed. A few more details

of the dénouement which are available might be noted for their pathetic interest

'Iṣāmy sımply says that Harpāldev was 'despatched to hell' According to Amīr Khusrau, Mubārak Shāh 'received the submission of all the Rais and Ranas of those parts, except Raghu, the deputy and minister of the late Rai Ramdeo Raghu. on learning of the approach of the King, fled to the hills in open rebellion, Khusrau Khān was detached with a powerful army to repel him, and a royal tent accompanied in order to do honour to the expedition One of his officers named Outlugh, the chief huntsman, seized some of Raghu's adherents from whom it was ascertained that he had nearly 10,000 Hindu cavalry under him Khusrau Khan attacked him in a defile and completely routed him. The Hindus who had pretended to independence were either slain, captured or put to flight Raghu himself was most severely wounded, his body was covered with blood, his lips emitted no breath. He entered some cave in a ravine which even a snake could scarcely penetrate

'When Khusrau Khan was returning to the King, after the defeat of Raghu, he received intelligence on the road that Rānā Harpāl had rebelled and taken up a position in the hills at the head of a powerful army The Khan went in pursuit of him and was vigorously attacked two or three times by the rebel who in the end, being desperately wounded, was taken captive and his army put to flight He was brought, bound hand and foot, before the King who gave orders that he should be put to death When his way had been taken towards hell by the sword, the King gave his body to the other hellites that this great infidel and little Satan might become one of the chief ornaments of their kingdom. The hellites who had accompanied him out of regard, and had fought by his side, also afforded food to the flames of the infernal regions Those hellites did not desire that he should be burnt by himself alone, so they accompanied him into the flames, and hell was satisfied by that sacrifice '48

Barani's account is somewhat different 'In the year 718 H (1318),' he writes, 'the Sultān marched with his maliks and amīrs at the head of an army against Deogīr which, upon the death of Malik Nā'ib Kāfūr, had thrown off its subjection and had been taken possession of by Harpāldeo and Rāmdeo (?)

On arriving at Deogīr, Harpāldeo and other Hindus who had joined him were unable to withstand the army of Islām, and they and all the muqaddams dispersed, so that the Sultān recovered the fort without fighting and spilling of blood. The Sultān then sent some officers in pursuit of Harpāldeo who was the leader of the rebels, and had excited the revolt. He was captured and the Sultān ordered him to be flayed and his skin to be hung over the gate of Deogīr. The rains came on and the Sultān remained with the army for a time at Deogīr. All the Mahrāṭtās were once more brought into subjection. The Sultān selected as governor of Deogīr Malik Yak Lākhī, an old slave of 'Alā-u'd-Dīn, who for many years was Nā'ib of the barīds (spies), and he appointed feudatories, rulers and revenue-collectors over the territories of the Mahrāttās'40

In all the above accounts, what is of greater significance for us is not the fate of Harpāldev as that of Mahārāṣṭra. The consequences were far-reaching as well as disastrous, both to the people of Maharāstra and the Southern peninsula generally. The latter were able to rally their forces more quickly and build up a rampart sooner than the Hindus of the Deccan. But the fortunes of the two were closely knit together as the sequel will show

After the execution of Harpāl, Mubārak's general Malik Khusrau had marched into Telingāna and Ma'bar to complete the work begun by Malik Kāfūr But he too like his prototype was soon called to Delhi under very similar circumstances, and partook of the same fate When, ultimately, the Khaljī rule was overthrown by the Tughlaqs at Delhi, the new Sultān, Ghiyās-u'd-Dīn, despatched his son Ulugh Khān (Md Tughlaq) on the southern campaign History again repeated itself The ambitious prince in his turn hastened back to the capital to murder his old father, and occupied his throne Only

two things in the history of Muhammad Tughlaq are strictly relevant to our theme (1) his change of capital to Devgiri, and (2) the various rebellions of his reign in so far as they had anything to do with the Deccan

Muhammad's conquest of Telingana, Ma'bar, Kampili and Dvārasamudra extended the dominions of the Sultan beyond the range of efficient control from Delhi Hence, the idea of establishing a more central capital at Devgiri was a wise and expedient one We are little concerned with its romantic details here 50 But, abortive as the plan proved, its net gain to the Muslims was that Devgiri permanently improved as a centre of Muslim power Daulatābād has ever since remained a proud Muslim possession Its continued occupation by the Khalıïs, Tughlaqs, Bahmanïs, and the Nızāmshāhī, Qutbshāhī, and the present rulers of Hyderābād, is an instructive commentary on the nature of the loss sustained by the Marāthās as a result of the Yadava failure to withstand the first Muslim invasion. A stitch in time would have saved more than nine That the Marātha failure was due to a fatal lack of leadership is amply demonstrated by the subsequent happenings Muslims of the Deccan, though they were an exotic minority. with better leadership and greater grit, could successfully challenge the overlordship of Delhi and overthrow its domination for several centuries Had the Yadavas acquitted themselves better, the history of South India might have been different

Shaikh Mubārak witnessed the fortifications of Daulatābād in progress between 1327 and 1329 51. The tombs of Muslim celebrities like Amīr Hasan (a comrade of Amīr Khusrau), Shaikh Burhān-u'd-Dīn Gharīb (a disciple of Shaikh Nizām u'd-Dīn Auliyā), and Qāzī Sharaf-u'd-Dīn, added to the attraction of the place as a centre of pilgrimage. The consequent increase of the Muslim population in the Deccan, Firishta notes, became a source of alarm to the Hindus 52. Ibn-1-Baṭūta who visited Daulatābād during 1334-42 has many interesting observations to make about the contemporary scene.

From Ujjain, writes he, 'we went to Daulatābād, a large and illustrious city which rivals the capital, Delhi, in impor-

tance and in the vastness of its lay-out. It is divided into One is Daulatābād properly so called, reserved three parts for the residence of the Sultan and his troops, the second part is called Katakah (Skt camp), and the third is the citadel, unequalled for its strength and called Davaiquir (Devgir) Daulatābād resides the great Khān, Outlū Khān, preceptor to He is the commandant of the city and represents the Sultan the Sultan there, as well as in the lands of Saghar, Tiling and The territory of these provinces extends other dependencies over three months' march and is well populated. It is entirely under the authority of Qutlū Khān and his lieutenants was to the fortress of Devgīr that Nasīr-u'd-Dīn (son of Malık Mal) and Qazi Jalal-u'd-Din fled for refuge when they were defeated by the Sultan

'The inhabitants of the territory of Daulatābād belong to the tribe of Mahrāthās to whose women God has granted a peculiar beauty, especially in their noses and eye-brows. They possess talents not found in other women in the art of pleasing men. The idolaters of Daulatābād are devoted to commerce and their principal trade consists in pearls, their wealth is enormous, and they are called $S\bar{a}ha$ (Skt $S\bar{a}rthav\bar{a}ha$), the singular of the word is $S\bar{a}h$ —and they resemble the $Ak\bar{a}rims$ of Egypt

'There are in Daulatābād, vines and pomegranates which yield two harvests in a year. By its population, and the extent of its territory, and the number of very large cities in it, this province is very important for the revenues derived from it. It was told that a certain Hindu took a lease of the contributions from the province for seventeen crores

'In Daulatābād there is a bazār for singers and singing girls This bazār, called Tarabābād (abode of rejoicing), is among the largest and most beautiful in existence. In it are mosques for prayer where the priests recite the tarāwih during the month of Ramazān. One of the Hindu rulers, whenever he passed through this place, used to alight in the pavilion and the singing girls sang in his presence. One of the Muhammadan. Sultāns also did likewise'

Ibn-1-Baţūţa proceeded from here 'to the small town of Nazarbār inhabited by Marāṭhās well skilled in the mechanical arts' Their physicians, astrologers, and nobles, he says, 'are called Brāhmins and Kṣatriyas Their food consists of rice, vegetables, and oil of sesami, for they dislike giving pain to animals or slaughtering them. They wash themselves before eating, as we do at home to get rid of a pollution. They do not marry among their relatives at least up to the seventh remove, neither do they drink wine. For this in their eyes is the greatest of sins. It is so in all India, even among the Muslims, any one among them that drinks wine is punished with 80 stripes and imprisoned for three months in a dungeon which is opened only during meal-time.

'From Nazarbār we went to Sāgliar, a large city on a considerable river (Tāpti—Gibb) of the same name. On the banks of this river, we see water-wheels, and orchards where grow mangoes, bananas, and sugar-cane. The inhabitants of this city are peaceable, religious and upright men, and all their acts are worthy of approbation. There are orchards with hermitages meant for travellers. The population of Sāghar is very large. Strangers go there for the company of the people, and because the town is exempt from taxes and duties.'

Mahārāstra was so much demoralised by the Khaljī conquest that it submitted as a matter of course to the yoke of the Tughlags If there were frequent revolts in the Deccan. as elsewhere, during this period, they were not by the Marāthās The first of these was by Malık Yak Lākhī before the accession of Muhammad Tughlaq There were not less than twenty-one rebellions in the reign of this erratic monarch Of these only five were connected with the Deccan Their account is relevant and instructive if only because the Marāṭhās never could make capital out of them, but allowed the Muslims to perpetuate their hold over the Deccan ultimately by the establishment of a local kingdom of their own, viz the Bahmanī That this ineptitude or political impotency was not shared by all the Hindus of the South was demonstrated by the foundation of the virile Vijayanagar kingdom, south of the Tungabhadrā river.

The most disconcerting insurrection for Muhammad Tughlag was that of Bahā-u'd-Dīn Garshāsp in 1327 It did not originate in the Deccan, but, according to Firishta, the first battle of the war against him was fought near Devgiri 54 The Sultān came from Delhi to Daulatābād in pursuit of the rebel and directed his military operations from there Garshasp escaped, first to Sagar and thence to Kampılı whose Hındu raja gave him shelter Reinforcements sent from Devgin brought about the defeat of the rebel as well as his supporters Though Garshāsp was the King's cousin,55 he was according to Ibn-1-Batūta flaved alive and his flesh cooked with rice was served to his family 56 The rājā of Kampili died, chivalrously fighting for his protégé His stuffed head was carried to the Court as a trophy, while his sons and important officers of state were Firishta says that Muhammad thought of taken prisoner 57 shifting his capital to Devgiri after this rebellion. Accordingly he called it Daulatābād, 'raised several fine buildings within it and excavated a deep ditch round the fort which he repaired and beautified On the top of the hill whereon the citadel stood, he formed new reservoirs for water and made a beautiful garden '58

Then the Sultān marched to Kondāna (Simhagad) where 'Nāg-hāk, a Koli chieftain, opposed him with great bravery, but was forced to take refuge within his walls. As the place was built on the summit of a steep mountain, inaccessible but by one narrow pass cut through the rock, the King had no hopes of reducing it but by famine. He accordingly caused it to be closely blockaded, and at the same time made some attacks on the works in which he was repulsed with heavy loss. The garrison distressed for provisions, and having no hopes of the King's retreat, at length evacuated the fort at the expiration of eight months, after which the King returned to Daulatābād '50

The next trouble arose in Ma'bar but its repercussions were felt in Mahārāṣṭra When Muhammad Tughhlaq heard of the revolt of Sayyid Jalāl-u'd-Dīn, he proceeded to Daulatābād (1335) and 'laid a heavy contribution on that city and the neighbouring provinces which created an insurrection; but his

numerous army soon reduced the insurgents to their former state of slavery"60 He did not, however, meet with the same success in the Ma'bar expedition. At Warangal, 'a pestilence broke out in his camp to which a great part of his army fell victim He had on this occasion nearly lost his life, and was induced to leave one of his officers, Malik Nā'ib 'Imād-u'l-Mulk, to command the army, and to return himself to Daulatabad' On his way thither, he suffered from a tooth-ache wherefore he got his aching tooth extracted and ceremoniously buried at Beer (Bid) 'and caused a magnificent tomb to be reared over it, which still remains a monument of his vanity and folly' At Mungi-Paithan he conferred the title of Nașrat Khān upon Shihab-u'd-Din Multani and made him governor of Bidar and its dependencies which yielded an annual revenue of a crore of rupees He, at the same time, appointed Qutlugh Khan, who was the Sultan's tutor in early life, to the government of Daulatābād and the country of Mahārāstra or In 1338-39 (740 H) Nașrat Khān misappropriated the royal revenues and re-Outlugh suppressed the revolt and sent Nasrat as a belled prisoner to Delhi 62 Soon after, followed the insurrection of 'Alī Shāh who killed the Hindu officer of Gulbarga and seized the government treasury 63 He was an 'Amīr Judīda' or Mughal recently converted to Islām and sent to the Deccan for revenue collection 'Finding no legitimate authority in the country, he summoned together his Mughal brethren, raised an army, and occupied Gulbarga and Bidar on his own account '64 This rebellion was also put down by Qutlugh Khan with the help of the Mālwā army

The eighteenth revolt against Muhammad Tughlaq was that of 'Aîn-u'l-Mulk It was occasioned by the transfer of that officer to Daulatābād (1340) Qutlugh Khān was recalled to Delhi on a charge of misgovernment and abuse of authority. But, as a matter of fact, he appears to have been a popular and pious governor According to 'Iṣāmy, when the 'pious Khān' left for Delhi, 'even the walls cried out (or echoed the people's wails) that all that was good was now departing from the Deccan' The remedy, however, proved worse than the

disease 'So extremely ill did this arrangement turn out that the people, disgusted at the removal of Qutlugh Khān and the want of capacity displayed by the new administration, rebelled in all quarters and the country was devastated and depopulated in consequence. To make up the deficiency of the revenue, as well as to gratify their own avarice, the Deccan officers plundered and oppressed the inhabitants '66

In the history of the fateful forty-five years (1295-1340) traced by us so far, the one distressfully disappointing feature has been the absence, in Mahārāstra, of the will to resist, barring a few noble exceptions like Kānhā and the two valiant women, Sankardeo and Harpaldeo, Raghu and Nag-Nak the spirited Koli chief of Kondana The people of Maharastra were conquered, oppressed and humiliated, but they meekly submitted like dumb-driven cattle A sixteenth century Marathi work embodying earlier traditions dolorously records too many Yavanas (Muslims) in the country, the people are without patriotism, arms have been discarded, they have taken to agriculture, some have sought service, several people have died, many have lost their sense of duty '67 Sporadic instances of courage are indeed available, but only in support of the Muslim rebels Thus we learn that a raja of Thana (? Badahra or Burabrah) afforded shelter to Malık Hoshang, but the latter subsequently recanted 'The rajas of the Deccan,' writes Firishta, 'suffering under the tyranny of Delhi, rejoiced at the revolt of the Muslims in which some joined, while others, more circumspect, only privately encouraged it and assisted the rebels with money and supplies '68 Only once do we come across a local chieftain called Kandhra (at Gulbarga) who, in mad desperation, put to death a number of Muslims, a month or two after the accession of Naşīr-u'd-Dīn, the first independent Muslim King of Daulatābād He too being defeated, put himself in communication with the Delhi officers but was driven away by Zafar Khān 69

The sovereignty of the Sultāns of Delhi over the Deccan was overthrown, not by the Hindus, but by the Muslim officers themselves Muhammad Tughlaq had sent an army to suppress

the wide-spread revolt of the 'Amīr Judīda' of Rāichūr, Mūdgal, Gulbarga, Bīdar, Bījāpūr, Gunjoti, Rāibāg, Gilghuri, Hukeri, and Berār (Firishta) 'On arraying on the Deccan frontiei, at Manukpooni pass, fearing the King had a design on their lives, they entered into a confederacy and with one accord fell upon the guards' The insurgents got the better of the Delhi armv. besieged Daulatābād, killed many of the King's officers, and appropriated the treasury Finally, they proclaimed one among themselves, 'Ismā'īl, King of the Deccan with the title of Muhammad Tughlaq did not live to suppress Nasīr-ud-Dīn this revolt He was hunted out by the rebels much like Aurangzeb by the Marāthās of a later generation While he was pursum other rebels in Gujarāt, the Sultān got news of the defeat and death of the royalist general 'Imad-u'l-Mulk The imperial army was driven into Mālwā Thus began the independence movement in the Deccan, but it was independence of the Muslims not of the Marāthās

On Friday 24 Rabī'u'l-ākhar 748 H (12 August 1347) the crown was placed on the head of Zafar Khān, and a black canopy (the colour assumed by the Abbāsid khalīfas) was raised above the throne 'The khutba was read and coins were struck in the name of 'Alā-u'd-Dīn Hasan Shāh Bahmanī He made Gulbarga his capital, and called it Hasanābād 'Having assumed charge of his government, Hasan Shāh neglected none of his duties and his dominions daily increased, so that in a short time (writes Firishta) the territory from the river Bhīmā to the vicinity of the fort of Adoni, and from the port of Chaul to the city of Bīdar, was brought under his authority 70

This kingdom of Gulbarga (Bahmanī) was not the only Muslim State to arise out of the ruins of the Khaljī-Tughlaq dominions in the South Sayyid Jalāl-u'd-Dīn Ahsan Shāh, governor of Ma'bar, likewise 'rebelled, usurped power, killed the lieutenants and agents of the sovereign, and struck coins of gold and silver in his own name,' writes Ibn-1-Batūta 71 (1334-35) This Sultanate, however, proved ephemeral, as it was extinguished by Vīra Kampana (c 1378) which event has been celebrated by his queen Gangā Devī in her charming epic en-

titled *Madurā Vijayam* or *Kamparāya-Charitam*, an historical poem of rare merit ⁷² Kampana was the son of one of the founders of the great Vijayanagar power Referring to this last event, namely, the establishment of the Vijayanagar kingdom, Firishta observes 'The confederate Hindus seized the country occupied by the Muslims in the Dakhin and expelled them, so that within a few months, Muhammad Tughlaq had no possessions in that quarter except Daulatābād'⁷³

The rise of this great Hindu power in South India is a very important and fascinating theme with whose foundation alone we are here concerned. Its influence upon Marāthā history will be appropriately dealt with in a later chapter. Arising out of very similar conditions as those which obtained in the Deccan, Vijayanagar grew into a mighty defender of Hindu civilisation for two centuries and a quarter (1336-1565). Its genesis provides an instructive contrast to the depressing story of the Hindus further north, during the same period. Warangal, Kampili and Dvārasamudra had been equally overrun by the Muslim invaders, but their reactions were quite different from those witnessed by us in the Deccan

Two pieces of evidence should suffice to illustrate the results of Muslim aggression in the Andhra and Karnātak countries. An epigraph in the former region records 'After the death of Prataparudra, the earth was engulfed in the ocean of darkness of the Turuşka rule Adharma, which had been kept under control up to that time by that virtuous monarch, flourished under them unchecked, as the existing conditions were favourable for its growth The cruel wretches subjected the rich people to torture for the sake of their wealth, many of their victims died of terror at the sight of their vicious countenances The Brahmins were compelled to abandon their religious practices, the images of the gods were overthrown and smashed to pieces; the learned were deprived of the agrahāras which had been in the possession of their families from time immemorial, and the agriculturists were despoiled of the fruits of their labour, and their families were impoverished and ruin-None dared to lay claim to anything, whether it was a ed

piece of property or one's own wife. To those despicable wretches wine was the ordinary drink, beef the staple food, and slaying the Brāhmin the favourite pastime. The land of Telinga, left without a protector, suffered destruction from the Mussulmans like a forest subjected to a devastating wild fire 74. In very similar language Gangā Devī writes. In the agrahāras (of the temples) where the smoke issuing from the sacrificial fires was largely visible, and where the chant of the Vedas was always audible, we have now the offensive smelling smoke from roasted flesh, of the Muslims, and the harsh voice of these ruffians is alone heard there '75

Two inscriptions (one of 1341 and another of 1376) speak of Sangama, father of the founders of Vijayanagar, as having been, born in fulfilment of a divine promise to deliver the country from the hands of the *Mlechhas* 76 A later epigraph (of 1652) says that Vijayanagar was founded 'for the protection of gods, cows and Brāhmans '77 Making due allowance for the idiom of poetry in the above notices, we can yet perceive the historical facts imbedded in them. To follow their political reactions we have only to score the pages of Barani and Firishta

'A revolt of the Hindus broke out in Arangal (Warangal),' writes Barani 'Kanya Nayak had developed strength in the country Malık Maqbül, the Nā'ıb Wazīr, fled to Delhı and reached there in safety The Hindus captured Arangal which was entirely lost At this time, one of the relations of Kanva Nāyak (Harihara?) whom the Sultān had sent to govern Kampılı, apostatısed from Islām, and broke into rebellion land of Kampili was lost and fell into the hands of the Hindus. and Deogir and Gujarat alone remained in the possession of the Sultān.'78 Firishta adds a few more circumstantial details 'About this time,' says he, 'Krishna Nāyak, son of Ladar Deo (1e Prataparudra-deva), who lived in the vicinity of Warangal, went to Beläl Deo (Vira Ballāla III of Dyārasamudra). the powerful King of Carnatic, and told him that the Muhammadans had entered Telingana and Carnatic and had made up their minds to exterminate the Hindus. He suggested that

something should be done to avert the crisis Belal Deo called a meeting of his ministers, and, after a good deal of deliberations, decided that, leaving his provinces in the rear, he should advance to the route of the armies of Islām, and deliver Ma'bar, Dvārasamudra and Kampili from Muslim control. and place them in the charge of Krishna Nāvak In accordance with this plan, Belāl Deo founded in the mountainous region near the frontier of his kingdom, in a well fortified place, a Bījānagar Numerous horse and foot city which he named soldiers were sent under Krishna Nāyak, and Warangal was captured The governor 'Imād-u'l-Mulk fled to Daulatābād Belāl Deo and Krishna Nāyak both combined their forces and delivered Ma'bar and Dvārasamudra, which had been for years in the past tributaries of the ruler of Carnatic, from Muslim control On all sides the flames of war and rebellion were kindled, and of the distant provinces nothing remained in the possession of the Sultan except Gujarat and Deogir 79 (1336) Even this last stronghold, as we have already noticed, was lost to Delhi in 1347 South India thus stood divided into two groups the Bahmanī kingdom in the Deccan, and the Vijayanagar kingdom to the south of the Tungabhadrā river The struggle between the two and their respective successors constitutes the long prelude to the glorious war of independence which the Marāthās of the 17th and 18th centuries carried on, and as a result of which they came very near to being the sovereign masters of the whole of India But, for that consummation, the Marāthās had to undergo a prolonged period of probation, which must engage us in the next three chapters

CHAPTER TWO

"Thus was the ground prepared partly by nature, partly by the ancient history of the country, partly by the religious revival, but chiefly by the long discipline in arms which the country had undergone under Mahomedan rule for three hundred years"—M G Rānapé¹

The Bahmani kingdom, of whose foundation we have spoken in the preceding chapter, endured for nearly 180 years But its effective existence came to an end with (1347-1526) the murder of Mahmud Gawan in 1481 With him, wrote Meadows Taylor, "departed all the cohesion and power of the Bahmani kingdom "2" Out of its dominions were carved out (1) the 'Imadshahī of Berar in 1484, (11) the 'Adilshahī of Bījāpūr in 1489, (iii) the Nizāmshāhī of Ahmadnagar in 1490, (iv) the Qutbshāhī of Golkonda in 1518, and (v) the Barīdshāhī of Bīdar in 1526 What place did the Marāthās fill in the history of these kingdoms? Ranadé has observed that their entire administration was permeated with Maratha per-"It sonnel 3 Grant Duff has written (the Bahmanī kingdom) was aided by the native princes of the Deccan, and from several circumstances in the conduct of war, particularly the desultory plan adopted by the insurgents (who founded the kingdom), which always requires the aid of the native inhabitants of any country, there is strong presumption of their having contributed more to its success than the Mussulman historian was aware of, or, perhaps was willing to allow "4

Rānadé has also pointed out that the foreign mercenaries (Turks, Persians, Abyssinians and Mughals) employed by the Deccan Sultāns proved more troublesome than useful, and that gradually reliance came to be placed chiefly upon the country Bārgīrs and Sīlédār troops "This training in arms brought education, power, and wealth with it, and in the sixteenth

century we meet with Ghādgés and Ghorpadés, Jādhavs and Nimbālkars, Morés and Šindés, Daflés and Mānés, as generals in charge of ten or twenty thousand horses, and in enjoyment of proportionate jahāgīrs" It is our purpose, in this chapter, to assess the nature of this tutelage of the Marāṭhās under their Muslim masters during the two and a half centuries which preceded the rise of Shāhjī Bhoslé, father of the great Sīvājī This is by no means an easy task, and we should particularly guard ourselves against hasty generalisations, both as regards the character of Muslim rule and policy in the Deccan, as well as the nature of the Hindu reactions and response. The fact that some of the Sultans were originally Hindus or married Hindu wives has led some writers to believe that "These influences exerted a power which made it impossible for Mahomedan powers to retain their bigotry and fanatic cruelty in the Deccan. and (that) although there were irruptions of violence now and then, on the whole great toleration was shown towards their Hindu subjects by these Mahomedan kings, and gradually both civil and military power came into Hindu hands "8 Closer examination will. however reveal that the causes of the considerable employment of Hindus in the civil and military services of the Sultans lay outside their policy of religious toleration which has been exaggerated by Ranadé and some others beyond what is warranted by the facts of the situation Indeed, consanguinity had little to do with the so-called liberal policy of the Mahomedan kings of the Deccan

In the first place, the subjugation of the Hindus by the Muslims was never completed in western Mahārāṣṭra and Konkan The latter was not conquered till the middle of the fifteenth century, and the Ghātmāthā of the Māvals were never subdued in the sense in which the Deś was The reasons for this will become clear as we proceed Secondly, the Muslim conquerors of the Deccan were considerably weakened by their isolation, being cut off from the stream of perennial replenishment like their coreligionists in North India They further undermined their own strength by perpetual quarrels

among the Deccani and Foreign parties. The murder of Gāwān was an indicator of this suicidal hatred and factiousness. Opportunities were thus amply provided for the enterprising and pushful Marāthās, alike by the paucity of the Muslims in the Deccan and their disunity. But there was no uniformity of conditions all over the country, nor in the same tract of land under different rulers. We should therefore make a careful survey of the various parts of the Deccan and Mahārāstra under its several dynasties during the three centuries that preceded the advent of sīvājī, namely, 1347-1630

We have already noted that, under Hasan Shah, the founder, the Bahmanī kingdom stretched from Daulatābād, in the north, to Adoni in the south, and from Chaul, in the west, to Bidar in the east, according to Firishta The same writer tells us that 'Alā-u'd-Dīn (Hasan Shāh)' divided his kingdom into four atrāf or provinces viz (1) Ahsanābād-Gulbarga (the Krsnā-Tungabhadrā Doāb up to Dābol), (11) Daulatābad (including Junnar, Chaul and Parthan), (iii) Berar (including Māhūr), and (1v) Bīdar (1ncluding Qandhār, Indūr, Kaulās, and parts of Telingāna) 7 With minor variations this administrative arrangement continued down to the days of Mahmūd Gāwān who made substantial alterations in it that time the kingdom had grown in extent and covered, not only the table-land of the Deccan up to the Ghats, a portion of Telingāna and the Rāichūr Doāb, but also the Konkan down to Goa (in the west) and the whole of Andhra (in the east and south) Besides, Khāndesh was a protectorate in the North Gawan reduced this unwieldy Empire to order by dividing it into provinces of moderate size, each under a Sarlashkar Berār was cut into two parts Gāwil and Māhūr. Daulatābād and Junnar divisions extended up to Daman, Bassein, Goa, and Belgāum, Bījāpūr, up to the Horā river ıncludıng Raichur and Müdgal, Ahsanabad-Gulbarga, from Sāgar to Naldūrg with Sholāpūr, and Telingāna included Rājāmundry and Warangal 'Apart from nearly halving the old provincial areas, the \underline{Kh} wāja removed certain tracts from

the jurisdiction of each of the new governors, bringing them directly under the control of the king himself as the <u>Khāsa-1-Sultānī</u> or Royal Domain, thus putting a strong royal check on the power of the *Tarafdār* in his own province's This was a wise precaution reminding us of the reforms of William the Conqueror, in England and of Kleisthenes in ancient Athens

For greater efficiency, Gawan also reorganised the army and the revenue system He made it a rule that there should be no more than one fortress under the direct command of each Tarafdar Qile'dars of all other strongholds were to be appointed and controlled directly by the central Government obligations of the jägirdärs and mansabdärs were more strictly defined in terms of definite contingents to be maintained by them, for which they were paid A mansabdar was to receive one lakh of hons (later raised to 11 lakhs) annually for every 500 men under arms Where jagirs were granted in lieu of cash payment, compensation was allowed to cover the collection charges, but if the stipulated number of men was not maintained, a proportionate amount was deducted (or had to be reimbursed) A systematic land-survey was also carried out, fixing the boundaries of villages and towns and regulating the revenue assessments 9

The Muslim population being comparatively small, the working of these reforms, as well as the normal administration, necessitated increasing dependence on the Hindu personnel Under the Khaljīs and Tughlaqs, there were frequent withdrawals of the Muslim officers from the Deccan to meet the exigencies in the North Twice at least we have noticed that the repatriation was on a large scale 1 When Malik Kāfūr recalled 'Ain-u'l-Mulk 'with all the Muslim inhabitants resident in the city (of Daulatābād)', ii When Muhammad Tughlaq relinquished his second capital Incidents of this nature encouraged insurrections on the part of even the Muslim officers. With the establishment of the Bahmanī kingdom, contact with the North was almost completely cut off. Only such Muslims as elected to settle in the South permanently

alone remained Occasionly a fortune-hunter came from outside The number of converts, though growing, was not very large Despite the strength of their polygamous harems and fecundity, the rulers found it necessary to augment their numbers by inviting foreign immigrants. But the remedy soon proved worse than the disease The local Muslims hated the New-comers and gave rise to constant civil strife resulting not infrequently in murderous orgies 'While the Delhi aristocracy and its early representatives in the 'South', writes Professor Sherwani, 'were mostly of Central Asian Turki stock or of Afghan heritage, the New-comers of the South came mostly from the coasts round the Persian Gulf or from further North, as far as the strip of territory on the south of the Caspian Sea, being mostly Syeds from Najaf, Karbala, and Medīna. and Persians from Sīstān, Khurāsān or Gīlān '10 The conflict between the Northerners-with their Habshī (Abyssinian) subordinates (who had settled down earlier in the Deccan)-and the New-comers from Iraq and Iran, led to precipitate the downfall of the Bahmanis

The importance of the Hindus becomes quite obvious in the light of the above conditions. The attitude of the Hindus towards the *Mlecchas* is illustrated by Firishta's observations on the forced marriages effected by the conquerors (e.g. between the daughter of Dev Rāi of Vijayanagar and Fīrūz Shāh Bahmanī). 'Though the Rāis of the Carnātic had never before given their daughters in marriage to any persons but those of their own caste', he writes, 'and *deemed it degrading to intermarry with strangers*, yet Dev Rāi, out of necessity, complied'il We have no reason to expect the Hindus of the Deccan to have been less conservative or orthodox

From the beginning, Hindus must have been largely employed in the civil administration. With the lapse of time, they came to be recruited also in the armies in increasing numbers. We have no statistical records to enable us to determine the proportion of Hindus in the Deccani forces employed in the so-called 'phād' against the 'infidels' of Vijayanagar, but

we cannot regard these medieval wars as wars of religion Equal ferocity and destructive zeal were exhibited by all the belligerents whether the fighting was among co-religionists or against the followers of another religion. The recorded instances of slaughter and demolition of sacred places are, therefore, to be looked upon more as 'acts of war' than 'facts of fanaticism'. The Hindu rulers of Vijayanagar soon learnt to enlist Muslim mercenaries in their armies even as the Sultāns of the Deccan had enrolled Hindus

The policy of the Bahmani rulers towards their infidel subjects may be best expressed in the words of Mahmud Gawan (used by him in another context) According to him 'the principles of justice and the causes of domination and subjection' were that 'those who of their own free will and without any compulsion acted according to the principles of the Qur'an and the Hadis, wore the turban of freedom, while those who put a cap of pride on their heads with the hand of denial fell from the steed of authority' Again, 'Some rose from the stage of subjection to elevated pedestals of high office and others, through good fortune, sat on royal thrones' 12 clearer terms we might state that 'submission to Islām was for the Hindus the highroad to promotion, while defection from it or opposition was the surest way to fall from the steed of authority' This is amply borne out by the doings of the Sultāns

'Alā-u'd-Dīn Hasan, the just Bahmanī king, conquered territories belonging to the Muslims no less than the Hindus But the Burhān-i-Ma'āthir declares, that Hasan Kangu ordered his generals to devastate and plunder the country of the infidels soon after his assumption of royal authority 18 The writer also adds that Hasan 'did much towards propagating the true Faith' Firishta describes his successor, as well, as 'a champion of the true religion' The greatest of the Bahmanī Sultāns, namely Fīrūz Shāh, who usurped the throne on 14 February 1397, was, according to the Burhān-i-ma'āthir, 'a good, just, and generous king, who supported himself by copying the

Qu'ran, and the ladies of his harem used to support themselves by embroidering garments and selling them'. Among his eclectic tastes were hard drinking, a passionate fondness for music, and addiction to a seraglio with an assortment of women drawn from several nationalities 14 In his war against Vijayanagar, he left 'the roads littered with the bodies of the slaughtered Hindus,' though he agreed to release his Brahman prisoners of war on payment of ten lakhs of hons Hindus when they won a victory over him, in 1419, mercilessly butchered their enemies, desecrated their mosques and ravaged their country In the graphic words of Firishta, 'The Hindus made a general massacre of the Mussulmans, and erected a platform with their heads on the field of battle They followed the Sultan into his own country, which they wasted with fire and sword, took many places, broke down many mosques and holy places, slaughtered the people without mercy, by their actions seeming to discharge the treasured malice and resentment of ages '15

Under the next Bahmanī King, Ahmad Shāh, the capital was shifted from Gulbarga to Bidar Dr Ishwari Prasad has characterised this ruler as a ferocious bigot and a cruel tryant But the Muslim chronicler says that 'his disposition was adorned with the ornament of clemency and temperance, and with the jewel of abstinence and devotion '16 Our particular interest in his reign is confined to his doings in the Konkan

Western Mahārāstra was the real cradle of native indepen-Even under the Yadavas, we have observed how, according to Marco Polo, the ruler of Thana owned no master above him Another such instance is that of the chief of Baglān who successfully defied Rāmdev Rāo Ibn-1-Batūta has noticed that there was a Muslim principality at Honavar and 'There are two towns in the interior', he writes, 'one an Goa ancient construction of the infidels, and the other built by the Mussulmans when they first conquered the Island (of Sandabur or Goa) In the latter there is a great cathedral mosque comparable to the mosques of Baghdad it was founded by Hasan,

father of the Sultān Jamāl-u'd-Dīn Muhammad of Hanāur' 17 This place was later annexed by Vijayanagar, and the Muslim dominion was rendered precarious and unreal over the west coast. Marco Polo alludes to the rich trade of the Konkan in finely dressed leather, cotton goods, gold and silver, though the sea was infested with pirates. Bahmanī boats occasionally put out to sea from Dābol and Chaul to bring commodities to the Kingdom from diverse maritime centres. 18

In 1403. Khalaf Hasan Basrī (Malık-u't-Tujjār) was ordered, by Sultan Ahmad Shah Bahmani I. to subdue the coast But the territory round Mahim was disputed by the Sultan of Gujarat Conflict was, however, averted by the intervention of some holy men on either side 19 In 1436, the Hındu rājā of Sonekhair was defeated, and he agreed to give his daughter in marriage to 'Alā-u'd-Dīn II 20 Eleven years later, Malık-u't-Tujjār was again dispatched to the Konkan (1347) But the Muslims this time suffered a crushing defeat at the hands of the Hindus There was division and suspicion of treason in the invader's camp. They retired to Chākan where the Deccani faction 'entertained the foreign Muslims with the sherbat of destruction and the sword of tyranny, so that about 1,200 Sayyıds of pure lineage and nearly 1,000 other foreigners (from 7 to 18 years of age) were put to the Firishta's account of the Hindu resistance to Bahmani is worthy of being noticed in full because of the light it throws upon the condition of the country and the spirit of the In it are to be found the seeds of the future Maratha revolt which was to spread out triumphantly in ever-widening circles

'At this time', writes the historian, 'Meamun Oolla Deccany formed a plan for reducing to subjection all the fort-resses along the sea-coast. To effect this, the King deputed Mullik-oot-Toojar with 7000 Deccany infantry and 3000 Arabian cavalry, besides his own division, to the west. Mullik-oot-Toojar, fixing upon Chākan as his seat of government, secured the fort near the city of Joonere, from whence he sent.

detachments at different times into Concan, and reduced several $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}s$ to subjection. At length he moved to that country in person, and laid siege to a fort the $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ of which was named Shirka, whom he speedily obliged to surrender and to deliver himself and family into his hands

'Mullik-oot-Toojar insisted that Shirka should embrace the faith of Islam or be put to death, upon which the subtle infidel, with much assumed humility, represented that there existed between him and Shunkur Ray who owned the country round Khelna (Vıśālgad) a family jealousy and that should he enter into the pale of Islam, and his rival remain secure in the full possession of power, he would, on the general's retreat, taunt him with ignominy on account of his change of relgion. and excite his own family and subjects to revolt, so that he should lose the countries his ancestors had held for ages Shirka added, however, that if M would reduce his rival Shunkur Ray of Khelna and give his country either to himself or one of his officers, which might be effected with little difficulty, he would then pronounce the creed of the true faith, enroll among the servants of the King, and remit annually a tribute to the treasury, as well as assist in reducing those rajās who might fail hereafter in their duty and allegiance

'M replied that he heard the road to the Ray's country was woody, and full of difficult passes. To which Shirka answered that, while there was a guide with the army so faithful and capable as himself, not a single soul should receive injury. Accordingly, M relying on the promises of the Rājā in the year 858, began his expedition against Khelna, but was deserted in the outset by the Deccany and Abyssinian officers and troops who declined entering the woods. Rājā Shirka, agreeably to his promise, during the first two days conducted the army along a broad road, so that the general praised his zeal and fidelity, but on the third day he led them by paths so intricate that the male tiger, from apprehension, might change his sex, and through passes more fortuitous than the curly locks of the fair, more difficult to escape from than the

Demons even might start at the precipices and mazes of love caverns in those wilds, and ghosts might be panic-struck at the awful view of the mountains. Here the sun never enlivened with his splendour the valleys, nor had providence designed that it should penetrate their depths. The very grass was tough and sharp as the pangs of serpents, and the air fetid as the breath of dragons Death dwelt in the waters and poison After winding, weary and alarmed, impregnated the breeze through these dreadful labyrinths, the army entered a darker forest a passage through which was difficult even to the winds It was bounded on three sides by mountains whose heads towered above the clouds, and on the other side was an inlet of the sea so that there was no path by which to advance. nor road for retreat but by which they had entered

'M at this crisis fell ill of a bloody flux so that he could not attend to the regularity of the line of march or give orders for the disposition of his troops who, being excessively fatigued, about nightfall flung themselves to rest wherever they could find room, for there was no spot which admitted of two tents being pitched near each other. While the troops were thus scattered in disorder, Shirka, their treacherous guide, left them and communicated to Shunkur Ray that he had lured the game into his toils The Ray, with a great force conducted by Shirka, about midnight attacked the Mussulmans from all quarters, who unsuspicious of surprise were buried in the sleep produced by excessive exertions. In this helpless state, nearly 7000 soldiers of the faithful were put to death like sheep, with knives and daggers, the wind blowing violently, the rustling of the trees prevented the troops from hearing the cries of their fellow-sufferers Among these was Mullik-oot-Toojar who fell with 500 noble Syuds of Medina, Kurbulla and Nujuf, as also some Deccany and Abyssinian officers, together with about 2000 of their adherents who had remained with their general Before daylight, the Ray having completed his bloody work retired with his people from the forest' 22

The struggle for Maratha independence begun by the

Sirkés, in the manner described above, was not taken up by other Marāthās immediately. For the time being it ended as a heroic episode. But in western Mahārāṣtra and Konkan there were many hard nuts to crack, and ultimately the Muslim powers were baffled by the intrepid Māvalés of these regions. It took a couple of centuries before the land could produce a Sivājī. Meanwhile the Muslims had their complete innings and the Marāṭhās had to serve out their full tutelage.

During the satanic rule of Humāyūn (1458-61) not only the Hindus, but even his Muslim subjects got disgusted. He was a sadist and constant shedder of human blood, fit to rank with the Hindu Harsa of Kāshmīr or Caligula and Nero of Rome. When he died, the poet Naṣīr composed this fitting chronogram.

Humāyūn Shāh has passed away from the world God Almighty, what a blessing was the death of Humāyūn '

On the date of his death the world was full of delight

So "Delight of the World" gives the date of his death Two minors—Ahmad III and Muhammad III—sat on the throne in three years (1461-63) under the regency of the Dowager-queen, Makhdūmah-i-Jahān The enemies of the Bahmanīs took full advantage of the situation and invaded their territories. The worst of them was Mahmūd Khaljī of Mālwā who advanced as far as Bīdar and ravaged the country all around the capital. The houses of the nobles as well as of the common people were plundered and destroyed. But the queen-regent was a valiant lady. She drove away the invader with the help of the King of Gujarāt, and also won great popularity for herself by releasing all the prisoners capriciously imprisoned by her son Nizām-u'd-Dīn Ahmad. Khwāja Jahān Mahmūd Gāwān was her coadjutor and successor to power in the Bahmanī Kingdom.

Despite his undoubted greatness in other ways, Mahmūd, like most of his contemporaries, was an uncompromising bigot.

So far as the Hindu subjects were concerned, therefore, the efficiency of his administration only resulted in making Muslim tyranny more efficiently tyrannical. He was also an imperialist He 'increased the Bahmani dominions to an extent never reached before'. One of the tasks to which he addressed himself was to rehabilitate the prestige of the Sultāns shattered in western Mahārāṣtra by the disastrous Khelnā expedition of Malik-u't-Tujjār.

The Marāthā rājās of Kheļna and Sangameśvar, emboldened by their recent triumph, had continued their rebellious activities. They particularly meddled with the sea-trade making common cause with the pirates of the west coast. The Rāya of Sangameśvar alone, according to Gāwān, sent 130 ships to rob the Mecca pilgrims annually, and 'many thousands of Muslims were sacrificed at the altar of the greed of these people' ²³ He therefore organised a grand campaign in order to permanently subjugate the southern and western parts of the country. It was to be a three-pronged thrust towards Bāgalkot and Hubli under the Sultān in person, it towards Belgaum under. Yūsuf 'Ādil Shāh, and it in the Konkan under Gāwān himself. Though ultimately all of them were successful, the last one proved the most hazardous ²⁴

It is to be remembered that in 1436 Sultān 'Alā-u'd-Dīn had sent Dilāwar Khān with an army 'to reduce the tract of country along the sea-shore called Concan inhabited by a hardy race of men' (Firishta), that Dilāwar succeeded in reducing the rājās of 'Rairee and Sonkehr' to submission, and further that the Khān 'secured the beautiful daughter of the latter rājā for the King' Though the officer was suspected of having 'received bribes from the rājās of Concan and had not done his utmost to reduce their fortresses', 'Alā-u'd-Dīn was 'charmed with the rājā's daughter, who was without equal in beauty, disposition and knowledge of music '25 However, no effective results followed, and the rājās continued to harass travellers, both on land and sea, and 'constructed the strongest defences imaginable' The merchants were afraid of taking

their wares out, and there was a big drop in the commerce of the Kingdom 26

Early in 1469 (874 H) Mahmud Gawan marched to Kolhāpūr and made that his H Q 27 during his campaign against the recalcitrant infidels of the west country He summoned to his assistance troops from Junnar, Chākan, Kolhār, Dābhol, Chaul. Wai and Man 28 'Shunkur Ray of Khelna constantly maintained a fleet of 300 vessels,' writes Farishta, 'and interrupted the traffic of the Mahomedans Upon the report of Khwāja M Gāwān's approach, the infidels contracted defensive alliances with each other, and assembled in great numbers at the head of the passes, but M Gawan by degrees forced all their positions Finding his cavalry useless in the mountainous country, he sent back the horse he had brought from the capital, and contented himself with the troops under Asud Khan Geelany, with the Joonere division, and his own dependents under Khoosh Kuddum, with the troops from Kolhar and Dabul With this army he made his way by means of fire and the axe through the woods He lay five months before the fort of Khelna without reducing it, and the rains setting in, compelled him to relinquish the siege Committing the passes to the protection of 10,000 infantry inured to the climate, and on whom he could depend, he ascended the mountains and constructed thatched huts to pass the wet season in the district of Kolhapür, where he captured the fort of Rāmgur After the rainy season, he again descended the passes, and by stratagems and gifts of money, obtained possession of the fortress of Khelna, which had never till then been in the hands of the Mussulmans '29

Gāwān returned to the capital only after an arduous campaign lasting three years. So great a strain had this put upon him that Firishta says. 'M Gāwān retiring to his chamber, disrobed himself of his splendid dress, threw himself on the ground, and wept plenteously, after which he came out, put on the habit of a dervish, and calling together all the most deserving holy and learned men, and Syuds of Ahmudābād,

Bīdur, distributed among them most of his money, jewels, and other wealth, reserving only his elephants, horses, and library; saying, "Praise be to God, I have escaped temptation, and am now free from dangers" '30

After Gāwān came the deluge The Bahmanī empire split up into the pentarchy of 'Imādshāhī, 'Ādilshāhī, Nizāmshāhī, Qutbshāhī, and Barīdshāhī There were in all fourteen rulers who reigned during 180 years Avoiding the extremes of both eulogy and deprecation such as that of Meadows Taylor and Vincent Smith, and also bearing in mind the general standards of that age,³¹ it is still difficult to feel enthusiastic over the total performance of the Bahmanī Kings Confining our attention to their Hindu subjects whose condition alone is relevant to our theme, it is futile to deny that they were shabbily treated, though they might have shared a moiety of the good things of life during the fitful periods of prosperity as residuary legatees

Athanasius Nikitin, a Russian merchant, sojourned in the Kingdom from 1470-74 His impartial observations worthy of attention . 'The land is overstocked with people', he writes, 'but those in the country are very miserable, while the nobles are extremely opulent and delight in luxury's2 It is not difficult to distinguish between the opulent classes and the indigent masses, the former were mostly composed of the ruling Muslim nobles, and the latter largely comprised the conquered Hindu subjects The wealth of the rich was derived from the peaceful toils of the peasants, and the spoils of war, supplemented by the profits of such trade as then existed But war was the most paying industry, especially when it was the enemies' countries that were more frequently devastated Under the Bahmanī Sultāns most of the fighting was done on foreign soil While, therefore, the 'overstocked' population supplied the man-power for the armies, those who survived the slaughter, or rather their masters, were enriched beyond the dreams of avarice How this wealth was expended might be gathered from the following description by Nikitin

He found the Khorassanian 'Boyar' Melik Tuchar, merchant prince, keeping an army of 2,00,000 men, Melik Khān, 1,00,000, Kharat Khān, 20,000, and many other Khāns keeping an army of 10,000 men. The Sultān went out with 3,00,000 men of his own 'They are wont to be carried on their silver beds (pālkīs), preceded by some twenty chargers caparisoned in gold, and followed by 300 men on horse-back, and by 500 on foot, and by horn-men, 10 torchbearers and 10 musicians. The Sultān goes out hunting, with his mother and his lady, and a train of 10,000 men on horseback, 50,000 on foot, 200 elephants adorned in gilded armour, and in front 100 horsemen, 100 dancers, and 300 common horses in golden clothing, 100 monkeys, and 100 concubines, all foreign'33

It has ever been the lot of conquered peoples to support the burdens of such gilded prosperity. But what galled the 'infidels' most was not the 'shocking contrast between the wealth of the rich and the poverty of the poor, but the religious intolerance of their fanatical rulers. Consequently, the first spontaneous reactions of the oppressed masses were neither in the political nor in the economic field, but in the religious. We shall deal with these consequences in a later chapter. Here we must complete the story of Muslim rule under the minor dynasties which arose out of the ruins of the Bahmanī Kingdom.

Of the five kingdoms referred to before, the 'Imādshāhī was absorbed by Ahmadnagar in 1574, and the Barīdshāhī by Bījāpūr in 1609 Thus the Nizāmshāhī of Ahmadnagar, the 'Ādilshāhī of Bījāpūr, and the Qutbshāhī of Golkonda alone played rôles of any consequence in the seventeenth century Of these three, the first was extinguished in 1636, the second in 1686, and the last in 1687 The Nizāmshāhī existed for 146 years, the 'Ādilshāhī for 197 years, and the Qutbshāhī for 169 years Together they ruled over most of Mahārāṣṭra, a part of Āndhra and a portion of Karnāṭak Besides these there was the Fārūqī kingdom of Khāndesh (1388-1601) în the Tāptī valley with its key fortress of Asīrgarh and its

capital city of Burhānpūr which became the Mughal base of operations in the Deccan during the seventeenth century. But, from the point of view of Marāthā history, the Nizāmshāhī and the 'Ādilshāhī must engage most of our immediate attention

The founder of the Nızāmshāhī was Malık Ahmad Bahrī, son of Nizām-u'l-Mulk who led the Deccani Muslims against the foreigners in the quarrels which culminated in the assassi nation of Mahmud Gawan (1481) Within a decade of this event three new kingdoms came into existence in quick succession the 'Imādshāhī in 1484, the 'Adilshāhī in 1489, and the Nīzāmshāī in 1490 34 The Qutbshāī followed in 1518, and the Barīdshāhī in 1526 Malik Ahmad was governor of Junnar when he rebelled against his Bahmanī sovereign His position was considerably strengthened by his capture of Dau-His successor, Burhan Nizamshah, ruled for latābād in 1499 forty-five years (1508-53) playing an important part in the Deccan politics In 1550 he allied himself with the Hindu kıngdom of Vıjayanagar against his co-religionist 'Ādilshāhī Eight years later a reversal of alliances was brought about by the Bijāpūr ruler who invaded the Nizāmshāhī territory along with the Vijayanagar forces This proved a fateful invasion The Hindus under Rāma Rāya of Vijayanagar enacted such barbarities in the Ahmadnagar kingdom that they provoked savage repercussions Indeed, to cut a long story short, these brutalities drove the Muslim powers to form a strong confederacy, under the leadership of Bìjāpūr, for the destruction of Vıjayanagar

In December 1564 the confederates met at Tālıkota (25 miles n of the Kṛṣṇā river), now in Bṛjāpūr District On Tuesday, 23 January 1565 (20 Jum 11 972 H)³⁵ battle was joined with the Vijayanagar army in the village of Bāyāpūr or Bhogāpūr (better known as Rakkastangadı) The result is too well known to need dilation That historic battle ranks with Tarāin (1192), Khānuā (1527), Haldīghāṭ (1576), and Pānipat (1761), in the annals of Hindu India Each one of

these engagements proved a sanguinary triumph for Muslim arms with far-reaching consequences

Husain Nizāmshāh of Ahmadnagar and 'Āli 'Ādilshāh of Bījāpūr, commanded, respectively, the centre and the right wing of the Muslim army The left wing was led by Ibrāhīm Qutbshāh of Golkonda The conquerors shared the dominions, though not the traditions, of the defeated Vijayanagar kingdom The great Hindu empire of the South which had lasted for more than two centuries, as V A Smith has observed, was finally ended, and the supremacy of Islām in the Deccan was assured ³⁶

Ahmadnagar continued to flourish for sixty years more (1565-1626), especially under the vigorous leadership of Chand Bībī and Malık 'Ambar Husaın Nızāmshāh was succeeded by Murtazā (1565-86) During the new régime Berār was annexed to the Nizāmshāhī territories (1574), but little else worthy of notice took place On the other hand, the period following was marked by faction-fights. futile wars, and weak successors on the throne Consequently, Ahmadnagar fell a prey to ambitious aggressors from the North and the South Chānd Bībī and Malık 'Ambar, no doubt, heroically struggled against the external enemies and pulled up the State from within to the level of a precarious prosperity, but they were soon overwhelmed by the external enemies Partners in great victories have seldom continued to live in amity nagar and Bījāpūr were no exceptions Their quarrels encouraged the Mughal emperors to push forward their imperial designs in the Deccan, thereby endangering the liberty of all Burhanpur and Ahmadnagar were occupied by the imperialists in 1600. Asīrgarh was taken by them in 1601 Malik 'Ambar continued to fight valiantly against them for another quarter of a century But neither his courage nor patriotism nor resourcefulness availed anything (as we shall witness in the next chapter), in the face of Bījāpūr and the Mughals anticipate that history a little, the fall of the Nizāmshāhī was precipitated by the unholy alliance between the 'Adılshāh and

the Mughal Emperor The terms of the compact between them might be quoted here without comment, for they speak for themselves

- 1 The 'Ādilshāh was to acknowledge the overlordship of the Mughal Emperor and promise to obey his orders in future.
- 11 The pretensions of Nızāmshāhī were to be noted and all its territories to be divided between the Emperor and the King of Bījāpūr
- The latter was to retain all his ancestral dominions with the following additions —From the Ahmadnagar kingdom in the west, the Sholāpūr and Wāngi Mahals, between the Bhīmā and the Sīnā rivers, including the forts of Sholāpūr and Parendā, in the NE, the parganas of Bhalki and Chidgupa, and that portion of the Konkan which belonged to the Nizāmshāh, including Poona and Chākan districts

These acquisitions comprised 59 parganas and yielded a revenue of 20 lākhs of hons or nearly 80 lākhs of rupees. The rest of the Nizāmshāhī territory was to be annexed to the Mughal Empire "beyond question or doubt" The parganas specified above and the hinterland between the Mughal and Bījāpūr dominions constituted the heart of Mahārāṣṭra. This was the cradle of a historic movement that was presently to arise and shake the foundations of Muslim dominions alike in the Deccan and the North

Bahmanī history repeated itself in the 'Ādilshāhī no less than in the Nizāmshāhī. The 'Ādilshāhī kingdom of Bījāpūr, founded in 1489, by Yūsuf 'Ādil Shāh, ran its uneven course until its extinction at the hands of Aurangzeb in 1686. Its greatest achievement in the cause of Islāmic rule was the overthrow of Vijayanagar in 1565 followed by the annexation of its provinces in the South thereafter. Firishta has described Yūsuf as 'a wise prince, intimately acquainted with human nature,' handsome, eloquent, well read, and a skilled musician 'Although he mingled pleasure with business, yet he never allowed the former to interfere with the latter. He always warned his ministers to act with justice and integrity, and in

his own person showed them an example of attention to those virtues. He invited to his court many learned men and valuant officers from Persia, Turkistan, and Rūm, also several eminent artists who lived happily under the shadow of his bounty. In his reign the citadel of Bijāpūr was built of stone '38

An illuminating incident is also narrated by Firishta When Yoosoof Adıl Khān first established his independence, he heard that one Mookund Row Marhatta and his brother, who had both been officers under the Bahmuny government, had with a number of peasants fled and taken up a strong position among the hills with the determination of opposing his authority he accordingly marched against them at the head of 2,000 cavalry and 5,000 infantry they were defeated and their families fell into the hands of the King these was the sister of Mookund Row, whom Yoosoof afterwards espoused, and gave her the title of Booboojee Khanum By this lady he had three daughters and one son, Ismael, who succeeded to the throne Of the three daughters, Muryum, the eldest, married Burhan Nizam Shah Bheiry of Ahmud-Khoodena, the second, married Ala-ood-Deen Imadool-Moolk, King of Gavul and Berar and Beeby Musseety. the third, married Ahmud Shah Bahmuny at Goolburga, as has been related '39

This story is interesting as revealing Yūsuf's intimacy with the Hindus. Vincent Smith says that he freely admitted Hindus to offices of trust, the Marāthī language was ordinarily used for purposes of accounts and business 40. Marriage with a Hindu woman captured in war may not, however, be construed as anything more than attraction towards a member of the opposite sex rather than of the opposing sect. Yet, it is well to remember that the lady became the mother of one Muslim ruler and the mother-in-law of three others. It is also significant to observe that the unidentified Mukund Rāo, together with his unnamed brother, was carrying forward the tradition of the Sirkés, fighting valiantly with the help of a 'peasant' army and taking advantage of the mountainous

character of their country It is not, therefore, to be wondered at that the Muslim rulers found it expedient to tame these turbulent Marāthās under the yoke of civil and military ser-"It must always be remembered," writes Gribble, "that the Mahomedan conquests, not only in the Deccan but also throughout India, were the conquests of a foreign army of the forts and strongholds The country itself was left untouched, and the fort once taken, it was either razed like Vijayanagar, or a garrison being left there, the army marched on The Hindoo ryots were left to till their fields as before, and the only difference to them was that they paid their land-tax to a Mahomedan instead of a Hindoo landlord. The artisans and merchants still plied their crafts as formerly, it was only the members of the royal families who retreated before the conquerors A large number of the landed proprietors were also allowed to remain, with authority to collect the revenue. on condition, however, that they paid a fixed rent to the Government Over each small district was placed a Mahomedan governor who was supported by a small body of troops with which he kept order There was no occupation of the country by the Mahomedans and no settlement of the conquerors in the rural parts. The Hindoo population remained a nation as separate and as apart as it had been when they were ruled by their own countrymen Their customs and their religious rites remained the same. When the wave of war swept over their villages, then temples and shrines were desecrated, in those places which had not been visited by the foreign army, the old structures still remained and, during times of peace, they were not molested Some of these Hindoo Zamındars proved faithful servants and brought with them their own retainers to serve in the Mahomedan armies this way the constitution of the Mahomedan armies of the Deccan underwent a gradual change Whether it was owing to constant feud between the foreign and the Deccanee Mahomedans, or whether foreigners found greater attractions in the armies of the great Delhi Emperors, cannot now be said, but

of volunteer adventurers from foreign parts from whom to recruit the Deccan armies. It therefore became the custom to recruit the ranks largely from among the Hindoo warlike tribes—the Beydars, Mahrattas and Rajputs. The chief commands were bestowed upon Mahomedans, and there were also special regiments composed exclusively of Mahomedans amongst whom were also Arabs and Abyssinians. The armies, however, were very largely made up of Hindoos, and not only did this cause a change in their system of warfare, but it led eventually to a weakening of the army itself."

The Marathas or Bargas, he goes on to point out, especially distinguished themselves as irregular cavalry and were largely employed in the hilly country ending in the Western "Mahomedans at no period seem to have had any partiality for hills and jungles When they received a jaghir (or estate) they preferred that it should be in the plains, if possible, not far from the capital Even then, they seldom resided in their country seas, except occasionally for hunting or purposes of sport They preferred the vicinity of the Courts with all their intrigues and luxury. They therefore left the wilder portions of the Deccan in the hands of these Hindoo chieftains, stipulating only that each Zamindar should bring a certain number of retainers into the field In this way there gradually grew up a hardy tace of mountaineers, always the best stuff for soldiers, who, brought up in their own faith and traditions, were yet taught the art of war by their conquerors, and only awaited a time of danger and of weakness to raise the standard of revolt, and assert their own independence This was, in fact, the origin of the Mahratta nation, and the Sultans of Bijapur and Ahmadnagar may be said to have educated and brought into existence the nation which, before long, was to take, not only their places, but very nearly to acquire the sovereignty of India "41

It is difficult to improve upon this description of the conditions in which the Marāṭhās found their great opportunities

All over Mahārāstra todav there are families in whose veins runs the blood of their ancestors who exploited this situation to their fullest advantage. Most of them have preserved traditions, partly oral and partly written, which when sifted and verified would provide rich material for their grand national saga These sources of Maratha history ought not to be contemptuously dismissed as worthless fabrications or "gossiping Bakhars and gasconading Tawarīkhs" For our present period they constitute an invaluable source where some of the details might appear to be of doubtful authenticity, the tradition as a whole is borne out by the test of cross-references and mutual corroborations They are, besides, so interwoven with place-names, institutions and practices which have continued in after ages, that little doubt might be cast upon their essential veracity Minus a few mythical touches and interpolations calculated to foster family pride, they provide a wealth of valuable information which remains to be fully utilised. They certainly give us a full picture in tone and colour of the formative period of Marāthā history, which must not be ignored or neglected

Under the Deccan Sultāns, the country was divided into Tarafs or Sarkārs, subdivided into parganas or prānts, which in their turn were made up of units, the smallest of which was a village. The revenue was farmed out in small portions and collected mostly through Hindu agents. There were 'Āmils or government officers to regulate the police work and decide civil suits. These last were generally referred to the Panchāyats. Over the 'Āmils was a Muqāsādār or 'Amaldār (who was not always a Mahomedan), and above the latter a Sūbā. "He did not reside constantly in the districts, and took no share in the revenue management, although deeds and formal writings of importance were made out in his name" 122

The military organisation was feudal in character The hill-forts were generally garrisoned by the Marāthās under the *Deśmukhs* and *Jāgīrdārs* A few places of great importance were reserved by the King, by whom the *qile'dārs* and gover-

nors were appointed Rank depended upon the number of retainers and horses maintained for which a jägir was generally assigned Grant Duff observes, 'the quota of troops so furnished was very small in proportion to the size of the jagheer Phultun Desh, for which in the time of the Mahratta Peishwas 350 horse were required, only furnished 50 to the Beejapoor government, at a very late period of that dynasty. but the Mahratta chiefs could procure horse at a short notice, and they were entertained or discharged at pleasure convenience to a wasteful Court and an improvident government The allegiance of the Hindoo sardars was secured by the conferment of titles like Naik, Raja, and Rao, which invariably carried with it the means of supporting the new rank Often the Mahrattas proved recalcitrant and even dangerous. but they were seldom united They fought with rancour wherever individual disputes or hereditary feuds existed, and that spirit of rivalry, which was fomented by the Kings of the Bahmanne dynasty, was one means of keeping the Mahrattas poised against each other in the dynasties which succeeded them '43

Rānadé has pointed out that Brāhman Deśpāndés and Marāthā Deśmukhs or Desāis were in charge of district collections, and the names of Dādopant, Narso Kālé, and Yesu Pandit were distinguished for the great reforms they introduced in the Bījāpūr revenue administration Brāhman ambassadors were employed by the Ahmadnagar kings at the Courts of Gujarāt and Mālwā, and Kamalsén, a Brāhman Peśvā held great power under the first Burhānshāh Yesu Pandit was Mustaphā in the Bījāpūr kingdom at the same time 44

One of the earliest Marāthā families to carve out a place for themselves was that of the Nimbālkars of Phaltan Its scions still rule over their historic principality. Their family traditions stretch back to the days of Muhammad Tughlaq, and recount the distinguished part played by the Nimbālkars under successive dynasties 45. One Nimba Rāj appears to have obtained the title of Nāik from M. Tughlaq together with a

jägīr worth three and a half lākhs His son, Wananga-bhūpāl, distinguished himself under the Bahmanīs, and he married Jaivantābāi, daughter of Kāmrāj Ghātgé, who was a great mansabdār Under the 'Ādilshāhī, a Nimbāļkar was made Sardeśmukh of Phalṭan, according to Grant-Duff, before the middle of the seventeenth century, "as appears by original sunuds of that date" He also adds, that Wungojee Naik, better known by the title of Jugpāl, who lived in the early part of that century "was notorious for his restless and predatory habits" A sister of this Jugpāl was the giandmother of Sivāji the Great (1 e wife of Mālojī Bhoslé)

Shāhjī, father of Sivājī, got a good footing because of his relations with the Nimbālkars on the one side (in the 'Ādil-, shāhī) and with the Jādhavs on the other (in the Nizāmshāhī) The jāgīrs of these important Marāthā families, stretching athwart the country, and occupying contiguous lands, formed an imperium in imperio on account of the de facto power they wielded

Another such family was that of the Morés who were 'originally Nāiks in the Carnātic' One of them had risen to be a commander of 12,000 infantry. Yūsuf 'Adil Shāh employed him in the reduction of the wild tract between the Nīrā and Wāranā rivers. In this enterprise Moré was successful, and he dispossessed the Śīrkés and their allies, the Guzars, the Mohités, the Mahādiks, etc. For this great service the title of Chandra Rāo was conferred upon Moré. His son Yaśvant Rāo, likewise, distinguished himself in a battle against Burhān Nizāmshāh, near Parenda, and captured his green flag. He was consequently allowed to use that trophy as his standard, and succeeded his father, as Rājā of Jāvli 'Their posterity used the same tract of country for seven generations, and by their mild and useful administration that inhospitable region became extremely populous '41

The Ghātgés of Khatāv Deś were separated from the Nımbālkars by the Mahādev Hills They were *Deśmukhs* of Mān under Bahmanī rule, but the title of *Sardeśmukh* was

conferred upon Nāgojī Rāo Ghāţgé by Ibrāhīm 'Ādılshāh in 1626, together with the honorific Jujār Rāo The Mānés were Deśmukhs of Mhasvad in Mān tālukā (51 miles e of Sātārā) They too were distinguished Śilédārs under Bījāpūr government, 'but nearly as notorious for their revengeful character as the Śirkés' The Daflés of Jath (Bījāpūr District) were hereditary Pāṭils of Daflāpūr, and the Sāvants of Wādi (near Goa) were Deśmukhs who got the title of Bahādur from the 'Ādilshāh for service against the Portuguese "It is remarkable of their territory," writes Grant-Duff, "that the ancient appellation of the family is preserved in our modern maps They were distinguished as commanders of infantry, a service best adopted to the country which they inhabited" 49

The Sāvants were Bhoslés like the Deśmukhs of Mudhoł and Kāpśi, near the Wāranā and Ghaṭaprabhā rivers respectively. All these Marāthās traced their origin from North Indian Kṣatriyas or Rājpūts the Nimbālkars were Pawārs or Paramārs, and the Daflés Chauhāns, the Jādhavs of Sindkhed were Yādavs, and the Bhoslés Sisodiyās. The story of the migration of junior members of the Kṣatriya ruling families of the North runs in the family traditions of several chieftains of the Deccan and the South According to Rudrakavi's Rāstraudha-Vamśa Mahākāvya (1596 AD) the founder of the Bāgul principality of Mayūrgiri (Nāsik District) belonged to the Rāthoḍ family, and originally came from Kanauj Minus its poetic and mythological touches, several of the historical facts mentioned by the poet are corroborated by other evidence. A few incidents are worthy of notice here

Bāglān (country of the Bāguls) came under Muslim domination after the fall of the Yādavas of Devgiri The Tārīkh-i-Fīrūzshāhī states that (c 1340) the mountains of Sālhér and Mulhér were held by a chief named Māndev (a mistake for Nānadev of the Bāgul family) The Mayūrgiri kingdom appears to have been founded at the commencement of the fourteenth century 50 But it was compelled into submission successively by the Muslim rulers of Bīdar, Khāndesh

and Gujarāt In 1429, during the Bahmanī-Gurjarāt war, the Bāgul territory was over-run and devastated by Ahmad Shāh I (Bahmanī) Seventy years later we find that the Bāguls were tributaries to Ahmadnagar. Next, in 1539, Bahādur Shāh of Gujarāt subjugated them Finally, when Akbar conquered Khāndesh (1599), they had to submit to the Mughals

The A'in-1-Akbari refers to the Rathod chief of the mountainous region between Surat and Nandurbar, who commanded 8,000 horse and 5,000 infantry. He owned seven forts, two of which (Shālhér and Mulhér) were places of unusual strength Owing to its abundance of grain, fodder and water, Bāglān was able to resist Akbar during a prolonged siege of seven years 'As the passes were most strongly fortified, and so narrow that not more than-two men could march abreast, Akbar was in the end obliged to compound with the chief, giving him Nizāmpūr, Daita, and Badūr, with several other villages In return Pratapshah agreed to take care of merchants passing through his territory, to send presents to the Emperor, and to leave one of his sons as a pledge at Burhānpūr'51 Jahāngīr in his Memoirs writes 'He (i e Pratāpshāh) had about 1,500 horse in his pay, and in time of need could bring into the field 3,000 horse The aforesaid Rājā does not drop the thread of caution and prudence in dealing with the rulers of Gujarāt, the Deccan, and Khāndesh has never gone himself to see any of them, and if any of them has wished to stretch out his hand to possess his kingdom, he has remained undisturbed through the support of the others After the province of Gujarat, the Deccan and Khandesh came into possession of the late king (Akbar), Bharjiv (Pratāpshāh) came to Burhanpur and had the honour of kissing his feet. and, after being enrolled among his servants, was raised to the mansab of 3,000 '52

The Bhoslés were destined to play by far the most important rôle in shaping the history of the future Like the founder of the Bāgul dynasty, the Sisodiyā ancestor of Sivājī appears to have come into the Deccan about the time the Bahmanī

kingdom was established. According to the documents in the possession of the Bhoslé (Ghorpadé) rulers of Mudhol, two brothers, Sajjan Sinh and Ksem Sinh,—sons of Ajay Sinh, son of Laksman Sinh of Chitor (kinsman of Ratna Sinh, the husband of the famous Padmini of 'Ala-u'd-Din Khalii's adventure of 1303)—being disinherited by their father, came into the Deccan as soldiers of fortune Sajjan and his son Dilip were granted a jāgīr by 'Alā-u'd-Dīn Hasanshāh Bahmanī in recognition of their gallant services, at Mirat near Daulata-The farman relating to this (dated November 1352), still preserved at Mudol, reads 'Being pleased with the valiant deeds displayed on the battle-field by Rana Dilip Sinh, Sardār-4-Khāskhel, the son of Sajjan Sinh and grandson of Ajay Sinh, ten villages in Mirat (Taraf Devgarh) are granted to him for the maintenance of his family So, in accordance with his wishes, they should be given over to him Ramazān, 753 H '53

Firishta says that 'Suddoo' (Sidoji, son of Dilip) was awarded the title of 'Meer Nobat' for his great exploits son Bhairoji or Bhimji obtained Mudhol, along with 84 adjoining villages, in 800 H (1398), from Fīrūz Shāh Bahmanī In the farman Sidoji is referred to as Thanedar of Sagar who 'sacrificed himself in the thick of the fight' Bhairoji who fought shoulder to shoulder with his father against our enemies, and showed great courage and ability, attracted our royal attention as deserving of favours So in recognition of these qualities Mudhol and the adjoining 84 villages (Taraf Rāibāg) have been granted as a mark of royal favour to Bhairavsinhji So he should take possession of this jagir and enjoy it from generation to generation and render diligent and loyal service in the cause of our Empire 25 Rabi-u'lākhar, 800 H' (15 January 1398) 54

Another document speaks of the services of Ugrasen 'in the battle against the Rājā of Vijayanagar', and adds, 'In the same manner, from the beginning of this Kingdom, the ancestors of his family have faithfully sacrificed their lives in the service of our Sovereignty Hence, the cherishing and sustaining of

this family is incumbent on us' This farmān (dated 8 <u>Shawwāl</u>, 827 H or 3 September 1424) links up the Mudhol and Mirat jāgīrs (with Pāthrī) 'given from old days' to continue in the possession of Ugrasen, 'so that he may serve us with satisfaction' To these territories the pargana of Wāi was added, in 1454, by 'Alā-u'd-Dīn II for service during the campaign against the Sirkés of Khelna

Subhakrsna, a younger son of this Ugrasen, together with his paternal uncle, Pratap Sinh, left Mudhol on account of a family dispute, and settled on the Mirat jāgīr, about 1460 Thenceforward the two sections of the Bhoslés developed along divergent lines Muhammad Shāh Bahmanī's farmān (of 7 Jumādī-u'l-Awwal, 876 or 22 October 1471) explains the circumstances in which the Mudhol family acquired its more popular name of Ghorpadé, in it the final capture of Khelna is attributed to Krsna Sinh and Bhīm Sinh of this family 58 Successive farmans of the 'Adilshahs bear witness to the continued loyalty of the Ghorpadés to their Muslim masters But the northern branch of the Bhoslés, descended from Subhakrsna, though serving under the Nizāmshāhī rulers, struck out for greater independence Shāhjī and Sivājī belonged to the Mirat branch In this line were born Mālojī and his brother Vithojī They were originally Pātils of Verūl (Ellora near Daulatābād). under Lukhjī Jādhav Rāo, who was Deśmukh of Sindkhed (Nızāmshāhī) Mālojī married Umābāi, a sister of Vangojī Nāik Nimbālkar of Phaltain Their son, Shāhjī was married to Jıjābāi, daughter of Lukhjī Jādhav Rāo She became the mother of the famous Śivājī When Mālojī died fighting at the battle of Indapur, in 1606, he left behind him as family jāgīr, Ellora, Dheradi, Kannrad, several villages in the Jafrābād, Daulatābād and Ahmadābād (Nızāmshāhī) districts, besides the management of the Poona estate 57 He had already acquired the status of a mansabdar of 5000 horse before the historic marriage of Shāhjī and Jijābāi The exploits of Shāhjī will be dealt with in the next chapter But the ground had been already prepared for him in the manner described by us above

CHAPTER THREE

THE PIONEERS

"We Rājpūts have served from old till now under several kings, we have never before served nor shall we do so in future under dishonour and displeasure. We shall not further put up with unfair treatment—Shāhjī to 'Āli 'Ādil Shāh 1

During the seventeenth century, when the Mughal Empire was in the plenitude of its power and prosperity, the Southern States were in a crumbling condition. Already a century had elapsed since the dissolution of the Bahmanī Kingdom, and Vijayanagar was more a memory than a political entity to reckon with. While the Nizāmshāhī was tottering to its fall, Golkonda and Bījāpūr were emitting a last fitful glow before their extinction. Both the Deccan and the peninsula further south, therefore, offered a tempting field to adventurous spirits whether they were of local or foreign origin. Our concern in this chapter is to trace the doings of some of these adventurers who ultimately proved themselves the creators of a new order

At the outset, it is helpful to bear in mind that the century opened with the death of Akbar (1605), in North India, and closed with the death of Aurangzeb (1707) in the Deccan These two titans, each ruling for half-a-century, enclosed between their reigns an epoch of grandeur and power such as had rarely been witnessed in India since the days of the imperial Mauryas and Guptas. The best period of Muslim rule in the Deccan was certainly over, and further south, the vanishing shadow of Vijayanagar brooded over a congeries of warring chieftains, rather than States, who had up till recently been its subordinates or feudatories. Golkonda and Bījāpūr were like two lizards trying to lick in these political ephemera, while the imperial cat was already at their back about to swallow them. The Marāthās stepped in at this juncture, and by a combina-

tion of the 'mountain-rats' performed the miracle of saving themselves from the feline danger Shāhjī Bhoslé, father of sivājī, occupies a position of great promise among the pioneers of the Marāthā movement for the liberation of their country rom the domination of Islāmic powers

Personality has ever played a prominent part in politics; and though our chief aim is historical rather than biographical. we have necessarily to note a few landmarks in the careers of the early makers of Marāthā history Shāhjī was born on 15 March 1594 and married Jijābāi, daughter of Lukhjī Jādhav Rão, in 1605 His second marriage took place about 1625, with Tukābāi Mohité at Bījāpūr² These details are of importance on account of their political consequences Sivaji was born of the former wife and Vvankoi of the latter Both became founders of States whose history we are to trace in later chapters Besides, the two marriages led to family feuds which were not without significance in shaping important events One of the immediate results of the dispute which arose between the Bhoslés and the Jadhavs was that Lukhji went over to the Mughal camp.³ while Shāhjī remained in the Nizāmshāhī to be one of its last defenders

It is not to be supposed, however, that Shāhjī's service was disinterested, for he too was a fortune-hunter and changed sides as exigencies dictated But his bona fides may not be questioned, relatively speaking. While his father-in-law went away ın a huff and petulant pıque. Shāhjī proved a more loyal supporter of the kingdom though not of every prince who sat on the Nızāmshāhī throne 1 The circumstances were such that no absolute consistency of conduct could be expected from anybody / Shāhjī was one among several soldiers of fortune should judge his actions in terms of the situations as they arose rather than by any absolute standards, more with a view to understand and elucidate than to praise or condemn could rise above many of his contemporaries, but not above That transcendence was reserved for his gifted son his age Siväji

The Nizāmshāhī kingdom, founded by Malik Ahmad Bahrī in 1490, was practically extinguished in 1633 when Husain Nızām Shāh III was captured by the Mughals and sent a prisoner to Gwalior fort, after the fall of Daulatabad 4 But a puppet prince was put up by Shāhjī, and lived as a fugitive, until the king-maker was compelled to surrender him at Māhuli three years later 5 The commencement of the public career of Shāhjī covers the momentous period of the last four decades of the ill-fated Nizāmshāhī State His name first finds prominent mention among the Marāthā officers who fought against the combined forces of the Mughals and the 'Adılshāhī at Bhātvadı in defence of the Nizāmshāhī kingdom, under its great leader Malik 'Ambar (Oct 1624) 6 His father-in-law. Lukhji Jādhav Rāo, was in the opposite camp on this historic occasion To appreciate its correct significance we must survey the situation in the Deccan from the commencement of the century

Akbar had begun his policy of aggression into the Deccan in 1593? The lack of harmony among the Muslim Sultans of the South, as well as their factious nobles, helped the Mughals in their imperial designs. Great heroism and patriotism were displayed in resisting their advance by Chand Bibi and Malik 'Ambar, but they proved of little avail in the end 8 Akbar occupied Burhānpūr on 31 March 1600 Prince Dāniyāl and Khān-1-khānān captured Ahmadnagar fort on 19 August the same year, while Asirgarh came into Mughal possession on 17 January 1601 9 It is related that Akbar then proclaimed himself Emperor of the Deccan He also tried to establish a permanent link with the Muslim rulers of the South by securing an 'Ādılshāhī princess for his son Dāniyāl But the Prince died within a few months after his reluctant bride had joined him in 1604 Details of this incident are narrated by Firishta who personally escorted the unwilling princess to Parthan forced marriage and its fatal result may be considered prophetic of the future consequences of the imperial 'courting of the Deccan bride' Aurangzeb was to be the last Mughal

Emperor to suffer from the fatal consequences of the forced political 'match-making' For that imperial conqueror was also brought to his lethal bed in the Deccan, and the 'bride' survived to undo his Empire.

Like the captive 'Adilshāhī princess the States of the Deccan were long struggling to escape from the imperial Mughal clutch But Ahmadnagar, Bījāpūr and Golkonda were successively over-powered The pity of it, however, was that while the first was being attacked, the others co-operated with the aggressor instead of joining in common defence against the common danger Consequently, the removal of the Nızāmshāhī (1636) brought the Mughal menace to the very gates of Golkonda and Bījāpūr The imperial share-out of the Nizāmshāhī territories, which we envisaged in the preceding chapter, 10 proved but a deadly bait, though for the time-being the jealous neighbours of the extinguished kingdom gloated over their temporary gains The heroic Chand Bibi died a martyr in this struggle, and the brave Abyssınıan soldier-statesman, Malik 'Ambar, valiantly, though in vain, tried to defend his master's dominion during a full quarter-century "It is my design," he declared to Ibrāhīm 'Ādıl Shāh, "to fight the Mughal troops so long as life remains in my body. It may be that through Your Majesty's ever increasing fortune, I shall expel the Mughals from the Deccan"11 Ibrāhīm, however, proved unworthy of this noble trust and confidence Ultimately he joined the Northern aggressor for the common rumation of all the Deccan States The battle of Bhātvadı (Oct 1624) was a shining episode in the gallant defence of the Ahmadnagar kingdom by It was a striking military triumph, won Malık 'Ambar 12 against the combined forces of Bijāpūr and the Mughals but barren of political results The 'brave captain,' as Petro della Valle calls him, died (in 1626), like Chand Bibi, extorting admiration even from his enemies In the words of the Iqbālnāma-ı-Jahāngīrī 'In warfare, in command, in sound judgment, and in administration, he had no rival or equal well understood the predatory (kazzākı) warfare, which in the

language of the Dakhni is called *Bārgi-giri* He kept down the turbulent spirits of that country, and maintained his exalted position to the end of his life, and closed his career in honour History records no other instance of an Abyssinian slave arriving at such eminence '13

From the point of view of Marāthā history, the greatest service that Malik 'Ambar rendered was the employment and training he afforded to the Marāthās He used them with such deadly effect that his enemies 'passed their days without repose and nights without sleep' Prominent among the Marathas who fought on his side at Bhātvadi, as we have stated before, were Shāhii Bhoslé. Vithalrāi and his son Kheloji Bhoslé. Mudhoji Nimbalkar of Phaltan, Hambir Rão Chuhan and Nāgojī Rāo Ghātgé On the opposite side were Lukhii Jādhav Rão, Udā Rām, and Viśvanāth (in the Mughal camp), and Dhundıraı Brahman. Ghaté and several others (in the Bijapuri army) 14 The observations of Dr Beni Prasad, in this connexion, are worthy of citation "The Marathas entered the service and the courts of the Deccan monarchs In the beginning of the seventeenth century they constituted a powerful factor at the Nızāmshāhī court of Ahmadnagar Maratha horse formed valuable auxiliaries to the Deccan forces Malik 'Ambar fully realised their value against the Mughals From this point of view, the chief importance of the Deccan campaigns of the Mughals lies in the opportunities of military training and political power which they afforded to the Marathas Malik 'Ambar as a great master of the art of guerilla warfare, as Shivaji himself, stands at the head of the builders of the Maratha nationality His primary object was to serve the interest of his own master, but unconsciously he nourished into strength a power which more than revenged the injuries of the south on the northern power"15

Though Shāhjī was temporarily alienated, either by the hauteur of Malik 'Ambar¹6 or his lack of adequate recognition of the ambitious Marāthā's services after Bhātvādi, and found welcome at Bījāpūr (between 1624-26), he was called

back to the Nizāmshāhī when the able Abyssinian was no more. Shāhjī was of sufficient importance at that time for his services to be coveted by Bijāpūr Malık 'Ambar had kılled Mulla Muhammad Lärı, the Bijāpūrī general, after Bhātvadı, and followed up his victory by raiding the 'Ādilshāhī territories The defection of Shāhjī from 'Ambar, therefore, was a welcome relief to Bījāpūr The Marāthā captain was made Sar Lashbar by Ibrāhīm Shāh and Karyāt Talbīd, and Panhālā were conferred upon his relations the Mohités 17 The exploits of Shāhjī during this period, such as his defeat of Mudhoji Phaltankar, are described in the Siva-Bhārat 18 Though all its details may not be accepted as true, the serviceableness of Shāhjī to his new master might not be gainsaid. But the realousy of the older 'Adılshāhī servants and the opportunity created by the death of Malık 'Ambar (14 May 1626) brought Shāhjī back into the Nizāmshāhī It is also not unlikely that Murtazā II invited him, for the jagirs of Poona and Supa which Shahji had secured on his leaving Bijāpūr were reconfirmed by the Nızām Shāh in May 1628 10 The circumstances were certainly all very tempting and favourable for a person of Shāhjī's calibre and ambitions

The death of Ibrāhīm 'Ādıl Shāh, on 12 September 1627, might have precipitated his action. Fath Khān (Malik 'Ambar's son) had, indeed, succeeded to his father's official position, but his character was not equal to his status as we shall presently see The Emperor Jahangir also died, on 29 October 1627, leaving behind him a situation full of turmoil creating opportunities for the enemies of the Empire since the murder of prince Khusrau (22 Feb 1621)20 by order of Khurram (Shāh Jahān) at Burhānpūr, troubles had been brewing thick within the Mughal dominions Shāh Khurram himself rebelled in 1623 and sought shelter in the Deccan 21 The imperial family itself was torn with dissensions Jahan wanting her son-in-law Shahriyar to succeed her husband to the throne, her brother Aşaf Khān supporting the claims of his son-ın-law, Khurram, and prince Parvez backed up by the

powerful noble Mahābat Khān The last named actually rebelled and took the royal couple captive a little before Jahāngīr's death in 1626 ²² Finally, Dāwar Baksh (the hapless son of the tragic Khusrau) was made a scape-goat to pave the way for Shāh Jahān who ascended the imperial throne through crime and bloodshed ²³ The new reign also opened ominously with the revolt of Khān Jahān Lodī, who following his master's precedent took refuge in the Deccan (1627-31) ²⁴

Such was the atmosphere within the Mughal Empire when Shāhii returned to the Nizāmshāhi kingdom Things were not more settled at home While Khan Jahan was seeking support from Murtazā Nizāmshāh (1629-30), Fath Khān was imprisoned by the machinations of Hamīd Khān, a vile and unscrupulous fellow who rose to power through vice and corruption 25 the face of the pursuing Mughal forces, a temporary alliance had been formed between Bijapur and Ahmadnagar in support of the rebel Khan Jahan who promised restoration of the Deccan territories conquered by the Mughals 26 Being in league with the Lodi, even Lukhji Jādhav Rāo had returned to the Nizāmshāhī Bījāpūr was so well fortified, and the allies acted in such unison, that the imperial army under Aşaf Khan had to return, not by imposing but accepting terms from the Deccanis 27 But the wickedness of Hamid Khan soon changed the face of the situation The ascendancy of Mustafa Khan and his pro-Mughal party in Bījāpūr (1627-48)28 was also not calculated to help in the continuation of the united front against the imperialists Shah Jahan, on the other hand, was wild with his father-ın-law over his failure at Bijāpūr and was determined on more vigorous action. At such a moment the folly of Hamid Khān brought about a shocking crime in the Nizāmshāhī in the shape of the murder of Lukhjī Jādhav Rāo and several members of his family (25 July 1629) 29 Suspicion of treason might have instigated this tragedy in that atmosphere of intrigue and disloyalty But whatsoever the reason, it certainly served to alienate from Murtazā even the recently restored Shāhjī Bhoslé Along with some other frightened and disaffected servants of the Nızāmshāhī, Shāhjī felt it expedient to join the Mughals The Mughal chronicler, Abdul Hamīd Lāhaurī, writes

'At this time, Shāhujī Bhoslé, son-in-law of Jadū Rāi, the Hindu commander of Nizām Shāh's army, came in and joined Azam Khan (the Mughal commander) After the murder of Shāhujī broke off his connexion with Nizām Jadū Rāi. Shāh, and retiring to the districts of Pūna and Chākan, he wrote to Azam Khan proposing to make his submission upon receiving a promise of protection Azam Khan wrote to court and received orders to accept the proposal Shāhujī then came and joined him with 2000 horse He received a khil'at, a mansab of 5000, and a gift of two lacs of rupees, and other presents His brother Minājī (Mānājī?) received a robe and a mansab of 3000 personal and 1500 horse Samājī (Sambhājī), son of Shāhuī, also received a robe and a mansab of 2000 personal and 1000 horse Several of their relations and dependants also obtained gifts and marks of distinction' (Nov 1630) 30

Wisdom dawned on Murtazā too late, and when he tried to mend matters, the remedy proved fatal to himself Disgusted with the domination of Hamid Khān, he brought out Fath Khān from the prison and put him in power But the restored minister, either out of revenge or mistaking this for a confession of weakness, imprisoned Murtazā and wrote to the Mughal governor Aşaf Khān that he had done so because of the Nızāmshāh's evil character and enmity towards the Emperor, 'for which act he expected some mark of favour' In answer he was asked to prove his loyalty and goodfaith by ridding the world of such a wicked being Fath Khan on receipt of this hint 'secretly made away with Nizam Shah but gave out that he had died a natural death '71 (Feb 1632) Then he placed the deceased King's son Husain (III) on the throne, and having reported the news to the Imperial Court was called upon to submit to the Emperor Fath Khan thereupon had the khutba read in the name of Shāh Jahān, and Daulatābād was surrendered to the Mughals along with other rich tribute Having thus secured,

the submission of Shāhjī in 1630 and of Fath \underline{Kh} ān in 1632, Shāh Jahān returned to \overline{Agr} ā (which he had left on 3 Dec 1629) on 6 March 1632 92

The withdrawal of the Emperor from the South was dictated by two considerations the death of the queen Mumtaz. at Burhanpur (7 June 1631), and the outbreak of a devastating famine in the Deccan at the same time Concerning the latter calamity Abdul Hamid writes 'During the past year no rain had fallen in the territories of the Bālāghāt, and the drought had been especially severe about Daulatābād In the present year also there had been a deficiency in the bordering countries and a total want in the Deccan and Gujarat The inhabitants of the two countries were reduced to the direct extremity was offered for a loaf, but none would buy, rank was to be sold for a cake, but none cared for it, the ever bounteous hand was now stretched out to beg for food, and the feet which had always trodden the way of contentment walked about only in search of sustenance For a long time dog's flesh was sold for goat's flesh, and the pounded bones of the dead were mixed with flour and sold When this was discovered the sellers were brought to justice Destitution at length reached such a pitch that men began to devour each other and the flesh of a son was preferred to his love The numbers of the dying caused obstructions on the roads, and every man whose dire sufferings did not terminate in death, who retained the power to move, wandered off to the towns and villages of other countries Those lands which had been famous for their fertility and plenty now retained no trace of productiveness '53

Within a few months of Shāh Jahān's return to Āgrā, Shāhjī quitted the Mughal camp (June 1632) The ostensible ground for his desertion was his dissatisfaction at the redistribution of rewards once granted to him. On his joining the Mughals, he had been allowed to occupy the districts of Junnar, Sangamnér and Byzāpūr as his estates. A little later, he was asked to stay at Nāsik which was the jāgīr of another Mughal officer, Khwāja Abul Hasan. Finally, when Fath Khān sur-

rendered Daulatābād to the Emperor, some of the places previously assigned to Shāhjī were taken away from him and given to Fath Khān (May 1632) Shāhjī therefore returned to the Nızāmshāhī within a month of this, and seized the districts of Nāsik, Trimbak, Sangameśvar, Junnar as well as parts of Northern Konkan 34 Then followed a tussle between the Mughal forces and the Deccan States which once more came together for the recovery of their lost possessions. The absence of Shāh Jahān was an encouraging factor, and Khān-i-khānān Mahābat Khān had retired to Burhānpūr leaving Daulatābād in the charge of Khān Daurān Nasīr Khān At Bījāpūr Mustafā Khān was undoubtedly favourable to the Mughals, as also Fath Khān in the Nizāmshāhī But there was a powerful anti-Mughal group in the 'Adılshāhī led by Khawās Khān and Randaulā Khān They had also an intrepid Hindu general in their camp, namely, Murär Jagdev, who was friendly towards Shāhjī It was this combination that the imperialists were called upon to face at this time 35 The position was somewhat as follows:

In the extreme east of the Nızāmshāhī territories was Sholapur which was in the keeping of Sidi Raihan west were Shāhjī at Pemgad and Śrīnivās Rāo at Junnar Sidi Sābā Saif Khān in Tal-Konkan and Sidi Sābā 'Ambar at Rājāpūrī (Janjirā) were practically independent claimed suzerainty over the Māvals and along the Nīrā river But, owing to the unsettled conditions, and the see-sawing of authority, every petty chieftain and qule'dar was obliged to submit to the most powerful Expediency rather than consistency and loyalty had become the rule of action for most people The attitude of the waverers might be illustrated by the conduct of Sidi Saif Khan, While Shahji was rallying the forces of the country in collaboration with Bījāpūr, he called upon the Sidi to join him But that recalcitrant captain marched away to Bijāpūr pretending to submit directly to the 'Ādil Shāh Shāhjī then attacked him and dealt a severe blow from which he was rescued by the friendly intercession of Murar Jagdev At Bījāpūr the Sidi was awarded a jāgīr worth two lākhs,36

thereby showing that there was no perfect harmony between the allies, or the party opposed to Khawāṣ Khān was mobilising its strength to overthrow its rivals

Meanwhile, Shāhjī, with the support of Murār Jagdev, got crowned at Pemgad another petty princeling belonging to the Nızāmshāh's family (September 1632)37 in order to impart legality to his actions By then he had made himself master of Junnar, Jīvdhan, Sundā, Bhorgad, Parasgad, Māhuli, Kohai, etc with a personal following of 12,000 troops 38 The way he set about consolidating his authority may be indicated by his treatment of Śrīnivās Rāo of Junnar The unwary chieftain was captured along with his castle under the ruse of proposing a marriage between Shāhjī's eldest son Sambhājī and Śrīnivās Rāo's daughter 30 Coercion and stratagem were considered a part of the game while playing for higher stakes Murār Jagdev was acting similarly on behalf of the 'Ādil Shāh Āgā Rizā was commandant of the important border fortress of Parendā, originally under the Nizām Shāh Owing to his dislike of Fath Khān, he had recently transferred his allegiance to the Mughals Murar Jagdev now won him over by bribery (28 July 1632) 40 More instructive still are the instances of Jalnā and Daulatābād Mahmūd Khān was keeper of the former stronghold under Fath Khān Both Shāhii and the Mughal general Khān Zamān made a bid for his surrender, but the latter having offered the larger prize, Jalna submitted to the imperial officer (7 October 1632) 41 Mahmud Khān was rewarded with a mansab of 4000 zāt and 4000 svār

Fath <u>Kh</u>ān was himself in charge of Daulatābād wherein he had been reinstated. Though he was nominally subject to the Mughals, actually he was ready to side with the strongest party. While carrying on negotiations with Mahābat <u>Kh</u>ān at Burhānpūr, he was won over by the more immediate offers of help made by Shāhjī and Randaulā <u>Kh</u>ān. The latter paid him 3,00,000 pagodas cash, supplied him with provisions and fodder, and promised to leave him in independent possession of Daulatābād. 'That ill-starred foolish fellow,' writes the dis-

appointed Mughal chronicler, 'allured by these promises, broke former engagements (with the Mughals), and entered into an alliance with them'

Mahābat Khān could not brook this In January 1633 he sent his son. Khān Zamān, in advance to punish Fath Khān. and himself followed in March next When the Khān-i-khānān joined his son in the attack on Daulatabad and stormed the fortress with shot and shell, writes Lahauri, Fath Khan 'woke up from his sleep of heedlessness and security. He saw that Daulatābād could not resist the Imperial arms and the vigour of the Imperial commander To save the honour of his own and Nızām Shāh's women, he sent his eldest son Abdu-r Rasul to Khān-1-khānān (laying the blame of his conduct on Shāhuiī and the 'Adil-Khānis' On the 19th Zi-l hijja, Fath Khān came out of the fort and delivered it up' (17 June 1633) was rewarded with a khil'at and grant of two lakhs of rupees (annual), his property was restored to him, and he was admitted into Mughal service The puppet prince Husain Nizām Shāh III was sent a prisoner to Gwalior, and his property was confiscated 12 (21 Sept 1633)

Shāhjī had once declared, that the loss of Daulatābād, which was but one out of the eighty-four fortresses in the Nızāmshāhī, was no cause for despair In July 1633 he gathered round himself, at Bhimgad, an army of seven to eight thousand and seized the country from Poona and Chākan to Balāghāt and the environs of Junnar, Ahmadnagar, Sangamnér, Trimbak and Nāsik The Mughals tried to tackle him by offer of terms through his cousin Mālojī Bhoslé, but he felt himself strong enough to reject their offers 43 The imperialists met. with like failure against Murar Jagdev at Parenda Shūjā was sent for the capture of that stronghold (24 Feb 1634), but it defied him Azam Khān had attempted it three years earlier (March 1631), but with no better result both the occasions the valour of Murar Jagdev baffled the Mughals With the approach of rains, and lack of provisions, Shūjā withdrew in May 1634 These failures broke the heart

of Khān-1-khānān who died on 26 October that same year, with the task of subjugating the Deccan still unaccomplished 44

To retrieve the Imperial position, <u>Kh</u>ān Daurān was sent as viceroy in January 1635. He chased Shāhjī out of the environs of Daulatābād where he was collecting revenue at Rāmdūd Shāhjī escaped to Junnar *via* Sevgāon and Amarpūr across the Mohri Ghāt, losing 8000 oxen laden with grain, arms, and rockets, along with 3000 followers who were taken prisoner. The *Sīva Bhārat* states that he was still master of the territories enclosed between the rivers Godāvarī, Pravarā, Nīrā and Bhīmā, besides the Māval and Konkan 45 What strengthened him further was his alliance with Bījāpūr. To tackle this situation Shāh Jahān himself moved into the Deccan, arriving at Daulatābād on 21 February 1636

The time was certainly opportune for him Mustafā Khān and Khawās Khān were at logger-heads in Bījāpūr The former had been sent to prison by the latter (in 1633), but the situation soon recoiled on Khawāş The instrument of the reaction was Randaulā Khān who had fallen out with Murār Jagdev and Khawāş Khān Finding himself in danger Khawāş appealed for Mughal help, but was murdered along with Murār Jagdev, before the Mughals could come to their rescue (1635) 45 The ascendancy of Randaulā Khān, however, did not affect the alliance with Shāhjī Therefore Shāh Jahān decided to act with caution

The imperial army was divided into three parts, each being led respectively by Khān Daurān, Khān Zamān, and Shā'ista Khān The first was sent towards Kandhār and Nāndéd (in the border between Golkonda and Bījāpūr territories), with instructions to ravage the country and besiege the forts of Udgīr and Āvsé The second division, under Khān Zamān, was directed towards Ahmadnagar to capture or devastate Shāhjī's possessions from Chāmargonda and Ashti to the Konkan The third was to conquer Junnar, Sangamnér, Nāsik and Trimbak Finding that Bījāpūr was not shaken by these manœuvres, Shāh Jahān finally ordered the devastation of the 'Ādilshāhī terri-

through the pro-Mughal Muştafā Khān, succeeded in detaching Bījāpūr from Shāhjī On 6 May 1636 a treaty between the Emperor and 'Ādil Shāh was signed, followed by another, in June, with Golkonda 17 The purpose of these engagements was to isolate Shāhjī after defining the share-out of the Nizām-shāhī territories (as indicated in the preceding chapter), it was particularly stipulated that the 'Ādil Shāh should give no quarter to the rebel Shāhjī until he submitted to the Emperor and surrendered Junnar and the other Nizāmshāhī forts to the imperialists, and agreed to take up service under Bījāpūr Failing such surrender on the part of Shāhji, 'Ādilshāhī forces were to co-operate with the imperial generals in the reduction of the Marāthā rebel 18

Thus deserted and betrayed by Bijāpūr, Shāhjī became a fugitive hunted from fort to fort, until at last he was forced to submit under the combined pressure of the confederate armies The Siva Bhārat names the following among Shāhji's supporters in this grave extremity his only friends in need Ghātgé, Kāté, Gāikwād, Kank, Thomré, Chauhān, Mohité, Mahadik. Kharaté. Pandharé. Wagh. Ghorpadé. etc-all Marāthās 40 His own familyi was at Junnar with his eldest son Sambhājī among its defenders But they were all pursued and driven over the Ghats into the Konkan It was the rainy season. and the Mughal force under Khan Zaman was for a time held up by the floods in the Mülä, Müthä and Indrayani rivers, between Poona and Lohgad Shāhjī wavered for a while between Māhuli and Muranjan forts before making a final stand even sought assistance and shelter at the hands of the Portuguese But, in the face of the 'Adilshāhī and Mughal pursuers, 'The Council unanimously they dared not take any risks agreed,' frankly states the Portuguese record, 'that, concerning Shāhii, who was pursued by two such powerful enemies as the Mughals and 'Adıl Shah, with whom we are at peace and on friendly terms, it was not convenient to favour and help openly, nor give him shelter in the fortress of Chaul, but, in case he

were to go to Dandā (Rājāpūrī), or wherever he should think best, that way he could be helped with all precaution '50

Finally driven to bay, Shāhjī decided to take shelter within Māhulī which had been lately surrendered to him by its Marāṭhā commandant Mambajī Bhoslé There he was closely invested 'He was told that if he wished to save and forced to submit his life he should come to terms with ' \bar{A} dil \underline{Kh} an , for such was the Emperor's command. He was also advised to be quick in doing so, if he wished to escape from the swords of the besiegers So he was compelled into submission to 'Adıl Khan, and besought that a treaty might be made with him After the arrival of the treaty, he made some absurd madmissible demands,' writes the imperial historian, 'and withdrew from the agreement he had made But the siege was pressed on and the final attack drew near, when Sāhu came out of the fort and met Randaulā half way down the hill, and surrendered himself with the young He agreed to enter the service of 'Adıl Khān, and to surrender the forts of Junnar, Trimbak, Tringalwari, Haris, Jund, and Harsıra, which were delivered over to Khan Zaman Randaulā, under the orders of 'Ādıl Khān, placed the young Nızam ın the hands of Khan Zaman, and then went to Bijapur accompanied by Sāhu '51 (November 1636) Here ended the first phase of Shāhjī's restless career It synchronised almost exactly with the Nizāmshāhī's struggle for existence (1594-With the extinction of that Kingdom and Shāhjī's entry into the 'Adılshāhī service, we turn from the Deccan proper to peninsular India, from the fortunes of a growing Empire in the North to the misfortunes of a languishing Empire in the South

The period which followed the treaty between the Mughal Emperor and the Deccan Sultāns afforded the latter a respite on their northern frontiers which they fully utilised for extending their dominions southwards. Golkonda and Bījāpūr were, like the now extinguished Nizāmshāhī, inheritors of the Bahmanī traditions. The renewal of the war with what remained of the once glorious Vijayanagar Empire, was therefore quite tradi-

tional for them Besides there were alluring prospects in the South from whose territories and treasures the Sultans could compensate themselves for losses sustained by them at the hands of the Mughals To these temptations were added the inviting dissensions of the scions and vassals of Vijjayanagar (viz the Nāyaks of Ikkeri, Mysore, Ginjī, Tanjore, Madura, etc) who by their suicidal antagonisms undid all the good work As the Jesuit Antoine de Proenza signiof the great Rāvas ficantly observed, in 1659 "The old kings of this country appear, by their jealousies and imprudent actions, to invite the conquest of entire India by the Muslims "52 The Muhammadnāma (official chronicle of the Kings of Bījāpūr) plainly 'As the Karnātak and Malnād had not been conquered before by any Muslim king of the Deccan, Muhammad 'Adıl Shah thought of bringing them under his sway in order to strengthen and glority the Islamic religion in the dominion of the Hindus '55 and 'to win for himself the titles of Mujāhid and Ghazi,' adds the Basatin-u's-Salatin 54

The objectives being thus settled, geography and their relative strength and status determined the respective shares in the spoils of victory between Bijāpūr and Golkonda. Tentatively it was agreed upon that Golkonda was to extend along the East coast below the Kṛṣṇā delta, and Bijāpūr to conquer Western Karnātak, Malnād, and the Mysore plateau. The forces of the two inevitably met in the Eastern Carnātic near Ginjī, and thereafter the division depended upon force majeure. Machiavellian real politik really decided the fate of small and great principalities where grab as grab can was the only guiding principle, and neither 'border nor breed' was respected

The century which followed the disaster of Rakkastangadi (1565) was one of disintegration for the Vijayanagar dominions From our point of view it closes with the death of Shāhjī in 1664. Venkatapati II and Śrī Ranga III were the last two rulers of the Aravidu dynasty who struggled heroically to preserve their great inheritance (1630-64) 55. But the Nāyaks and polygārs, their nominal vassals, saw to it that they did

not succeed. The petty chiefs of Ikkeri, Mysore, Ginjī, Madura and Tanjore, who were originally officers of Vijayanagar, had gradually become its feudatories, and then independent rulers. Now they acted as enemies, rebels and traitors. A Dutch record of the time speaks of 'the Tijmerage (Timma Rājā), commander of the King of Carnatica, who had revolted against the King and arrested him, and except a few fortresses had conquered the whole country '56. Though ultimately all of them went the way of traitors, for the time being, these short-sighted and selfish rebels played havoc with the remnants of the Vijayanagar empire. Our interest lies chiefly in the work of Shāhjī, and the Marāthās who came into this disturbed atmosphere as agents and auxiliaries of the Bījāpūr King, but remained in the South to found a dominion of their own

Shāhiī served under Muhammad 'Ādil Shāh (1636-56) and 'Alı 'Adıl Shah (1656-64) The Bijapür kıngdom survived him only twenty-two years, for it was absorbed in the Mughal Empire in 1686 The principal generals who led the southern campaigns were Raudaulā Khān (1636-43), Muştafā Khān (1643-48), and Khān Muhammad (1648-57) Shāhjī was associated with all of them practically throughout, and rose to be latterly one of the most important Bījāpūr generals He was appointed governor at Bangalore and entrusted with the work of consolidation and extension of the 'Adılshāhī authority Occasionally he was misunderstood or misrepresented by his Muslim colleagues, and suffered arrest or imprisonment more than once But every time he vindicated himself successfully, and died in harness as a loyal servant of the 'Adil Shāh in 1664 The self-respecting and independent tone of his letter to 'Alı 'Adıl Shāh (excerpt cited at the head of this chapter) is indicative of his strength and importance in 1657 southern activities certainly proved more fruitful for Marāthā history than his earlier adventures in the Nizāmshāhī

Shāhjī's antecedents at the commencement of his enforced 'Ādilshāhī service must be borne in mind in order to be able to assess his position correctly. Though defeated in war, it is

not to be forgotten that he had been lately ally of his present master Secondly, though deprived of his other Nizāmshāhī possessions, his jāgīrs in Poona and Supā were left to him These formed the nucleus round which his gifted son Sivājī developed his power and empire. We shall speak of these developments in later chapters, but here it must be remembered that the activities of both father and son were to have important repercussions on each other.

Between 1637 and 1640 three expeditions were sent into the Malnad area of Mysore They were led by Randaula. Khān and Shāhjī who were old friends The first was against Ikkeri and Basavapattana, which were ruled respectively by Vīrabhadra and Kengé Hanuma The Muhammad-nāma relates 'Keng Nāyak, the Rājā of Basavapatṭana, who had an ill-will against Virabhadra, through the deplorable propensity of taking revenge, informed Rustum-1-Zamān (Randaulā Khān), "I will help you in conquering the whole country, but you should first invade Ikkeri I will show you a path by which you can reach Ikkeri quickly, and Virabhadra will not catch scent of your coming You will gain an easy victory over him if you will give me one lakh of hons as my reward and commend me to your king" Rustum-1-Zamān agreed to this '57 The result of this treachery was that Ikkeri was conquered (1637) and Virabhadra was compelled to cede half of his territory and pay a tribute of 18 lakhs of hons Virabhadra then shifted his capital to Bidnur 58 Two years later a punitive expedition was led against the Nayak for not having paid the stipulated tri-"Ikkeri might have been annexed,' writes Dr S K Aıyangar, "but was saved by the intervention of Shāhjī, and agreed to be a vassal kingdom under Bījāpūr "59 An inscription of 1641 speaks of Virabhadra as having 'given protection to the southern kings who were alarmed by the great army of the Pātushāh '60

The next expedition was against Kastūri Ranga of Sirā and Kempé Gaudā of Bangalore (1638) The former division was led by Afzal Khān and the latter by Randaulā Khān and

Shāhii Following the morality of Pizarro at Maxico (against Atahualpa) and anticipating his own fate at Pratapgad, Afzal Khān murdered the chief of Sirā during a feigned interview, and captured his stronghold 61 The chief of Tadpatri saved. himself by cleverly diverting the Muslim army to Bangalore The latter place was conquered by Randaulā and Shāhjī and made the headquarters of the Bījāpūr authority under Shāhjī. Śrīrangapattana was next attacked (1639) But according to a contemporary Kannada work (Kanthīrava Navasarājéndra Vijaya by Govinda Vaidya, composed in 1648) the Muslims, were defeated and driven out 62 The Muhhammad-nāma, however, claims that the Rājā, after a month's resistance, saved his kingdom by paying a tribute of five lakhs of hons 63 The Siva Bhārat attributes the victory to Shāhjī's valour which it says was applauded by Rustum-1-Zamān (Randaulā Khān) It also adds that the Nāyaks of Kāvenpattana and Madura also submitted during this campaign 64

The third expedition was provoked by the revolt of Kengé Hanuma who appears to have engineered a general rising of the Hindu rājās in 1639 He had gathered together an army of 70,000 men to defend his capital city of Basavapattaina his bitter enemy Virabhadra of Bidnür saw in this an opportunity for revenge and joined the Bījāpūr forces The defenders made heroic resistance, but Basavapattana was conquered Kengé Nāyak was obliged to pay 40 lākhs of hons Shāhjī, from all accounts, is said to have played a prominent part in this campaign 65 Minor raids were directed towards Belür, Tumkūr, and Chiknāikana Halli, the last of which alone yielded 20,000 hons, another 80,000 were got from Ballapur An abortive understanding with Srī Ranga Rāyal was attempted, but it proved of little consequence Rustum-i-Zamān carried away all the movable treasures from Kolihal (Kunigal, 40 m w of Bangalore) and left the empty fortress to Śrī Ranga, 'as agreed. to before '66

The revolt of Sıvappa Nāyak, successor of Vīrabhadra of Bıdnūr, in 1643, opened the next stage of the conquest Khān-i-

khānān Muzafer-u'd-Dīn was dispatched to suppress the rebellion His success in this earned for him the title of Khān Muhammad Muhammadshāhī He effected the further conquests of Nandiyāl and eight other strongholds, during the year following, in the Karnool District (1644-45) 67 The major campaign, however, was entrusted to Nawāb Khān Bābā Muṣtafā Khān in 1646

Marching via Gadag and Laxmesvar (June 1646) Mustafā Khān was joined by Asad Khān and Shāhjī (3 Oct) at Honhalli—12 m w of Basavapattana Other chiefs came in at Sakkarépattan (Kadūr District) among whom were Sivappa Nāyak of Bidnūr, Dodda Nāyak of Harpanhalli, Kengé Nāyak's brother, the Desāis of Laxmeśvar and Koppal, as well as Marāthās like Ābājī Rāo Ghātgé and Bālājī Hajbat Rāo At Sivaganga even envoys from the Nayaks of Ginji, Madura, and Tanjore came to meet the invaders indeed a portentous symptom of the prevailing chaos Srī Ranga Rāyal, the nominal suzerain of these rebellious Nāyaks, attempted to coerce them with an army of 12,000 horse and 3,00,000 men, but found it an An English Factory record notes impossible task country is at present full of wars and troubles for the King [Śrī Ranga], and three of his Nagues [Nāyaks] are at variance, and the King of Vizapore's army is come into this country on the one side, and the King of Golkonda on the other, both against this King "68

Finding resistance impossible, Śrī Ranga tried diplomacy He sent his Brahman agent Venkayya Somājī to induce the Bījāpūr general to spare his country. But the <u>Kh</u>ān refused to be diverted by 'the deceitful words of the Rāyal's envoy' However, Shāhjī persuaded Mustafā <u>Kh</u>ān to send his representative Mullāh Ahmad to the Rāyal at Vellore to discuss terms with him personally. But the Rāyal, unfortunately, appeared to have decided upon resistance. Shāhjī's well meant intercession, therefore, created misgivings in the mind of Muṣtafā <u>Kh</u>ān Nevertheless, the general acted tactfully under the circumstances. Marching on Vellore, he placed Shāhjī on the right wing of

his army, at the same time keeping Asad Khān's division behind him as a safeguard against possible treachery But Shāhjī acquitted himself well and did not betray the trust placed in him Vellore was captured after heavy fighting, 5,800 of \$rī Ranga's troops lay dead on the field The Rayal was thus forced to submit paying an indemnity of 50 lakhs of hons and 150 elephants (April 1647) 60 Mustafā Khān returned in triumph to Bijāpūr, effecting some minor conquests on his way back Muhammad 'Adıl Shah showed his appreciation of the great victory by proceeding as far as the river Krsna to receive the victorious general The Muhammad-nāma records the result in characteristic words 'As the King thought of spreading and strengthening the true faith, he brought Rām Rāj (Śrī Ranga?) and all other rajas of the south under subjection, and the strong temples which the kāfirs had erected in every fort were completely demolished The whole country was conquered in three years and the citadel of dualism and idol-worship was given such a rude shock that the knots of the sacred-thread wearers (of Sét-band Rāmeśvar) were snapped '70

Despite the religious fervour reflected in the Muslim chronicler's remarks, the campaign was not a mere fanatical raid. To garner its political fruits the Hindu Shāhjī was as much depended upon as the Muslim Asad Khān A farmān issued on 11 January 1648 (a day after Mustafā Khān was again dispatched to the South) bespeaks of the confidence placed by Muhammad Shāh in the Marāthā general. It enjoins on Yaśvant Rão Wadvé equal obedience to the commands of the Nawāb Khān Bābā (1 e Muṣtafā Khān) and Shāhjī who is referred to in endearing terms such as 'Mahārāj Farzand' Shāhjī Bhoslé' He is asked, 'being in agreement with the Mahārāj,' to practise loyalty to Government'

This last campaign under Mustafā was due to an invitation from Tirumala Nāyak of Madura who had quarrelled with the Nāyaks of Ginjī and Tanjore 72 The combined forces of Tirumala and Mustafā invested the fort of Ginjī, but the siege was protracted on account of the severe famine which was raging

all around Suddenly, in the midst of these prolonged operations, Shāhjī was arrested by Muştafā According to the Basātīn-u's Salātīn, 'Some incidents happened which became the cause of disunion and disaffection between Shāhiī and Mustafā Khān'73 Further details of the incident are thus stated in the Muhammad-nāma 'When the siege of Ginii was protracted. and fighting continued long, the cunning Shāhjī, who changed sides like the dice of a gambler, sent an agent to Nawāb Mustfā Khān begging leave to go to his own country and give repose The Nawab replied that to retire then would to his troops Then Shāhjī remonstrated that be tantamount to desertion grain was too dear in the camp, and his soldiers could no longer bear the privation and strain of the siege. He added that he was retiring to his country without waiting for further orders. The Nawab being convinced that Shahji meant mischief, and might show fight, had him arrested (on 25 July 1648) with such extreme cleverness and circumspection that no part of his property was plundered, but the whole was confiscated to Government '74

The Basātīn-u's-Salātīn also states that Bājī Ghorpadé, Yaśvant Rāo Wadvé, and Asad Khān were employed in apprehending Shāhjī He was surprised in his bed in the early hours of the morning, but his personal contingent of 3000 Marāṭhā horse offered resistance and had to be dispersed On hearing of this, Muhammad 'Ādil Shāh dispatched Afzal Khān 'to bring Shāhjī away, and an eunuch to attach his property' 75

Sir Jadunath Sarkar has attributed this arrest of Shāhjī definitely to his "disloyal intrigues" In support of this view he has cited a letter from Abdullah Qutb Shāh to Hāji Nasira (his agent at Bājāpūr) which alleges that on 23 December 1647 Shāhjī Bhoslé had petitioned him 'begging to be taken under his protection', adding that 'then and repeatedly before this' he had 'rejected Shāhjī's prayers and told him to serve 'Ādil Shāh loyally' Continuing, Sir Jadunath has accused Shāhjī of "coquetting with both the Rāyal and Qutb Shāh," and states that "the latter sovereign divulged the fact to 'Ādil Shāh'

The Venkayya Somājī incident is also alluded to by him as further supporting his allegation of treason on the part of Shāhjī 77 A careful examination of the entire evidence, however, points to a very different conclusion

We have already noticed how the misgivings about Shāhjī's loyalty, caused by his misplaced sympathy towards Śrī Ranga Rāyal's agent, were proved baseless by his conduct at Vellore in November 1646. If he had started "coquetting" with the Qutb Shāh between November 1646 and 23 December 1647 (the date of the alleged appeal to Qutb Shāh) and had "repeatedly" done so during these thirteen months, the 'Ādīl Shāh, after being informed about it by Qutb Shāh, could not have issued the farmān, on 11 January 1648, to Yaśvant Rāo Wadvé asking him to act in obedience to Mahārāj Farzand Shāhjī Bhoslé. The allegation of disloyalty before 11 January 1648, therefore, stands disproved

During the short period of six months and two weeks (11 January to 25 July 1648) preceding Shāhjī's arrest, there was all round dissatisfaction owing to the lack of provisions and the strain of the prolonged operations Khairiyat Khan and Sidi Raihan were as dissatisfied as Shāhjī The hardships referred to by Shāhjī were therefore real and not only a pretext The Muhammad-nāma itself complains that even the Outb Shāh (whose forces were defeated by Srī Ranga Rāyal) formed a secret understanding with the infidel and sent Mir Jumla, his general, to assist in the defence of Ginji 78 But Mir Jumla arrived too late and was defeated by the Bijāpūr general Bāji Ghorpadé Sir Jadunath himself states that Abdullah Outb Shāh wrote "whimpering to Shāh Jahān that 'Ādil Shāh had broken his promise and was forcibly taking away Qutb Shāh's portion"79 In these circumstances we are inclined to be sceptical about the allegation against Shāhjī Qutb Shāh who was himself intriguing against 'Ādil Shāh could not have "divulged" the repeated advances of Shāhjī if they had been true

Mustafā Khān died under the strain on 9 November 1648 The siege of Ginjī was concluded victoriously by his successor

Khān Muhammad on 28 December the same year Shāhjī was all the time (25 July to 28 December 1648) detained at Ginjī. Had he been guilty of treason, he would have been post haste dispatched to Bījāpūr, especially as Afzal Khān had been specially deputed for the purpose The prisoner was, however, actually taken to the capital along with the treasures—'property beyond calculation and 89 elephants for the King', which looks incredible had Shāhjī been really guilty of the offence for which he is supposed to have been arrested. The party led by Afzal Khān (which included Shāhjī) was received by the 'Ādil Shāh 'in the Kalyān Mahal which had been decorated for the Nauroz festivities 80

The treatment of Shāhjī at Bījāpūr and the terms of his release go to confirm our belief that his arrest was not due to treason He was kept in ordinary confinement under Ahmad Khān, sar sar-1-naubat, and told that 'he would be pardoned and restored to his former honours if he surrendered to the King the forts of Kondāna, Bangalore, and Kandarpi '81 Ahmad Khān, by the King's order, conveyed Shāhjī to his own house and imparted to him 'the happy news of the royal favour and did his utmost to compose his mind Shāhjī decided to obey and wrote to his two sons to deliver the forts to They obeyed promptly the Sultan's officers immediately Thus all the numerous misdeeds of Shāhjā were washed away by the stream of royal mercy The Sultan summoned Shahii to his presence, gave him the robe of a minister, and settled his formet lands on him again'82 Had Shahji been really guilty of treason, he would have been beheaded like Khawās Khān or torn to pieces like Murār Jagdev in 1635 That he'should have been so honorably acquitted in the face of bitter enemies at the Court, who were thirsting for Shāhjī's blood, speaks volumes for his integrity as well as importance

'The nobles and gentry of the city,' says the *Muhammad-nāma*, 'were astonished at the graciousness of the King and began to say "Shāhjī Rājā deserves to be put to death, and not to be kept under guard.' Some councillors did not at

all like that Shāhjī should be set free, because that faithless man would play the for again. Many others held the view that to liberate this traitor and ruined wretch would be like treading on the tail of a snake. No wise man would rest his head on a hornet's nest as on a pillow 's'. Obviously Muhammad Shāh was no fool to invest such a man with 'the robe of a minister'.

Between the arrest and release of Shāhjī only less than ten months had elapsed (25 July 1648 to 16 May 1649) these over five months had been spent at Gini without trial The journey from Ginji to Bijapur must have occupied at least a month Finally, after about three months detention, perhaps as a state prisoner, he was sent back to the South with no stigma of a traitor attaching to him Nevertheless, this experience appears to have brought about a metamorphosis in the mind of this loyal servant of Bijapur Though an earlier farmān of 'Adıl Shah, dated 1 August 1644, speaks of Shahji as a 'reprobate' in connexion with the activities of Dādājī Konddev.81 nothing of an incriminating character had evidently been established against him personally Känhoji Jedhé had been sent against Dādāii on that occasion, but later he must have joined Shāhjī For, the following interesting entry, dated 16 May 1649, is found in the Jedhé Sakāvali —

'Shāhjī was released in return for Kondāna At that time, Kānhojī Jedhé and Dādājī Kṛṣṇa Lohkaré were also released They met the Mahārāj who said to them You have been put to the hardships of captivity on account of me As to our future The King of Bījāpūr ordered me to lead an expedition into Karnāṭak to which I replied, 'How can I do it with my income from only twelve villages?' Thereupon the King promised to confer on me the provinces of Bangalore yielding five lākhs of hons I have undertaken this enterprise on these terms

'Your watan is in Māvaļ, and my son Sivbā occupies Khedébāré and Poona You should help him with your troops, and, since you are influential in those parts, you should see that all the Māval Deśmukhs submit to him and obey him.

Thus you should all assert your strength, and should any Mughal or 'Ādılshāhī army march against you, you should fight them in full faithfulness to Sivbā's

This record explicitly conveys to us Shāhjī's attitude towards Sivaji and his activities We shall have occasion, at a later stage, to consider this more appropriately But it in no way contradicts what we have already said about the conduct and character of Shāhii While being not less loyal than most other Bījāpūr officers, his private interests demanded the most jealous safeguarding The tone of his letter to "Ali 'Adil Shah. dated 1657, referred to before, clearly indicates this very natural Government officers of Shāhiji's standing in medieval times were feudal vassals. Their jagirs and personal estates were not under the direct jurisdiction of the King who was merely their suzerain. It was the desire of every big officer to increase his jagirs, and Shahii was no exception. While he personally tried to augment his southern estates through loval service, he could not but wink at the activities of his gifted and assertive son His unjustifiable arrest must have brought home to him rather piquantly the precariousness of his position was a lucky circumstance that Sivaji was carving out an independent position for himself While it was incumbent on him to continue in the service of Bījāpūr, as well as expedient, it was neither paternal nor human for him to take any other attitude towards his recalcitrant son Shāhii was, therefore, obliged under the circumstances to maintain as good a face with the 'Adıl Shāh as he possibly could, without in any way jeopardising or hampering the good work that Sīvājī was doing If at all, he would help and encourage without compromising his position and interests in the South This was the obvious degree of his 'reprobation', in the eyes of the 'Adil Shah, which could not be established as 'treason' Besides, Shāhjī was too important an officer in Karnātak, almost indispensable, to be executed or antagonised Affairs in the 'Adılshahi were fast running to a crisis after the death of Mustafa Khan Muhammad Shāh's protracted illness (1646-56) culminating in his

death, and the slur of illegitimacy cast over his successor 'Āli 'Ādil Shāh, constituted a period of great trepidation which was rendered worse by the chronic factiousness of the nobles. The murder of Khān Muhammad, the successor of Muṣṭafā Khān and victor of Ginjī, on 11 November 1657, was an event as symptomatic, if not portentous, as the assassination of Mahmūd Gāwān in the last days of the Bahmanī kingdom ⁸⁶

Aurangzeb's last viceroyalty of the Deccan (1652-57) was also another source of great danger to the Deccan States operations against Golkonda were no doubt frustrated by the over-riding policy of Shah Jahan (April 1656), but he had succeeded in winning over the experienced and powerful general Mīr Jumla Sır Wıllıam Foster writes "In September 1654 the English factors reported a fresh development in the unstable politics of the Coast The king of Golkonda, Abdullah Outb Shāh, had long been jealous of the power wielded by his servant Mir Jumla, and an open breach had now occurred between them The latter was suspected of an intention of making himself an independent sovereign of the territory he had conquered in the Carnatic, but he was well aware of the difficulty of standing alone, and after making overtures to the King of Bijapūr, he finally succumbed to the intrigues of Aurangzeb, who as viceroy of the Deccan was eagerly watching for an opportunity to interfere Towards the end of 1655-an act provoked by the haughty behaviour of his son-precipitated the crisis, and drove Mir Jumla into the arms of Aurangzeb, with disastrous results to the Golkonda kingdom "87 Much the same was to happen to the 'Adılshāhī

Aurangzeb attacked Bījāpūr in 1657 Though Shāh Jahān again interfered, the 'Ādil Shāh had to surrender Bīdar, Kalyāni and Parendā besides paying a tribute of one *crore* of rupees see The Mughal war of succession, occasioned by Shāh Jahān's illness, provided a short though welcome respite to Bījāpūr and Golkonda (1657-65) When the campaign was resumed, it ended in the extinction of the only two Muslim Sultanates of the South (1686-87) still remaining

That Shāhjī had remained loyal to the 'Adıl Shāh even after his arrest and release is indicated by a Portuguese letter dated 11 April 1654 It states that 'The persons acceptable to the King Idalxa and according to his belief loyal to him are Fatecan, Xagi (i.e. Shāhjī) and Malique Acute '89 But the game of independence was being played by all around him, great and small He was no longer under the tutelage of a superior Muslim officer, and could more and more act on his own initiative, perhaps also in his own interest as well as anybody else As a matter of fact Muslim power in the South was palpably dwindling Like the tail of a serpent whose head has been caught mextricably in a trap, the 'Adilshāhī and Qutbshāhī authority over Karnātak was doomed to spasmodic But there was no one in the peninsula strong withdrawal enough to take its place Kanthīrava Narasarāja Wodeyar of Mysore and Tırumala Nāvak of Madura, who had made themselves independent as well as powerful, died in 1658 90 Ranga Rāyal was struggling tragically to recover his lost inheritance, but all his efforts proved in vain "Here is nothing but taking and retaking of places with parties of both sides in all places," observes a contemporary European witness of unity among the native rulers is well indicated by the Jesuit records from Madura "Tırumala Nāyak (while he was still alive) instead of co-operating in the re-establishment of the affairs of Narasinga (i.e. Śrā Ranga), who alone could save the country, recommended negotiations with the Muhammadans, opened to them again the passage through the Ghāts, and urged them to declare war against the King of Mysore whom he should (The King of) Bisnagar, betrayed a have sought for help second time by his vassal, succumbed to the contest, and was obliged to seek refuge on the confines of his kingdom in the forests where he led a miserable life a prince made unhappy by the folly of his vassals, whom his personal qualities rendered worthy of a better fate "91

The same writer notes how the Muslims profited from such a state of things "Kanakan (Khān-i-khānān) did not

wish to leave the country without levying ransom on Tanjore and Madura. He raised large contributions and returned to Bījāpūr full of riches." Further, "The Muslims have already been for several months in possession of this beautiful and fertile country, no one knows now what their ulterior designs are, whether they will establish themselves there or will content themselves with collecting the riches they can find there" ⁹²

One feels tempted to quote copiously from the contemporary Jesuit accounts which are one of our very important sources of Father Proenza writes in 1659 "Muthu Vīrappa information Nāyak, Tırumala's successor, appeared to rectify the mistakes of his father and throw off the yoke of the Muhammadans Resolved to refuse the annual tribute which they had imposed. he began to make preparations for a vigorous resistance, and furnished with soldiers and munitions the fortress of Trichinopoly which was the key to his dominions on the northern side The King of Tanjore, instead of imitating his example and cooperating with him, sent his ambassadors to Idal Khān, while he wasted time in negotiations, the enemy's army crossed the mountains and appeared before Trichinopoly with a preparation which revealed its scheme to conquer all the country Observing the warlike preparation of the Nāyak, it moved towards the east, pretending to devastate the surrounding country, then, at a time when one least expected it, it fell on Tanjore on 19 March 1659 This town, situated in the midst of a fertile plain, was not inferior to the strong citadels of Europe"93

This expedition was led by Shāhjī The final conquest of Tanjore was effected by his son Vyankojī in 1675 Vyankojī or Ekojī, as he is more familiarly called in the southern and foreign records, was born of Shāhjī's second wife Tukābāi Mohité Thus were the foundations of the Marāthā kingdom of Tanjore laid But of this we shall see more later Shāhjī's eldest son by his first wife Jijābāi, Sambhāji (full brother of Sivājī), appears to have died fighting at Kanakgiri about 1655 %

The annals of South India during the last phase of Shāhjī's life are very chaotic Apart from the quarrels between the local

1

rulers, the Muslim invaders themselves had fallen out with each other. As early as 14 January 1652, the English factors observed. "Wars being commenced between the Moors of Golkandah and Vizapore, who having shared this afflicted kingdom, are now bandying against each other, while the poor Gentue, hoping their destruction, watches opportunity to break of his present miserable yoke "95" About ten years later (1660-62) we learn that "The Gentue is powerful about the Tanjore country, and if hee overcomes the Balle Gaun (Bahlol Khān) the Vizapore's servant, 'tis thought hee 'il meete with little or no opposition in all these parts." "96"

The above impressions relate to the activities of Chokkanātha, son of Muttu Vīrappa, who brought about a temporary coalition by force of arms between Madura, Tanjore and Ginjī In 1662, Linganna, the rebellious Madura general, joined Shāhjī and besieged Trichinopoly But Chokkanātha compelled them to seek refuge first in Tanjore and then in Ginjī Linganna, too, was before long reclaimed by the coalition and employed against Shāhjī The shrewd Proenza remarks, "It appears certain that, if then the three Nāyaks had joined Śrī Ranga with all the troops they could gather, they would easily have succeeded in chasing the common enemy, and depriving him of the advantage he had taken of their disumon and reciprocal betrayal But Providence which wanted to punish them left them to this spirit of folly which precipitated the ruin of those princes and their dominions" 197

The nature of the unspeakable ruin brought about by the chronic warfare is described in the Jesuit letters. Pestilence followed in the wake of war. "The Muslims were the first victims of pestilence, having been themselves the cause of it. Their horses and men perished of famine in such large numbers that the corpses could not be buried or burnt, but were fluing in the midst of the field, which imprudent act bred diseases and increased the mortality "98. The inhumanity of man was worse "But nothing can equal the cruelties which the Muhammadans employ," writes an eye-witness. "Expression fails me to re-

١

count the atrocities which I have seen with my eyes, and if I were to describe them truth would be incredible. To the present horror are added the fears of what is to happen, for it is announced that Idal Khān sends a strong army to raise contributions, which the Nāyaks had promised, by force "po

As an instance of such devastating raids we might cite 'Vana Mian's behaviour when he was baffled by the defence of Trichinopoly fort. "The besiegers broke out on the country, devastated the harvest, burnt the villages, and captured the inhabitants to be made slaves. It is impossible to describe the scenes of horror which then enveloped this unhappy country. The Indian nobility, thinking it infamy to fall into the hands of these despicable beings, did not fear to seek refuge in death, less frightful in their eyes than such a dishonour. A large number, after slaying their women and children, plunged the sword into their own bodies and fell on their corpses. Entire populations were seen resorting to this tragic death. In other villages the inhabitants gathered together in several houses to which they set fire and perished in their flames." 100

War is nothing if it is not barbarous. Consequently, it would be unfair to suggest that the Muslim advance was ever like this Much depended upon the character of the comman-Another Jesuit letter from Trichinopoly (1662) states "The Muslims under the command of Shāhjī and Moula, gene rals of 'Adıl Shah, have occupied the realms of Ginji and Tanjore for the last two years, and seem to fix their domination The people have submitted to the yoke of a conqueror from whom they get less cruelty and more justice than from their own sovereigns"101 This certainly shows that Shahji as a general must have acted more humanely and justly than most of his contemporaries. A conqueror indeed reveals his truest character in the moment of his victory Shāhjī by his conduct on this occasion earned the goodwill of the conquered who had suffered from the worst horrors of war at the hands of others He thereby paved the way for his successors, the Marāṭhā rulers of Tanjore, who created a condominion in the South alongside of Śivājī's Svarājya in the Deccan, whose history, however, we shall not anticipate here—It will follow in due course

Before concluding this chapter it is necessary to note a few more incidents in Shāhjī's career which provide a commentary upon his character as a pioneer in the great political adventure His rôle was not that of a conscious builder. of the Marathas but he did serve in carrying forward the cause of which his great son Sivājī was the best protagonist Shāhjī did not have Sivāu's vision or sense of mission, his was the humbler but most necessary task of preparing the ground, - not by precept but by example, by daring and doing In this sense he was the most successful among the pioneers of Maratha freedom and prestige. While not being free himself, he made possible the freedom of his people who were fashioned into a nation by the genius of his son Kanha and the two brave women who 'fought like tigresses on the battle-field' when 'Alā-u'd-Dīn Khalii first invaded the Deccan, sowed the seeds of heroic resistance That seed was fostered by the blood of Sankar-dev and Harpāl-dev who preferred to be broken rather than bend before the aggressors The Koli Nag-nak of Kondana and the Sirkés of Khelna revealed the mettle of which the true Marathas were made, they also demonstrated the strength of the mountain fastnesses and their strategic importance. The innumerable Marāthas who sought service under the Bahmanī Sultāns were. through their very servitude, gathering very valuable experience in arms and in administration that was to constitute the richest asset of later generations Lukhji Jādhav Rāo and others of his stamp sold their services as mercenaries The Ghorpadés by their consistent loyalty continued through generations, redeemed their unpatriotic character by their moral courage and personal dignity It was left to Shāhjī Bhoslé of all the men of his race and generation to play the more ambitious part of a king-maker and fight for the defence and maintenance of an independent kingdom (the Nizāmshāhī) in the face of the Mughals and the 'Adılshāhī If he failed in this, he failed honourably If he was consequently obliged to accept service

under his recent enemy, he served with a sense of realism, courage, dignity, and self-respect. This is nowhere better illustrated than in his letter to 'Āli 'Ādil Shāh II (d 6 July 1657)

In that letter Shāhjī asked for a just reward for his recent services at Kanakgiri, Anegondi, Kundgol and Tamgaud 'Knowing that the prestige and dignity of Your Majesty could not be assured without keeping the frontier tribes in awe, I have enrolled 1500 more men in my army These cannot be maintained without an addition to my jagirs' He suggests an addition being made adjacent to Karyāt Aklūj or Tapé Tembhūrni, or Bhūtagrām and Pedné, or else, in Pātshāhbād or the Vaderu District He protests against his lands in Musalkal District and Karyāt Karvé being given away to Trimbakiī (Shāhji's cousin) without due compensation. He warns, 'If Your Majesty should thus tamper with my concerns, on the advice of worthless fellows, I' must remind Your Majesty that we Rajputs have served from old till now under several kings, we have never before served nor shall we do so in future under dishonour and displeasure We shall not further put up with unfair treatment I have patiently endured these indignities, during the past eighteen months, with the hope that I shall continue to receive from Your Majesty the treatment and favours I got from Your worthy father To avoid embarrassing Your Majesty I have waited so long restraining my feelings If Your Majesty will have my services in future, I claim that my status should be maintained as heretofore shall retire to some sacred place there to serve the Almighty and pray for Your Majesty '102

Eighteen months later, on 10th December 1659, we read in a letter from Revington (written from Kolhāpūr) One months tyme more will, wee believe, put an end to his ('Ādil Shāh's) trouble, for Sevagyes father Shawjee, that hes to the southward, is expected within eight dayes with his army consisting of 17,000 men, and they intend for Vizapore, the King and Queenes residence, whose strength consists onely in men and they are above 10,000 soldyers, so that in all probability

the kingdome will be lost "103" We do not know the exact context of this threatened attack of Shāhjī on Bījāpūr It might have been due to his failure to get satisfaction from the 'Ādil Shāh even after his repeated protests. We learn from the Dutch Dagh Register (16 May, 1661) that "The Neyks of Madura and Tanjouwer and the commander Sahagie, Antosie Pantele, and Lingamaneyk have met to consider an offensive defensive contract which is a serious thing to us. And therefore the Governor has excused the intended visit of Masulepatnam settlement." The alliance, however, appears to have soon melted away, for the record continues "But afterwards the Governor was informed that the contract mentioned above had been cancelled, and the Neyks have secretly conferred to attack Sahagie." 104

This incident explains why Shāhjī again came to be arrested in 1663 by the 'Adil Shah The circumstances leading to it are thus related by a Dutch record of 11 April 1663 Bahlol Khān, the Bījāpūrī general, came to terms with the Nāyak of Tanjore who promised to pay him 300,000 pardaux, and the general proceeded against the fortresses of Arni and Bangalore to subdue "the rebel Sahagie" 105 But Shāhji won over Bahlof Khān Confirmation of this rebellion of Shāhjī is to be had in an English letter of 20 July 1663 (from Goa) wherein it is "This Jassud (spy) sweares before he came out of reported Banckpore [where 'Adıl Shāh was] he saw irons put on Bussall Ckan and Shagee, but taken off the latter in two dayes who is now with the king without any command '105

It would be interesting to know in detail the history of this insurrection on the part of one who had been throughout loyal to the 'Ādil Shāh It is significant that Shāhjī was soon restored to favour and sent back to the South, while Bahlol Khān was imprisoned and put to death ¹⁰⁷ Was Shāhjī influenced by the Hindu confederates of the South, alliance with whom in May 1661 had proved abortive? Or was he being drawn into the vortex of 'Śivājī's powerful movement for the liberation of the country from the domination of the Muslim

rulers? But his resumption of, or acquiescence in, the 'Adilshāhī service culminating in his accidental death near Basavapatitaina, on 23 January 1664, while on a campaign to subdue the recalcitrant chieftains in that region, affords no clue to the inner workings of his mind. He died where he had first begun his earliest expedition under Randaula Khan in 1637-in the Shimogā District of Mysore He must have been about seventy years of age then (1594-1664), but what a period, looked at from the point of view of happenings nearer Shāhji's homeestates of Poona and Supā! But he too served in his own way, with all his limitations, the cause of Maratha dominion in South India At his death his conquests included Anegondi, Basavapattana, Kanakgırı, Bangalore, Kolar, Arnı, Gınji, Tegenapatam (Cuddalore) and Porto Novo, besides his personal estates scattered about in the Deccan and Karnātak 108 constituted the scaffolding on which his two sons-Sivaji in the Deccan and Ekoji in the South-were to erect their condominion for the greater glory of the Mārāthā people To understand the true inspirations of that national effort we should go deeper than the political and military history of the times

CHAPTER FOUR

THE INSPIRATION

'The unclean Yavanas have become kings, sins are being committed everywhere, hence, there hath been Divine Manifestation to blot out the evils of Kali Nāmā says, The people, having found the Yavanas unendurable, are singing the praises of God for, these are ever the means of redemption '—Nāma-Dev 1

Hindu reactions to the Muslim domination, we have said earlier, were more cultural and religious than political the time of Jaipal and Anandapal to the days of Prthyirai, Sangrāmasınh and Rānā Pratāp in North India, and the fall of the Yadavas of Devgiri, the Kakatiyas of Warangal, the Hoysalas of Dyārasamudra, and the Pāndvas of Madura, kingdom after kingdom had been overthrown by the invading Muslims, and Dar-u'l-Harb sought to be converted into Dar-u'l-Islām² In all appearance, this revolution was political and brought about by military means But the critical historian cannot miss two important characteristics (a) that the conquerors were not content with mere loot or political subjugation. (b) the vanguished Hindus sooner submitted to the political yoke of the Muslims than to their religious interference outer nhad, dramatically proclaimed and destructively carried out, was nothing compared with the insidious and constant war that was waged by the protagonists of Islām against the devotees of Hinduism With noble exceptions like Zain-ul-Abideen's in Kashmir, Husain Shāh's in Bengal, and Akbar's at Agra, the Muslim toleration of Hindu institutions and culture had been casual, fitful and precarious It did not depend, as has been supposed by some, on the Hindu parentage of a Muslim in power or his marriage with Hindu women Malik Kāfūr, Malik Khusrau, Khān-1-Jahān Maqbūl (to mention only a few instances) were not less fanatical than the true-born Muslims who came from

outside India, like Mahmud Ghazna or Mahmud Gawan Wedlock with Hindu women, employment of Hindus in the army and administration, and even the adoption of the local language in official documents (in the lower reaches of red-tape) did not at all affect the fundamental attitude of the Muslim rulers towards their infidel subjects Jiziya continued to be levied, temples desecrated, and 'infidels' persecuted in innumerable petty ways, after centuries of the conquerors' domicile in India Yet, the Hindus could no more avoid seeking service under their hateful masters, than the Muslims could carry, on without the infidels' co-operation But though they were militarily conquered and politically subjugated, the Hindus would not allow themselves to be religiously converted or culturally submerged By a fundamental law of human nature, the greater the repression, the stronger and more rebellious became the reactions Hindu civilisation has survived because of this inexorable law Defeated on the battle-fields and deposed from the seats of government, it asserted itself with irrepressible vigour in the hearts and homes of the Hindus Rajasthan, Vijayanagar and Maharastra have repeatedly demonstrated the truth of this thesis

The three centuries which elapsed between the first invasion of 'Alā-u'd-Dīn Khaljī (1295) and the birth of Shāhjī (1594), constituted a prolonged period of probation for the people of Mahārāṣṭra, during which they suffered agomes of soul and body, but deliverance could not come until Sivājī began his great movement in the seventeenth century Shāhjī died in 1664, exactly one hundred years after the destruction of the great Hindu empire of Vijayanagar on the battle-field of Rakkastangadı (1565) The inner history of the heart of Mahārastra during this epoch is more meaningful than the outer shell of tutelage which we have described so far The secret of the amazing success which Sivajī met with in his single generation is unintelligible except in the light of the forces that were at work, far from the courts of kings and their sanguinary activities Those that have been blind to this vitalising factor have sadly missed the full significance of the pre-Sivaji period of Marāthā history

To the undiscerning and unimaginative rationalist of to-day, to whom all religion is superstition, the medieval mind must for ever remain a sealed book But Faith, transcending reason, formed the normal texture of the psychology of men and women then, in India and elsewhere Belief in the supernormal and spiritual forces was for them as obvious as the rising and the setting sun To dismiss their beliefs as mere superstitions is, therefore, to throw away the only key which can disclose to us the motive springs of their actions. Whether the power that inspired the makers of Maratha history sprang from Tulaja Bhayani or from Khandoba of Jejuri is not a matter for scientific inquest, it is a 'fact' to be admitted as a potent instrument which shaped the life and conduct of the people living in those Bhavānī and Khandobā were as great realities to the Marāthās of the seventeenth century as the goddess Athena and the Oracle of Delphi were to the Greeks of ancient times 'fact' for the historian is not that, according to him, miracles did take place, but that the people sincerely believed that they did happen, so much did they accept them as realities that their beliefs led them to heroic endeavours In this sense, Sivājī was no more a pretender than Joan of Arc some people did feel that inspiration, others did accept it for a fact, and all acted in that faith. The task of the historian is to guage and assess the extent and results of these potent forces

Intellectually and spiritually, there was a new age dawning in Mahārāṣtra when outwardly she was being conquered and subjugated by the armies of Islām. This awakening had a social and political side to it, apart from the spiritual and intellectual. In a word, Mahārāstra-Dharma was at the root of Marāṭhā Svarājya as it was conceived of and politically translated by Śivājī. Its genesis is to be traced back to the protagonists of what is popularly called the Pandharpūr movement. It was mystical and devotional to begin with, but before long bore, a rich harvest in fields other than the merely religious. It had an esoteric as well as a popular side, a philosophical no less than practical aspect. We are here concerned only with its pragmatic consequences.

Dnāneśvar who died soon after the first invasion of 'Alāu'd-Din Khalıi, and lived under the patronage of Ramdev Rao at Devgiri, might be considered the progenitor of this great movement³ "The beginning of the mystical line," according to the authors of Mysticism in Mahārāstra, "was effectively made in Maharastra by Dnanadev And while a continuous tradition goes on from Dnanesvar to Namadev, and from Nāmadev to Ekanāth, and from Ekanāth to Tukārām, Rāmdas like Heracleitus stands somewhat apart in his spiritual isolation" Further, they have observed "If we reclassify these great Mystics of Mahārāştra according to the different types of mysticism, they fall into the following groups Dnanesvar is the type of an intellectual mystic, Nāmadev heralds the democratic age, Ekanath synthesises the claims of worldly and spiritual life, Tukārām's mysticism is most personal, while Rāmdās is the type of an active saint"; Whatever be the school or category to which these saints belonged, the total effect of their combined teachings was the propagation of Mahārāsira Dharma which had very far-reaching political results

It is significant that Dnāneśvar chose to interpret the Bhagavad-Gitā, and to do it in the language of the people,—Marāthī Whatever else Dnāneśvar may stand for, he rendered a great service to the cause of Marāthā freedom by this double choice In this respect, he stands with Gautama Buddha, John Wycliffe and Martin Luther From a purely linguistic point of view, he did for Marāthī what Chaucer did for English a 'well of the vernacular pure and undefiled' He brought philosophy and religion from the heights of the Himālāyas, as it were, to the hearths and homes of Mahārāstra This democratic service was indeed both timely and fruitful

The state of Mahārāṣtra when Dnāneśvar appeared was a shade worse than Europe when Luther preached and protested Theological and metaphysical obscurantism had been carried to excess without reference to the morals of the people The situation has been well described by Rājwādé "In the latter half of the thirteenth century, under the Yādavas, the

Marāthās were too very engrossed with the good things of life, though they clothed them in the garb of religion honoured gospel was the Chaturvarga Chintamani of Hemadri, in which the Srutis, Smrtis and Puranas were pedantically paraded as authorities for feeding Brāhmans with prescribed feasts in propitiation of particular deities for every day in the From Hemadri's Vratakhanda it would appear that no less than 2000 ceremonies were to be performed in the course of 365 days! For him, indeed, there was no distinction between feasting and religion There is not to be found in any other language, in any other part of the globe, a work of that character making a fetish of such things"5 The consequence was that the people became ignorant, superstitious and effeminate, and the foreigners took full advantage of their incapacity to resist Elsewhere we have noticed the sectarianism that was rampant in the midst of great learning there was a Besides, the language of religion had tragic lack of wisdom long been the sacred Sanskrit, of which the masses as well as classes were ignorant a microscopic minority of erudite pandits enjoying the monopoly of exploiting the superstitious beliefs of the people. The obvious remedy for such evils was to break through this monopoly by spreading enlightenment of the purest sort through the medium of Marāthī Mukundarāi and the Mahānubhāvas had attempted this before Dnānesvar, but the Bastille had not fallen. The cult of the Mahanubhavas was too heretical to be popular on a wide scale, and the metaphysics and mysticism of Mukundaraı were too esoteric to be understood or assimilated by the many 6 Two of his tenets certainly militated against the needs of the situation, namely, his conviction that "a mystic should never reveal his inner secret lest the people might deride it," and that contemplation on the Paramāmrīa "turns back the devotee from the world and enables him to see the vision of his Self" Dnanesvar, on the other hand, rightly adopted the popular exposition of a popular text as the instrument of his instruction. Not that the Dnaneśvari (or Bhāvārtha-dīpikā) is less traditionally philosophical, but in it the genius of the commentator has translated the deepest

truths in such an idiom and wealth of homely illustration, that his work has remained unrivalled as a classic of popular enlightenment. So far-reaching was its influence that the briber Senā sang of the great service rendered by Dninesvar in revealing the surest path to salvation, and overflowing with a sense of obligation declared "Large-hearted is his benevolence, like that of father and mother, how can I, poor soil, express the unrequitable. He has indeed shown the true path, and imparted life to the mert. To this day, the pilerims to Pandhirpūr and Dehū sing as they move along "Dnēnadev-Tukārām".

Drinadev wrote his Amrtanubhava or 'Immortal Experience' for the few, Bhāvārthadīpihā, or 'Light on the Essential Meaning (of the Gita), for the many, and Abharrs, or devotional songs, for all. The second of these, popularly known as the Dnancseari, very properly conveyed the message of Sri Kṛṣṇa, a message of hope, of action, of courage and duty-to the bewildered people of Maharastra in the days of their undoing at the hands of the invading Muslims. The Gita has been commented upon by men of genius in every age, stressing one or another aspect of its comprehensive philosophy to suit the needs of their time and generation. But any attempt to read into the Dnaneśvari anything less than its universal meaning might appear too arbitrary and unwarrantable. Nevertheless, it cannot be denied that the work breathes a contemporary and local atmosphere, even while it envisages a wider and timeless truth For illustration, we might cite Dnanesvar's description of Dawi and Asuri Sampatti It is not in the language of Sri Kṛṣṇa or Vyāsa, but in that of a Deccani writer of the medieval times. Without seeking in it the historical accuracy of a Domesday Survey, we might, without exaggeration still look. for local colour in its terms and illustrations The shortcomings of Rāmdev Rāo's contemporaries could not have been absent from the mind of Dnanesvar when he wrote his great commentary

The intellectual atmosphere of his time is well reflected, for instance, in the thirteenth chapter, verses 653-842 He

speaks of a villager worshipping god after god, going to a Guru and learning some mantra from him, placing an image of his choice in a corner of his house, but going on a pilgrimage to temple after temple. Forgetting the god at home, he worships another the spirits of the dead ancestors, with the same devotion as his God on Ekādaśī and serpents on Nāgapanchamī. Durgā on the fourth of the dark fortnight, then Navachandī on another occasion and Bhairava on Sundays, the linga on Mondays, etc He worships perpetually without being silent even for a moment, at various shrines, 'like a courtesan attracting man after man at the entrance to the town,' the devotee who thus runs after different gods, he says, is 'ignorance incarnate' He knows the theory of karma, has learnt the Purānas by rote, is a great astrologer and can predict future events, knows the science of architecture and the art of cooking, has mastered the magic of the Atharva-veda, his knowledge of sexual science is boundless, has studied the Bharata, attained proficiency in the Agamas, in ethics, medicine, poetics and dramaturgy there is none equal to him, he can discuss the Smrtis, is well versed in the Nighantu, and very profound in logic 'He knows all these sciences, but is stark blind in the Science of Self-knowledge The plumage of a peacock is covered all over with eyes, but there is no vision'

As a corrective, Dnāneśvar's prescription is significant Fearlessness, Purity, Steadfastness, Sacrifice, are the virtues he inculcates in the order of their importance. Sacrifice means dutifully offering to God whatsoever is best. Who can deny that, had the generation of Dnāneśvar and Rāmdev Rão possessed these qualities, the fate of Mahārāstra might have been different. The context of the Gitā, the sermon of Śrī Krsna to Arjuna, and its fulfilment in action,—all pointed to the same moral Dharma. Dnāneśvar swept away much nonsense, stimulated clear thinking, and, more than anything else, filled the people with a purer faith and hope in redemption. 'Where the Moon is, there is moon-light, where fire exists, there is burning power, where Krsna is, there is victory '10' Confidence in Him is the beginning of Bhakti. 'He punishes the wicked

and destroys all sin, when Prahlād uttered His name, God ran to his rescue, His name is indeed the best and holiest of all things it came to the succour of Dhruva, of Ajāmila, of Gajendra, of Vālmīki Mountains of sin are destroyed in an instant by the name of God There is neither season nor prescribed time for its utterance. The devotees of God feed themselves with the nectar of His Name '11

Such was the line of attack that Dnāneśvar adopted in order to purify, simplify, and popularise religion. That this renovated Faith proclaimed a revolt against the traditional ideas and practices will become more and more apparent as we proceed. We have discovered the secret. Let us propagate the Bhāgavata-Dharma, what use are pilgrimages while the mind still remains full of evil 7'12 asks Nāmadev who ushered in the Democracy of Devotion.

Nāmadev was a tailor, and Dnānadev the son of an outcaste Brāhman Others soon followed from all ranks and classes of people As Rānadé has pointed out, there were about fifty saints and prophets during this age some of whom were women. a few converts from Islām, nearly half of them Brāhmans, while in the remaining half there were Marāthās, Kunbīs, Mahārs, goldsmiths, tailors, gardeners, potters, maid-servants and repentant prostitutes According to him Dnanesvar's influence was greater than that of any other saint except Tukarām 13 Nāmadev was Dnāneśvar's contemporary but outlived him by over fifty years (d 1350) Others associated with them were Nıvrttı. Sopān and Muktābāi,-the two brothers and a sister respectively of Dnanadev To this cycle also belonged Gorā the potter, Sāvata the gardener. Narahari the goldsmith. Chokā the Mahār, Janābāi the maidservant. Senā the barber. Kānhopātrā the prostitute Senā and Kānhopātrā alone were separated from Nāmadev by about a century (c 1448-68), all the rest were contemporaries 14 Together they constituted a fraternity of religious persons whose outlook and teachings are well reflected in the songs (abhangs) of Namdev "He developed the sampradāya of Pandharī as no other single saint ever did "15

Dnānadev and Nāmadev represented, respectively, the intellectual and the emotional aspects of the revival. The spirit of the teachings of both alike was to penetrate to the essence through the externals. 'A stone god and his mock devotee cannot satisfy each other. Such gods have been broken to pieces by the Turks, or have been flung into water,' says Nāma, 'and yet they do not cry '16. Is it not amazing, he asks, that people should discard the animate and worship the inanimate?' They pluck a living Tulasī plant to worship a dead stone, they kill a living ram to perform the Soma sacrifice, they paint a stone with red-lead, and women and children fall prostrate before it. People worship a serpent made of clay, but take up cudgels to kill a living one—All these are vain,' declares Nāma. 'the only pursuit of value is to utter the Name of God'17.

In the propagation of moral ideals, illustrated with Paurānic examples, and the homely imagery used by them for popular enlightenment, we find the simple technique and high character of the teachings of these saints 'Contact with other women,' says Nāma, 'is the sure cause of ruin that way was Rāvana destroyed and Bhasmāsura reduced to ashes, that way the Moon became consumptive and Indra's body became punctured with a thousand holes' 18

It is equally interesting to note that, according to Nāmadev, the following combinations are hard to meet with 'Gold and fragrance, diamond and softness, a Yogin with purity, a rich man with compassion, a tiger with mercy, a hearer who is attentive, a preacher who knows, and a Kṣatriya who is brave' 10 What a bold commentary upon contemporary conditions!

Then we find him describing a saint as a 'spiritual washerman' who uses the 'soap of illumination', 'he washes on the slab of tranquillity, purifies the river of knowledge, and takes away the spots of sin'20 There is only one favour he would ask of God 'that we should always feel Him in our heart, utter His name only with our tongue, see Him alone with our eyes Our hands should worship Him only, our heads be placed

at His feet alone, and our ears hear only His praise. He should show Himself on our right, our left, before us and behind, as well as at the close of our lives. We should ask of God no other favour except this '21. The emotional effect of such ecstatic 'madness' upon the devoted masses cannot be imagined but felt in the company of the God-intoxicated

"The value and significance of this movement," observes Mr Macnicol,—a foreigner nurtured in another creed and culture—"he in its affirmation of the claims of the human heart and in the moral and religious consequences that follow from that affirmation. These are the elements in it that gave it its power and enabled it to make an appeal so far-reaching and so profound. It was, if we may say so, a splendid effort of the Hindu soul to break the bondage under which it had lain so long. It at last stirred in its long sleep, and turned its drowsy eyes towards the dawn." It is also to be noted that Mr Macnicol opines "They have no language but a cry," and their poems are "primarily religious and only secondarily and accidentally works of art."22

The religious capital of Mahārāşţra was, and still remains, Pandharpūr

'On Bhīmā's banks all gladness is In Pandharī the Abode of Bliss'

This is the refrain of many a song that is re-echoed by the choirs of singers that journey with eager expectation, year by year, to this Deccan village to look upon the face of the God, writes the Christian Missionary "There is little outwardly to distinguish the worship at this shrine from that of a hundred others throughout the land. The image is rudely fashioned and has no grace of form. The worship is that which is commonly performed in any Hindu temple. What gives it distinctive character is the special song services, the kirtans and bhajans, that are conducted for the instruction of pilgrims, and in which their deep religious emotion finds its fullest utterance. Great numbers of pilgrims sit for hours at Pandharpūr and the other village centres of the cult (like Dehu and Alandi), listening to the

exhortations of some famous preacher or *Harīdās* (lit slave of God) who bases his discourse upon verses from such poetsaints as Jñānadev or Eknāth or Tukāram. With the teaching is skilfully combined the singing of a choir. These kīrtans have a profound emotional effect upon the multitudes gathered in eager expectation at the holy place. The songs of the old saints awaken, and in some degree satisfy, the deep desires of their hearts. So also groups will gather for what are called bhajans, when there is no preaching, but they continue often for hours, singing those songs of longing and ecstasy '23. These foreign impressions, gathered from a modern setting, might serve to acquaint the reader with an echo (though necessarily faint) of the original thrills experienced by a people more attuned and sensitive to that kind of appeal than our present generation which is far removed from such devotional experiences

How the spirit of the Bhakti movement permeated the masses and coloured their psychology may be gathered from the language used by some of the saints We have already cited some abhangs of Nāmadev The gardener Sāvata says, 'Garlıc, Onion and Chilli are my God the water-bag, the rope, and the well are all enveloped by Him Well was it that I was born in a low caste, and well is it also that I have not attained Had I been born a Brāhman, my life would have greatness been a mere round of rituals Placed as I am, I have no ablutions to make, nor Sandhyā to perform Born in a low caste, I can only beg for Thy compassion '24 Narahari, the goldsmith, makes his body the melting crucible of his soul, and pours the molten gold of God into the matrix of the three gunas Hammer in hand he breaks to pieces anger and passion, and with the scissors of discrimination, cuts out the gold-leaf of the Name With the balance of illumination he weighs God's of God Name Bearing a sack of gold he crosses to the other side of the stream (of Samsāra) 25 Likewise, Chokhā the untouchable saint says 'The worshippers at the temple beat me for no fault of mine they abuse me and charge me with having pol-I am indeed a dog at Thy door, send me not away luted God to another' Chokhā is convinced that the real Pandharī is his

transporting plays the rôle of Rukmonī 'Contemplating God in this wise I cling to the feet of God' Chokhā may be untouchable, he argues, 'but my heart is not untouchable just as the sugarcane might be crooked, but the juice is not crooked' He earnestly prays that if God should give him a son, he should be a saint, if a daughter, she shall be like Mirābāi or Muktābāi 'If it should not please God to do any of these things, it is much better that He denies any offspring to Chokhā'26

Turning to the barber Senā, we find him holding the mirror of discrimination, and using the pincers of dispassion 'We apply the water of tranquillity to the head, and pull out the hair of egotism, we take away the nails of passion, and are a support to all the castes '27 Kanhopātrā, the fallen woman, cries 'I am verily an outcaste I do not know the rules of conduct I only know how to approach Thee, in submission Thou callest thyself the saviour of the fallen Why dost Thou not then uplift me? I have once declared myself Thine others should claim me now, whose then would be the fault? If a jackal were to take away the food of a lion, who shall be blamed ? '28 These appeals rose from the heart of Mahārāṣṭra trodden under the heels of the Mlecchas for several generations The outcome was that, for five centuries, Mahārāṣṭra became the abode of "that noblest and truest of democracies, the Democracy of the Bhaktas "29

From the middle of the fifteenth century, we come across another cluster of saints Bhānudās, Janārdhanswāmī, and Ekanāth Their predecessors had carried ecstatic devotion to excess It was time, therefore, to put a curb on extreme emotionalism The balance between other-worldliness and the duties and obligations of this mundane life was held even by these three We cannot say that they consciously argued like this, but their teachings as well as conduct indicate such harmonisation

Bhānudās was born at Paithan on the Godāvarī, about 1448 He is supposed to have brought back—the image of Vitthala from Hampi (Vijayanagar) whither Richard being re-

moved for safety from Muslim hands His disciple was Janārdhanswāmī, the master of Ekanāth Janārdhan was qule'dār of Daulatābād till his death in 1575. He devoted himself to the service of God even while he was performing his worldly duties He was a model for Ekanath in his combination of the worldly and spiritual life He was respected alike by the Hindus and the Muslims "Every Thursday which was sacred to the God of Janārdhan Swāmī was proclaimed a holiday at Devagad by the order of the Mahomedan king" His samādhi still exists inside a cave at Daulatābād Ekanāth lived with him for nearly six years On one occasion he is said to have impersonated Janārdhan and fought in defence of the fortress Ekanāth, all through his lifetime (1533-99), was noted for his industry and regularity His patience and his equanimity were proverbial His behaviour with a Muslim who spat on him every time he returned from his bath in the river, his redemption of a prostitute, his kindly treatment of an untouchable boy, and several other instances of his saintly behaviour revealed his practical spirituality

Ekanāth wrote works like Bhāvārtha Ramāvan and edited the text of the *Dnāneśvarī* But his reputation chiefly rests on his great commentary on the eleventh chapter of the Bhāgavata, —the bible of Bhagavata Dharma From the point of view of style the work of Ekanath is reckoned superior to that of Dnāneśvar His vindication of Marāthī as an adequate vehicle of thought is familiar to most students of that language Sanskrit is to be regarded as the speech of the Gods, he declaims, is Prākrit to be considered the language of thieves? Let alone these errors of vanity, he declares, both are equally sacred when used for praising God God is no partisan of one speech or another 'My Marāṭhī', he proudly proclaims, 'is an excellent vehicle and is rich freighted with the fruits of divine thought '30 His Bhagavata, indeed, amply illustrates the potentialities of that language It covers every conceivable subject connected with Vedantic philosophy, with religion, with morality, etc. In the words of the late Rev J E Abbott "Did he believe in knowledge as a way of salvation? Yes, but it must be

without hypocrisy Did he believe in *Bhakti* as a way of salvation? Yes, but it must mean true love of God, and sincere Did he believe the Brāhman held the first place in the social system? Yes, but a Brāhman without true devotion to God would go to hell, and a Śūdra with true devotion would be found in Heaven Did he believe in Caste? Yes, but his firm conviction that God was in all men, Brāhman or Śūdra and even Mleccha, made him, if the traditional stories of him can be believed, disregard the rules of Caste when the needs of humanity demanded it "si

While the Ekanāthī Bhāgavata is replete with current social and religious philosophy, the same Christian critic observes, it is not a book for teaching those doctrines "It is rather the thought of sincerity, absence of hypocrisy, true love of God and man, moral ideas of truth and honesty, purity of life, sacredness of marriage, condemnation of immorality, selfishness, avarice, drunkenness, and other forms of vice, in all phases of life, that runs through the book and gives it its distinction. The work is too large, the subjects too varied, for any detailed analysis here. But it is in Marathī literature a unique book and worthy of study for its presentation of moral ideals, as they appealed to that great religious teacher to whom the trueness of the inner spirit was more than any outer form"32

After Ekanāth we come to Tukārām and Rāmdās, both of whom were contemporaries of Śivājī The outlooks of these great makers of the Marāthā mind and spirit were even more closely knit together, perhaps, than those of Mazzini, Cavour and Garibaldi in the creation of modern Italy We might almost say that Śivājī carved out by his sword an independent State in the Deccan in order to safeguard the spiritual culture summed up in Tukārām, with the sagacity of Rāmdās Tukārām is still the most popular saint of Mahārāṣṭra He is the summit and culmination of a long line of Bhaktas In him the stream of devotion has swollen into a flood His emotion is overpowering, his philosophy is reassuring, and his vehicle is the daily speech of the masses "Of all the Marāthā bhaktas," writes Mr

Macnicol, "the greatest in the popular estimation, certainly the widest in the extent of his influence is Tukārām. The popularity of his verses has continued undiminished until today, and they are so widely known among all classes of Marāṭhās that many of them have almost come to have the vogue and authority of proverbs. They are more familiar throughout Mahārāṣṭra than are (or were) in Scotland 'the psalms of Davīd or the songs of Burns' Not only are they prized by the most illiterate worshipper of Vithobā as the 'Veda' of their sect, but they furnish a large portion of the psalmody of the reforming Prārthanā Samāj, while some of the greatest of modern Indians, such as M. G. Rānadé and Sir R. G. Bhandārkar, have found in them, perhaps more than in the ancient scriptures, nourishment for their own religious life's in the source of the greatest of scriptures, nourishment for their own religious life's in the scriptures.

For all this, Tukā, as Mahīpatī says, was not a learned He never went to school His father taught him the little that he knew He did not know Sanskrit "He must have found great difficulty in understanding the works of Dnanadev and Ekanāth, in their antique Marāthī forms, when he retired with his books to his mountain retreat, to read and study them," observes Abbott "His caste as Śūdra (Vām) was comparatively low, and no inspiration came to him from that source, nor from the Brāhmans of his acquaintance, to whom he was accustomed to bow as Hindu social laws demanded growth was like the growth of a tree, from seed to full stature, on some retired spot, unassisted except by the laws of his own Forced at first by hard necessity, he was a petty grocer in a little village, successful because of his natural ability and honesty, but his heart was not in his business He wanted God in his soul, and all earthly things, money and property, he Naturally, he failed in business, and then counted as filth came a period of readjustment to his now complete indifference to earthly things, and the unsympathetic attitude of his sharptongued wife and scorning neighbours "31 Despite these troubles, Mahīpatī describes him as 'helping the sick, carrying the burdens of the weary, giving water to the thirsty, food to the hungry, going on errands for the lame Even animals came in

for his kind thought He watched for such as needed water or food Even in this he met with no sympathy from his wife, and little from his neighbours. Tukā had to walk alone His teacher was no other than the spirit within him '35

Frequently as we have quoted the admiring Mr Abbott already, the following appreciation by him of Tukārām's consummation as a Bhakta is both correct and irresistible. In the latter half of his life, 'God is his all-in-all. God was his food and his drink. The world was nothing to him. God was his centre. His poetic inspiration came to him unexpectedly, but once in its grasp he thought and spoke only in abhangs. No one taught him the art of poetry. His words flowed out of a heart full of love of God and goodwill to men. 16

The saint himself proclaims 'I know nothing, and what I am uttering are not my words, O ye saints Be not angry with me, for God Panduranga speaks through me He has filled every nook and corner in me How else can an ignorant person like me declare what transcends even the Vedas? I only know how to sing the name of God, by the power of my Guru, God is bearing all my burdens '37 'Pānduranga is my father and Rakhumāi my mother I am therefore of pure lineage from both my parents I need no longer be poor in spirit or a pigmy in power I shall no longer be wicked or unfortunate God will ever come to my succour '38 'Who can deprive the son of the treasures of his father? I sit on the lap of God and there remain fearless and contented '39 'By the power of my faith God has made me a free master, says Tukā '40 'I distribute the harvest of God all castes may come and partake of this bounty to their satisfaction '41 He declares his mission to be to promote religion and to destroy atheism. I take pointed words and fling them, like arrows I have no consideration of great and small '42 'Through various lives have I been doing this duty, to relieve the oppressed from the sorrows of existence I shall sing the praises of God and gather together His saints I shall evoke tears even from stones I shall sing the holy name of God and shall dance and clap my hands with joy I shall plant my feet on the brow of death I shall imprison my passions and make myself the lord of the senses '13' 'Pebbles will shine only so long as the diamond is not brought out. Torches will shine only so long as the sun has not risen. People will talk of other saints so long as they have not met Tukā' '44' 'I have come to illuminate the path and distinguish between the true and the false. Before me no tinsel can stand' '15' 'I have girded up my loins and have discovered for you the path across the ocean of life. Come hither, come hither, come great and small, men and women. Take no thought and have no anxiety. I shall carry you all to the other shore. I bear with me the certitude of God to carry you over in God's name'46

Few could resist this call For the masses, indeed, the voice of Tukā was the voice of God It reverberated throughout Mahārāstra and its echoes rolled from soul to soul sage was not a political one, but only religious. Yet the people. once filled with that fervour, could never remain apathetic Tukārām was undoubtedly a mystic, but the people were not Their mighty enthusiasm for religion could be easily directed into pragmatic channels Rāmdās was as much the unstrument of this transformation as Sivaji He converted the Vārkarī into the Dhārkarī sampradāya, as Rājwādé puts it the sahismu psychology was revolutionised into the jayismu God of this virile cult is not the static Vithobā of Pandharpūr. but the dynamic Māruti of Rāmdās Hanumān is our supporter, \$17 Raghunāth is the God we worship While our Guru is the powerful \$\sir\$ R\bar{a}m, what room is there for penury? When Raghunandan is our best benefactor, why should we go to others? Hence are we the slaves of Srī Rām, our faith is firmly set on Him Let the heavens fall, but we shall not think of any other '47

It is to be remembered that Hanuman is the Hercules of Hindu mythology. His labours cleared the Augean Stables for Srī Rāmachandra the creator of Rāma-rājya the ideal Svarājya of the Hindus. In terms of Marāthā history, we might describe Sivājī as a combination of Hanumān and Srī Rāmachandra in the eyes of the masses. The emotional mysticism of Tukārām and the intellectual pragmatism of Rāmdās must have been of

considerable assistance to Śivājī in building up his great movement. He was certainly not writing on a blank page of History. The entire galaxy of saints had as much to do with the creation of a new Marāthā society as Śivājī. The psychological and moral foundations had been well laid before Śivājī's military and political genius laid the coping stone. Marāthā Svarājya of the seventeenth century was not the work of a single man howsoever gifted. It was a mansion built by several hands directed by several brains. It was the natural product and culmination of the historical process which we have described in its various aspects in the present and earlier chapters.

It is futile to speculate on the exact share of each worker in this complex historical field. To attempt such an analysis is like trying to determine what proportion of soil and sunlight, wind and rain, have gone into the making of a huge banyan tree. The vital elements of historical evolution are incapable of accurate measurement and arithmetical apportionment. It is therefore vain to distribute the dividends among all the partners in the great business of nation-building. Both Sivājī and Rāmdās were creators as well as participators in the new life that was surging through Mahārāṣṭra during the seventeenth century. That they were contemporaries working for a common cause is undeniable. The diary of their personal meetings and contacts is only of secondary importance.

The controversy regarding the personal contacts between $\sin \bar{p}$ and $\sin \bar{p}$ and $\sin \bar{p}$ are thus clinched by $\sin \bar{p}$ and $\sin \bar{p}$ and $\sin \bar{p}$ are thus clinched by $\sin \bar{p}$ and $\sin \bar{p}$ are thus 1649, the last is 1672 "It is highly probable," they say, "that the earlier date is the more correct one, but we shall await some new discoveries for the final decision in the matter" The letter attributed to $\sin \bar{p}$ and dated in the fifth year of $\sin \bar{p}$ and $\sin \bar{p}$ are treads as follows—

'Obeisance to my noble Teacher (Rāmdās), the father of all, the abode of bliss Sivājī who is merely as dust on his Master's feet, places his head on the feet of his Master, and submits I was greatly obliged to have been favoured by your

supreme instruction, and to have been told that my religious duty consists in conquest, in the establishment of Dharma, in the service of God and the Brahmans, in the amelioration of my subjects, and in their protection and succour I have been advised that herein is spiritual satisfaction for me were also pleased to declare that whatever I should earnestly desire would be fulfilled Consequently, through your grace, have I accomplished the destruction of the Turks and built at great expense fastnesses for the protection and perpetuation of my kingdom Whatever kingdom I have acquired I have placed at your feet and dedicated myself to your service I' desired to enjoy your close company, for which I built the temple at Chāphal and arranged for its upkeep and worship, etc when I again desired to make over 121 villages to the temple at Chāphal, and also intended to grant eleven vitas of land to every place of worship, you said that all this could be done in due course Consequently, I have assigned the following lands for the service of God I promise to make available, at the time of the annual festival, all the corn from these lands -Dated Rajyābhiśeka śaka 5, Aśvin śuddha 10 (= Saka 1600. or 1678 AD) 49

Competent critics have considered 'activism' the most characteristic feature of the teachings of Rāmdās, "Rāmdās, more than any other saint of Mahārāstra, called people's minds to the performance of Duty, while the heart was to be set on 'No wonder that with this teaching he helped the formation of the Marāthā kingdom, as no other saint had done before 4,50 His Dāsa Bodha_is supposed to contain the political testament of Rāmdās Particularly does he declare therein 'The Mlecchas have long been rampant in the country and it is necessary to be very vigilant The goddess Tulajā Bhavānī is indeed benignly interested but it is necessary to be circumspect in action '51 Addressing the goddess at Pratapgad, Ramdas implores, 'I ask only one thing of Thee, my Mother Promote the cause of the King in our very lifetime I have heard of Thy exploits in the past, but show Thy power today '52 His vision of the Kingdom of Bliss, wherein 'the wicked cease from

troubling and the weary are at rest', is described in his Anandavana Bhuvana 'A great calamity has overtaken the Mlecchas, God has become the Protector of the virtuous, all evil-doers have come to an end Hindusthān has grown strong, haters of God have been slain, the power of the Mlecchas has vanished. The Mother has blessed Sivajī and destroyed the sinners. I see the Goddess in the company of the king, intent on devouring the wicked. She protected Her devotees of old, She is protecting them today—in the Kingdom of Bliss' 13

To prepare for this consummation, Rāmdās preached in the living present 'Places of pilgrimage have been destroyed, homes of the Brahmans have been desecrated, the whole earth is agitated, Dharma is gone . Therefore, Marathas should be mobilised, Maliārāstra Dharma should be propagated people should be railied and filled with a singleness of purpose. sparing no effort, we should crash upon the Mlecchas '51 Torn from their context these exhortations might sound fanatical But from what we have recorded in the preceding pages the religious revival had reached a stage where it was bound to become militant Even the patient and forbearing Ekanath wrote 'Wicked kings began to rule, and they exploited their subjects like thieves Themselves worse than Sudras, they converted people of all castes Such being the condition (most sinful and sacrilegious) \ Brāhmans gave up studying the scriptures, they became drunkards, served the ignominious, and fed themselves like dogs on the leavings from the Turks' table '55 Rāmdās, to be fair to him, also recommended moderation 'Extremes should be always avoided, one should act according to situations wise should never be fanatical Times change, rigid rules do not always help, in politics theoretical consistency is misleading '56

The saints taught by example as well as by precept. On the whole, their total influence was in the direction of evoking great fervour for religion, yet restraining that zeal by a moderation which has always characterised Hindu social behaviour. The revivalism was creative and constructive, not violent and destructive "The impulse was felt," as Rānadé has observed,

"in art, in religion, in the growth of vernacular literature, in communal freedom of life, in the increase of self-reliance and toleration" In spirit, this renaissance was also fed from another source, namely, Vijayanagar Particularly was that great kingdom (destroyed just a century before the death of Shāhjī, as we have seen) the repository of the best traditions of Hindu rule and culture Particularly, in the matter of religious toleration, no less than as a shining example of what Hindu organisation could achieve, the Marāthās had an inspiring model in the "never-to-be forgotten Empire" of the South

The specific channels through which this inspiration worked must remain a controversial subject. On the religious side we have the significant tradition of the removal of the image of Vitthala (to save it from Muslim desecration) to Vijayanagar, and its restoration to Pandharpur by Bhanudas (d 1513) The Marāthī poet Mahīpatı has described this historic incident in his Bhakta-vijaya (composed, 1762) which evidently records a well-established tradition It is to be remembered that the initial consecration of Vithoba at Pandhari is attributed to Pundalīka—a samt equally respected by the people of Mahārāstra The service rendered by a Karnātak king and Karnātak through the protection and restoration of Vitthala, the most popular god of Mahārāstra, was bound to make a deep and abiding impression upon a people who were now passionately devoted to the Pandharpur cult In the verses of Mahīpatı we witness the sentiments of the Marāthās regarding their favourite god While Vithobā was away from Pandharī, 'the city was like a body without life, or a river without water The city was oppressed with fears It was like an army without a king, like constellations without the moon, or as a virtuous devoted wife deprived of her husband (unprotected among men) So with Harı gone to Vıjayanagar, the whole of Pandharī seemed deso-Dejected, the saints and mahants sat down by the Eagle-"Whose praises shall we now sing?" they asked among themselves The Life of the World has deserted us The promise given to Pundalik (to stick to Pandhari) has been broken '58

The rejoicing at the return of Vithoba was commensurate with the sorrow at his absence 'And now the assembled crowd of men and women praised Bhānudās saying that it was through him that the Lord of Heaven had come back to Pandhari Some distributed sweetmeats throughout the city gave feasts of daintily cooked food to Brāhmans Thus all the dwellers of that sacred city rejoiced in their hearts Just as when the son of Raghu came back to Ayodhyā, after enduring fourteen years of exile, the people of the city rejoiced, so did the people of Pandharī also rejoice. As when a mountain becomes dry in the time of drought, and then a cloud pours abundant rain upon it, so did the people of Pandhari feel relieved It was like the joy of the clouds as they saw the ocean issuing from Agasti, it was like the beauty of vegetation when Spring appears so was the return of the Protector of the Helpless to Pandharī All the inhabitants became happy. It was as when life returns to the body and all the senses are quickened and begin to perform their functions. So it happened to all the people of Pandhari '50

This event beautifully symbolises the return of life to the dead limbs of Hindu society. Out of the very ashes of Vijayanagar a spark was conveyed to Mahārastra which added to the illumination created by the saints. The protection of Vitthala was the protection of Hindu Dharma and civilisation, as it was lived and understood by those generations. His restoration therefore was the restoration of Dharma which brought about a great and enthusiastic revival. Vijayanagar had stood like a rock against the waves of Islāmic advance for over two centuries. While protecting all that Hindu civilisation meant, and fighting valiantly against the forces of Muslim aggression, Vijayanagar had throughout continued to be tolerant towards the Muslims individually. This tradition was not extinguished at Tālikota or Rakkastangadi, but transmitted to Mahārāṣṭra through innumerable channels

Professor T S Shejwalkar has discussed some of these in his article on "What Śivājī and the Marāṭhā State owed to Vijayanagara" (in the Vijayanagara Sexcentenary Commemo-

ration Volume) 60 He has pointed out therein how the author of Mahārāstra Mahodayāchā Pūrvaranga (lit Dawn of the Great Awakening of Mahārāstra), dealing with the period 1300-1600 AD, unavoidably found himself writing a history of Vıjayanagar The family bakhars of the Brāhman Sardesāis of Sangameśvar, he says, show how they were supported by the Vijavanagar kings, and thinks that their title of Nāvak must have been derived from Vijayanagar "The cultural influence of Vijayanagara," according to him, "is found mentioned in a When after the terrible Durgadevi famine, curious manner at the end of the 14th century, the whole of Mahārāstra was depopulated for thirty years, a certain Brahmin, Dado Narasinh by name, of Atharva Veda and Bhālaniana Gotra, came from Vıjayanagara to Karād, and, with the permission of the Pādshāh of Bedar, helped in the reconstruction and repopulation of the land "61 Prof Shejwalkar is also of the opinion) that Sıvāıi, who was at Bangalore as a boy until 1642, must have imbibed at his father's court some of the surviving traditions of Vijayanagar, as evidenced by the Siva Bharat and Rādhā-Mādhava-Vīlāsa Champu 62 "We can take it almost for certain," he states, "that Sivāji's mind had become full of tales of Vijayanagara, of the exploits of its heroes, and the cultural work of its learned men like Vidyāranya The fame of 'Rāma' Rāja Kānadā' and the historic battle of 'Rākshastagdı' had spread far and wide in Mahārāstra as we can judge by the existence of Marāthī Bakhars on the subject and the casual mention of his name elsewhere Subjectively speaking, it seems clear to us that Sivājī's ideal was formed in the shadow of Vijayanagaia '63 Finally, he concludes, "Because Śivājī wished to stand forth as a successor of Vijayanagara, he selected as his imperial coin the gold hona in imitation of Vijayanagara, and did not copy the rupee of the Mughals though it was becoming the current coin of India as a whole then same reason he continued the practice of donating villages and cash from the treasury to learned Brahmins and to shrines of Hindu deities on the Madras coast A number of the grant papers have been published in Marāthī from the Peshwa Staterecords by Pārasnīs and Māvjī His grant, indited on silver plate, to Tirumalarāya and Rāmarāya, the two sons of Śrī Ranga Rāyulu, the last nominal emperor of Vijayanagara, who died a fugitive in the west country (probably Bednūr), though in its present form spurious, still appears to be, as remarked by Dr S K Aiyangar, correct in substance from the sentiments expressed therein "64

Before we close this chapter, it is necessary to explain the work of Shāhjī in Karnātak which has been characterised by one writer as "all along unfriendly though he was a Hindu" Mr D B Diskalkar has observed, "He was no doubt the greatest Hindu general in those days whose help could have saved Vijayanagara for some more years — If Shāhjī had left the cause of Bijapur and had taken up that of Vijayanagara the history of the Karnātaka could have taken a different turn. The foundation of the Marāthā power in the south which he laid by his Bijapur service could as well have been laid by the Vijayanagara service "6"

It indeed seems a pity that the historical process does not consult future wisdom. Our regrets that things might have been different from what they were actually reveal our sentiments instead of elucidating History.

'The old order changeth, yielding place to new, And God fulfils himself in many ways'

The collapse of the Vijayanagar empire clearly showed its military weakness. It had not enough political stamma to resuscitate itself. The unhealthy state of things during the last century of its shadowy existence (1565-1664) revealed the incapacity of the South to sustain Hindu civilisation. It was an epoch of self-seeking adventurers. In that milieu 'to scrap the sorry scheme of things' and reshape it to a new pattern was not the work of individual men but of Destiny. Shāhjī was as much an instrument in the hands of that 'Divinity which shapes our ends' as Śivājī. The emergence of a New Order necessarily involves the destruction of the old. Not all who participate in the processes of History act as conscious agents.

Most men are like mere pebbles in the stream of life, but some stand out as boulders and even shape the currents of history Shāhjī was a builder unaware of the magnitude of his own contributions towards the rise of the Marāthā power He succeeded because Bījāpūr was behind him, otherwise he might have died like Tirumala or Śrī Ranga Vijayanagar could not be resurrected If Hindu civilisation was to survive, a new avatār was needed He appeared in the person of Śivājī

CHAPTER FIVE

THE GRAND STRATEGIST

"Report hath made him an airy body and adds wings, or else it were impossible hee could bee at soe many places as hee is said to bee at all at one time. Hee is very nimble and active imposing strange labour upon himself that hee may endure hardship, and alsoe exercises his chiefest men that hee flies to and fro with incredible desterity—English Factory Record, 1664.1

The life and doings of Sivaji have been minutely and critically studied by scholars in and outside Mahārāstra for more than a century since Grant Duff wrote his History of the Mahrattas Still we are no nearer a correct understanding of the various details of his crowded career today than were his earliest historians or biographers "It is impossible to come to any universal agreement," writes Sir Jadunath Sarkar, "on questions like,-Where did Shivaji spend the years 1637 and 1638, at Puna or Bijapur? Was it Dadaji Kond-dev or Shiyaji who subjugated the Mavals? When did Dadaii die? What was the first Bijapuri fort taken by Shivaji and in what year? In what year or years did he establish his own authority over those forts of his father which had not been at first placed under him? What were the order and dates of his acquisition of the 40 forts of which he was admittedly in possession in 1659?"² This questionnaire might be expanded almost without limit, according to the objectives held in view by the researcher For the biographer of Sivājī such minutiæ may be of insatiable interest But, for our purpose, the character and outlook of Sivaji are of greater importance and significance than even the details of his horoscope or the ethnology of his lineage 3 In the light of the place we have given to individuals in the preceding chapters, we should concentrate more on the historical than biographical aspects of even this greatest of the makers of Marāthā

standing of the historical process, therefore, we have relegated details to the notes and appendices at the end of this volume-It is to be admitted, however, that though Sivaji could be considered in one sense as a product of his age, the dynamics of his great personality in their turn moulded and reshaped the destiny of the people and country So powerful was this factor that most writers have attributed, it seems to us, rather too much to his individual genius Without seeking to under-rate this vital and almost decisive element, we should emphasise that Sivājī did not inherit a clean slate and he did not work in a He had to rub out and rewrite much, but he had also to adjust his sails to the contemporary winds Though he was a master-craftsman endowed with extraordinary talents, his tools were mostly old and his co-adjutors were not a negligible The resultant of the total historical process provided him a congenial atmosphere which enabled his genius to bear abundant fruit The soil indeed had been prepared and watered by the pioneers and saints Sivaii did the final ploughing and seed-throwing The farmer was a creature of the soil, the seed was indigenous, and so were the bullocks and the plough Finally, the harvest is never the product of any single person's so also was the Marāthā creation

That Śivājī's success was due to his qualities of leadership is quite obvious. The absence of those qualities in the Yādavas, as well as the apathy of the people of Mahārāstra in those days, had made for the collapse of Hindu power then. Now there was leadership of extraordinary vision combined with equal capacity for initiative and organisation, now the people were awakened and ready to respond, and all the opportunities that time, place, and circumstances could afford were available also. The result, however, did not depend upon these merely, there were, too, formidable odds to be reckoned with. When 'Alā-u'd-Dīn started his aggressions the whole peninsula, though politically split up, was Hindu. Now there were the Muslim kingdoms of Ahmadnagar, Bījāpūr and Golkonda. The first of these was indeed dissolved while Śivājī was still a boy of six years,4 but its place had been taken by the more powerful and

dangerous Mughal empire To emerge successfully out of this situation required courage as well as desterity. Sivail had not the inherited resources of a long established kingdom like that of the Yadavas Like Sher Shah Sur he had to build them up out of a mere 1agir Bricks and mortar and even artisans alone, however, cannot build a magnificent and enduring structure, it requires the genius of an architect to achieve amazing results Marble was available for long ages before the Taj Mahal was created, and the huge rock out of which the temple of Kailas was hewn existed before this marvel was accomplish-Sivājī was a titanic creator in the realm of politics and nation-building He had the vision of Mazzini, the dash of Garıbaldı, the diplomacy of Cavour, and the patriotism, perseverance, and intrepidity of William of Orange He did for Mahárāstra what Frederick the Great achieved for Germany or Alexander the Great for Macedonia In India, later, Ranjit Singh, the Lion of the Punjab, affords a striking parallel Still, ın several respects Sıvājī stands alone and unique

It has been observed that, in ancient Greece, the history of the rise and fall of Thebes was no more than the biography of Epaminondas. Some have regarded the rise of the Marāṭhā State as almost a similar phenomenon, but historical analogies are superficial, lame, and misleading Sivājī's achievement was greater, richer, and more enduring. We propose to deal with it in this and the following three chapters

To begin with, the amazing success that Śivājī won in the course of his relatively short span of life, cannot be explained satisfactorily except in terms of his military talents. His political ideal could not have been accomplished without his military genius. He had to create and equip the armies with which he had to fight, he had to fix for them a goal, fire them with a zeal, and lead them from victory to victory so as to galvanize a whole people with a sense of national triumph. Progressively, as we shall witness, this was not purely a military achievement Diplomatic skill, political manœuvring, and creative statesmanship had all to be brought into focus for the total result. Otherwise Śivājī would have remained a mere war-lord, a futile and

aimless adventurer He has been spoken of as a 'Grand Rebel,' but this is too negative and incomplete an epithet to describe He was a strategist—a Grand Strategist—by him adequately which he accomplished his positive ends These aims he summed up in the noble word 'Svarājya', which was to be enjoyed under the protecting authority of the 'Chhatrapati' This was the legacy he wished to leave to posterity his own progeny and his people But this grand strategy was empirically evolved and rested on his patrimony, his early training, and opportuni-It grew with his life and developed with his experience What follows, therefore, must inevitably constitute a historicalbiographyl or an account of how Sivāiī made history for his country with the help of his people, during the seventeenth century

There is no unanimity among scholars about the exact date of his birth Sir Jadunath Sarkar has accepted 10 April 1627 on the authority of Chitnis whose account was written as late as c 1810 AD; 19 February 1630 is the date recorded in the Jedhé Śakāvali, a work of undoubtedly earlier origin 6 We have already stated that Śivājī was born of Shāhjī and Jijābāī both of whom traced their lineage from ancient royal families 7. The place of his birth was Sivneri, a fortress which still contains monuments commemorating that event 8 The circumstances attending his nativity, infancy and early life are worthy of recapitulation for the light they throw upon his psychology His father led an extremely unsettled and hunted life too was much exposed to the dangers and vicissitudes of her There were narrow escapes and thrilling husband's fortunes episodes in the fugitive family When Sivājī was still in the embryo, his mother had been shocked by the cold blooded butchery of her father, two brothers and a nephew in the Nizām-In 1633 Jıjābāī had very nearly been shāhī (25 July 1629) captured by Mhaldar Khan the qıle'dar of Trımbak 1 In 1636 Shāhjī was besieged together with his family in the fortress of Māhuli, and might well have been either slaughtered or imprisoned for life Thereafter the little boy and his long-suffering mother, except for short intervals perhaps, lived mostly

on the Poona jāgīr, while Shāhjī was in the Karnātak along with his eldest son Sambhājī, and his second wife Tukābāī Mohité ¹⁰ The death of Sambhājī in action at Kanakgiri (c. 1655)¹¹ left Jijābāī alone with Sivājī to engross her affections She thus lived for the most part with her gifted son to guide and inspire him in all the trying moments of his life She died in 1674 a few days after Sivājī's coronation at Rāigad She was his real and living Bhavānī

It is more difficult to assess the direct influence of Shāhii upon šivājī But from what little we know, we cannot agree with those who have imagined that he neglected his first family The ground on which this opinion has been based is too fictitious to be convincing 12 On the contrary, we have evidence to believe that there was no alienation in sentiment or purpose between Shāhiī at Bangalore and Jijābāī and Siyājī in Poona According to Sabhāsad, they were living together at Bangalore until Śivājī was twelve years of age 13 Then, even If we should skip over the highly dramatised accounts of Sivaii's early visit to Bījāpūr, as given in the Siva Digvijaya and Chitnis Bakhars,14 there are more sober references in them which may not be doubted For instance, the loyal father in Bijāpūr service is reported to have written to his adventurous son remostrating against his disloyal conduct (towards the 'Adil Shah) in terms which sound quite plausible and natural "I have to stay at the Court, you are my son, and yet you are plundering treasures and capturing forts without pausing to think that it will compromise me (Its only result will be) the Bādshāh's displeasure and the loss of all we have What I have earned is for you You should maintain and gradually increase it is your duty to keep secure what my service has procured for me in my old age"15 Despite the political divergence in outlook at that stage, revealed by this letter, the family affection of Shāhjī towards Sivājī is too transparent to be questioned Likewise, Jijābāī is stated to have advised Sīvājī "What property your father has, he has earned for you Do what may secure future good That will please your father, do not entertain any doubt about it "16 We would only add to this that,

when Shāhjī was imprisoned in 1648-49, Šīvājī gave up Konḍānā as that was one of the conditions of his liberation. He also appears to have carried on negotiations with prince Murād to secure the same purpose ¹⁷ The Jedhé entry on Shāhjī's release, quoted earlier, also throws unmistakable light on the degree of Shāhjī's interest in his son's security and progress ¹⁸ The alleged apathy between father and son, therefore, finds little support in the evidence at our disposal. If anything, as years passed, they understood each other better, and perhaps also appreciated each other's achievements in their respective spheres. Ultimately, the work of both, following seemingly divergent lines, proved equally fruitful in the creation of an independent Marāthā dominion.

In the purely political sphere, the most direct instrument of Sivājī's instruction in the formative years of his life, was Dādājī Kond-dev He was Shāhjī's Brāhman steward on the Poona jāgīr, and became Sivājī's tutor and mentor from 1642 Sabhasad speaks of him as "the intelligent and shrewd Dādājī Kond-dev." and according to Chitnis "Sīvājī Mahārāj lived in the province of Puna and was educated by Dādājī Pant He was taught the arts of wrestling and throwing missiles"19 From all accounts, Dādājī appears to have been a very conscientious and capable administrator. On coming to Puna he took possession of the 12 Māvals, says Sabhāsad 'The Māval Deśmukhs were seized and taken in hand, the refractory among them were put to death Then, in course of time, Dādājī died '20 Sivājī thereafter managed his own affairs The nature of the relations and activities of the Bhoslés and their steward is revealed by a letter of Muhammad 'Ādil Shāh to Kānhojī Jedhé, dated 1 Aug 1644 As Shāhjī Bhoslé, it states, has become a rebel and Dādājī, his supreme agent, is campaigning in the region of Kondānā, Khandojī and Bājī Khopdé have been deputed to suppress him, along with "our grand ministers" Kānhojī Jedhé too is asked to co-operate with the 'Adılshāhī officers in return for which he is piomised eleva-It closes with the remark, "know this to be urgent"21 We shall see later on how the Jedhés, far from acting as the

agents of the 'Ādıl Shāh in suppressing the rebellious activities of the Bhoslés, joined with them in the work of Marāthā independence. From this point of view it is significant to remember that Kānhojī Nāyak Jedhé and his kārbhārī Dādājī Krṣna Lohokaré were imprisoned in 1648 and released in 1649 along with Shāhjī 22

Other coadjutors of Śivājī in these early years will come in for notice in due course. But the names of Yesaii Kank. Bājī Pasalkar, and Tānājī Mālūsaré appear prominently among them Could this band of young dare-devils have conceived of the noble ideals which Sivājī formulated explicitly in his maturer years? Despite the precocious sentiments put into the mouth of the young hero by the Bakhar writers, we would rather not anticipate his idealism. At this stage, to begin with, they were a group of fiery young men, ambitious to achieve something, tugging at the leash, straining to go forward, bursting into acventures for their own sake, and inebriated with success increasingly, gathering experience, under the gifted leadership of Sivaji they found their opportunities ever widening The sober and consummate guidance of Dādājī Kond-dev (until 1647) and the patriotic and powerful inspiration of Jijābāi gave direction and meaning to their juvenile escapades

History proceeds from the concrete to the abstract, from particulars to the general, and palpable human facts are the incentive which goad men to idealistic actions The atmosphere indeed must have been rife with stories of the misdoings of the Muslims the declared policy of Muhammad 'Adıl Shāh (as stated in the Muhammad-Nāma) was "to strengthen and glorify the Islamic religion in the dominion of the Hindus"23 The technique of the execution of this policy was well-known the desecration of Hindu places of worship and the conversion of the Hindus Though Hindus served under the Muslims, the price they had to pay was often too heavy The massacre of the Jādhavas (Lukhjī and his sons and nephew), the murder of Khelojī Bhoslé and the conversion of Bajājī Nimbālkar were ınstances24 to provoke reprisals even as family vendetta Numerous other such provocations must have been felt by Hindus all

over the 'Ādılshāhī dominions Rāmdās preached his philosophy of 'direct action' in such a society. No wonder that inflammable material, such as the Sivājī group provided, caught immediate fire Like the Carbonari and the young men of Italy under the fiery inspiration of Mazzini, the spirited youths of the Māvals formed a revolutionary body—ready for any sacri-It is to be remembered that Siyājī was 18-19 years of age when his father Shāhjī was imprisoned, then released Think of its effect upon Jijābāi, upon Šivāji and upon the Jedhés and Lohokarés Earlier, too, had suppressive measures been taken against Dādājī Kond-dev for insurrectionary activities in the region of Kondānā Sivājī and his band of young followers-whether they were Ksatrıyas or Marathas-were not tame cultivators but gallant fighters They captured forts, looted government treasures, and may be even destroyed a mosque 25 They belonged to a people of whom Yuan Chwang had remark-'They are proud, spirited, and warlike, grateful for ed favours, and revengeful for wrongs, self-sacrificing towards suppliants in distress, and sanguinary to death with any who treated them insultingly '26

Opportunities were provided by the very situation, geographical constitution, and the administrative looseness of the regions which nursed these people in an atmosphere of freedom Politically speaking, it is helpful to note (1) that the Nizāmshāhī was dissolved in 1636, (ii) that this was preceded and followed by unavoidable anarchy, particularly in the tracts now covered by the Poona, Thana, Kolaba and Nasık districts, (m) that the 'Adıl Shāh's forces were pre-occupied with the Karnātak campaigns thereafter, and (iv) that Shāhjī's Poona jāgīr (comprising the land enclosed between the Ghod river in the north, the Nīrā in the south, and the Bhīmā in the east, stretching over the Ghats and the Mavals into the Konkan in the west), though nominally a fief under Bijāpūr, was virtually independent Apart from the general laxity of feudal administration, the last ten years of Muhammad 'Adıl Shāh's reign were marked by his prolonged illness (1646-56) and court intrigues of a deadly nature "The hill forts under all the Mahomedan

governments," writes Grant Duff, "were generally much neglected" Some of the more important strongholds were no doubt garrisoned by the State, but in times of need (like the Karnātak campaigns) the best troops were removed Ordinarily, most of the forts were entrusted to the mokāsadārs, the amildārs, the jāgīrdārs or the deśmuklis of the districts wherein they were situated "There was no hill-fort in Shahjee's Jagheer committed to the care of Dadajee Konedeo The strong fort of Kondanah had a Mahomedan Killidar, and Poorundhur was under charge of a Brahmin appointed by Morar Punt Shahjee's family were on terms of intimacy with both the Killidars, particularly Neelkunt Rao of Poorundhur, who was originally under the Nizam Shahee government and had adhered to Shahjee" 28

What with constant war-activities and famine (such as the terrible one which devastated the Deccan in (1630-31) and the chaos which followed in their wake, the land had become a prey to robbers and wild beasts The Tāvīkh-i-Sivājī cites the instance of a revenue officer under Ahmadnagar, named Moro Tandev, who 'raised a tumult and seized the neighbourhood of Puna,' during this period The whole region up to Wai and Sirwal was devastated and unsafe 20 It was in the reduction of this state of things that Dādājī Kond-dev rendered the greatest service His strong and efficient administration cleared the Augean Stables for Śivājī, as well as set a constructive model for him The Maval country, as Sarkar has well observed, was the cradle of Sivaji's power and the Maval people formed the backbone of his army The prevailing system of administration left a free hand to the local chiefs and officers Deśmukh was no more than the king's local agent for the collection of revenue through the village Pātils They were granted, in return for this service, some commission and rent-free The king was interested in nothing beyond receiving lands the stipulated revenue The actual administrative work was done by Brāhman stewards or kārbhārīs, like Dādājī Kond-dev, assisted by a Kāyastha Prabhu staff The Marāthā Deśmukhs and Jagirdars had enough lessure to play the rôle of petty าลังลัง indulging in hunting and martial exercises The mass of

the people were Kunbī farmers or Kolī fishermen who provided excellent material for the army or the feudal mīlitia. It is said of Guru Govind Singh that he fashioned hawks out of sparrows and lions out of foxes. Sivājī likewise converted the Māvaļ yeomanry into ironsides for the achievement of Marāthā freedom and the creation of a Marāthā State.

The people but reflect the character of their land No elaborate natural or geographical description is called for here But the most impressive features cannot be missed by any observer of these homelands of the Marāthās the main Sahyādri range forming the backbone of the country, with the Deccan plateau or Deś in the east, and the Konkan coastal strip in the west. The arid plains above and the alluvial plains below the Ghāts are nothing peculiar, except that they provided free access to raids from the hardy mountaineers who lived in the middle

The soil in the Konkan is productive and the rainfall even heavy at places. The coast is broken with inlets and creeks which afford havens for country-craft to encourage some seaborne trade. Ports like Bassein, Bombay, Chaul, Dābul, Ratnāgiri, Rājāpūr, Vingurla, Goa, and Kārwār, attracted even foreign shipping. The tussle for their possession soon brought into existence a chain of coastal fortresses like Janjira, Suvamadurg, Vijayadurg, Sindhudurg, etc. The part played by these in Marāthā history will appear in due course. The Konkan became the bone of contention between the Muslims, the Marāthās and the European powers

The Māval country comprises the habitable portions of the mountain region, with its terraced hills and hollows, where even today one sees hamlets nestling in the beautiful valleys as he descends from the Ghāts. The soil yields to hard labour a scanty subsistence which does not keep the Māval peasantry out of want. Higher up, the steep hill-sides are covered with thick forests inhabited by wild beasts and mountain tribes. The climate and the surroundings both impart to the denisons of the valleys and Ghāt-māthā (summit) a sturdiness, vigour and simplicity of living which have constituted the greatest military assets of Mahārāstra. This was the habitat of the 'moun-

tain rats' that became the greatest source of danger to the Muslim powers which had hitherto enjoyed such 'plain'—sailing over the vast stretches of the Deccan Trap

The strength of the Marāthās lay in their forts and moun-The Koli Nag Nak and the Sirkés of Khelna had demonstrated it in the time of Muhammad Tughlaq and the Bahmanis So also had the valuant Mukund Rão taken advantage of the hills and defied Yusuf 'Adil Shah with the help of his peasant army Sivājī was but following in their wake, and making large-scale application of their solitary experiments As the author of the Adnapatra has strikingly put it "Durga is the very essence of the State, Gad and Kôt constitute the kingdom, they are its foundation, its treasure They are the strength of the army, and the prosperity of the realm "30 Not only the Kingdom, but the entire culture of Maharastra in those times, observes Prof S N Banhatti, was fort-centred and hill-Hence, Sivājī and Rāmdās, he says, laid the foundations of Marāthā Svarājya seeking support from the mountains 11

The twelve Māvals32 which Dādājī Kond-dev is said to have taken possession of when he returned with the boy Sivaii from Bangalore (c 1642), formed the nucleus round which the Marāthā enterprise commenced Sivājī was a strategist and, like Sher Shāh, never scrupled about the means where the ends were considered of vital importance. We shall discuss this issue independently elsewhere. But we would caution the reader here against exaggerating its implications or applying it unfairly to all his actions and in all the stages of his career Sivājī was not a saint like Rāmdās or Tukārām He was not acting in a purely spiritual or moral sphere Political and military actions are to be judged in history, in the first instance, by canons other than purely ethical Reserving ethical judgment, therefore, for ultimate evaluation at the end, we shall examine each instance of his public conduct as history discloses it to our vision pending the moral verdict we must concentrate, for the time being, on the historicity of the details When the authenticity of each fact, is ascertained and established beyond doubt, or the evidence is verified, the verdict may not be shirked. To start

with, therefore, Sivājī for us is neither saint nor sinner, but just human impelled by human motives to achieve human ends in a human world,—we must also add, of the seventeenth century

Since our purpose is not to give an exhaustive biography of Sivājī, we can find space here only for the most typical and' decisive illustrations The earliest instance of what we might describe as his pragmatic conduct, or stratagem, was his capture of the treasures belonging to his uncle, Sambhājī Mohité, in Sūpa Sabhāsad's account of this incident lacks mahal (1649) 33 details 34 But Dr Bālkrishna finds in it the young ruler's determination to set an example of firm rule to all his subordinates by thus sternly dealing with his own uncle 35 The point, howeyer, is not the motive of the action but its method thod lay in concealing the real motive Sambhaii was the brother of Tukābāī Mohité, the second wife of Shāhjī He held charge of Supa directly from his brother-in-law and was not inclined to submit to young Sivaji The latter therefore circumvented him by a stratagem Pretending to visit him onaccount of Simgā he caught hold of his estate

Next, at Purandar (c 1650), Sivājī's interference was invited by a dispute between Nīlkanth Nāyak (the keeper of the fort) and his two younger brothers so Sivājī made use of the opportunity to imprison all the three and occupied the fort in force with his Māvales. The fort belonged to Bījāpūr, now he made it his own

These two instances show that Śivājī was bent upon making himself master over all his surroundings. Sher Shāh had used similar methods at Chunar and Rohtas, and even the great Akbar had not scrupled to capture Asīrgarh finally through bribery. Chākan, Torna, and Rājgad came into Śivājī's possession through voluntary submission or persuasion or force. The last named place was further strengthened and used by Śivājī as his capital until it was superseded by the more famous Rāigad. Kondānā was secured by bribing Siddi 'Ambar, its Bījāpūrī commandant 39 It is difficult to date these acquisitions accurately, but their importance lies more in the total and

increasing power they brought to Sivājī than in the sequence of their annexation. Indāpūr and Bārāmati on the eastern side of his jāgīr appear to have peacefully submitted to Sivājī. Obviously his power was becoming irresistible for the smaller fry by about 1649. He had begun to alarm the 'Ādil Shāh's government, which accounts for its insistence on the surrender of Kondānā as the price of his father's freedom. That he did not yield without a struggle is indicated by circumstantial evidence. There appears to have been some fighting between Sivājī's men and the Bījāpūr forces in the vicinity of Purandar 10

From these minor incidents, we must now turn to the major events of his life. The circumstances attending his capture of Jāvli from the Mores (January 1656) and his killing of the great Bījāpūrī general Afzal Khān (November 1659) are among the most controversial topics connected with Sivājī's earlier triumphs. Both are of critical importance in forming our judgment about him, and call for the most careful examination.

The Morés of Jāvli were vassals of the 'Ādil Shāhş for eight generations Their first ancestor to occupy that place had rendered great service to Bijāpūr in establishing its hold upon that wild tract In recognition of this his name, Chandra Rāo, was proudly borne by every successor to the Jāvli fief But the direct line of succession having failed in the eighth generation, the last chieftain Kṛṣṇa Rāo, happened to be adopted He was a boy of sixteen summers and had been in occupation of the gādī for three years when Sivājī conquered Jāvli It is alleged that Sivājī got into possession of this valuable piece of territory by means of a pre-meditated and cold-blooded murder which was the outcome of "organised treachery"⁴¹

There is little doubt that Śivājī was a pragmatic idealist He was extremely ambitious and determined to secure his ends without making-bones about the means Jāvli was rich, strategically important, and lay athwart the path of his expansion As we have already noted, Śivājī did have recourse to a stratagem at Sūpa and bribery at Kondānā But these facts alone cannot justify pre-judging his conduct at Jāvli In our humble

opinion, the available evidence is inadequate to establish that the acquisition of Jāvli was brought about by "organised treachery"

Sir Jadunath Sarkar has discussed this incident in his Shivan and His Times. But his categorical indictment is based upon evidence which leaves us unconvinced. Brushing aside the Siva-Bhārat and the Jedhé Sakāvali, as unhelpful, he seems to have relied mainly on Sabhāsad and Tārīkh-i-Ṣivājī 12. The complete authenticity in all details of this last named work, in its available form (in Persian), is yet to be convincingly established. The only contemporary authority, explicitly cited by Sarkār is Sabhāsad. However, after having quoted from his (Sabh 10) and certified that "There is no reason to disbelieve such an authority in a matter like this," he summarises his conclusions, drawn "from a consideration of all the materials," thus

"The then Chandra Rao, named Krishnaji and eighth in uccession from the founder, was a boy of sixteen and all his business was conducted by his kinsman, Hanumant Rao Moré, who was his diwan Raghunath Ballal Kordé, under Shiyan's orders, visited Hanumant with a pretended offer of marriage between his master and the late Chandra Rao's daughter, and treacherously slew him at a private meeting [Sarkar, ibid, p 65, speaks of "Shambhuji Kavji" as "the murderer of H More" He escaped unscathed and quickly brought Shivaji to the scene with a vast army Javli was captured after six hours' fighting, and several members of the Moré family were taken prisoner But Chandra Rao was either absent from the place or fled away before its fall. He took refuge in Raigarh invested it and gained possession of it by negotiations two boys, Krishnaii Chandra Rao Moré and his younger brother Baji Rao Moré, were carried away by Shivaji to Puna and there the elder one was beheaded"18

None of these details "critically discussed" and finally concatenated by Sarkar as "the most probable reconstruction" of the Jāvn affair, tallies with Sabhāsad's account given by him earlier There Raghunāth Ballāl Kordé was commissioned "to kill" Chandra Rao, actually he finds that Hanumant Rao was

six hours of fighting The sardars Baji and Krishna Rao, aged 14 and 16 years respectively, were brought prisoners to Puna and there beheaded The women and children were set free "47

Here again, it is obvious that Chandra Rão who was only 16 years of age could not have had a daughter whom Sivājī might even pretend to ask in marriage Besides, "the late Chandra Rao's daughter" spoken of by Sir Jadunath finds no place in any of the authorities cited by him Though T S. states that both the brothers were beheaded, Baji is found alive by Sarkar, by other evidence,48 and therefore could not have been beheaded by Sivaji at Poona His attempt at the repudiation of the alleged correspondence of Chandra Rāo with the 'Adılshāhī government for recovering his heritage ("which would be a quite natural and legitimate desire") is too naive, ınasmuch as he himself admits that Bājī escaped (on 28th August, according to the Shwapur Daftar Yadı), assumed the hereditary title of Chandra Rão, and in March 1665 joined Jai Singh for war against Śivājī, Ambājī Govind Rão Moré was also with him 49

In the light of the above examination of Sir Jadunath Sarkar's version of the Jāvli incident we should look for something more plausible. That Sivājī captured Jāvli after six hours fighting, and that Chandra Rāo submitted at Raigarh after negotiations, are two important facts admitted by Sarkār, after considering all the evidence. The contemporary Jedhé Šakāvah records "Sīvājī goes and captures Jāvli, after taking with himself and fighting with the help of, the contingents of Kānhojī Jedhé Deśmukh, and Bāndal, and Silimkar, and the Deśmukhs of Māval"⁵⁰

Further details are supplied by the Jedhé Karīna which states "In course of time when an expedition against Jāvli was planned, Kānhojī Nāyak and the Deśmukhs were summoned together with their contingents and sent against the Morés of Jāvli who had been already routed by Kānhojī and who had fled from Jāvli Later, however, Hanumant Rāo Moré renewed the insurrection in the Jor valley against whom Śivājī sent Raghunāth Ballāl Sabnīs with a body of troops from Poona.

Raghunāth Ballāļ killed Hanumant Rāo and took possession of Jor

"Soon after, Śivājī himself went against Jāvļi with the troops of the Deśmukhs and captured it on 31 December 1655. When Chandra Rāo lost Jāvļi he took shelter at Rāirī (i e. Rāigad) where Śivājī besieged him. The besieging party was composed of the contingents of Kānhojī and other Deśmukhs among whom was one Haibat Rāo Silimkar Deśmukh of Gunjan Māvaļ. He mediated for Chandra Rāo with Śivajī and brought about a meeting between them. Negotiations took place and Rāirī was captured in the Durmukhi year 1578 ś For these services, Haibat Rāo Silimkar was given a fresh seal of Deśmukhi in his jurisdiction of Gunjan Māvaļ and Śivājī composed his domestic quarrel by effecting a partition."

An elaborate and interesting account of the Jāvļi incident is also available in the Moré Bakhar which was first published by D B Pārasnīs in his Itihāsa Samgraha (June 1909) According to it, Kṛṣṇājī Bājī ruled at Jāvļi for three years when Sivājī demanded submission from him The proud Moré, however, was not to be easily cowed down 'Then there came to be great enmity between Chandra Rão and Sivājī Mahārāj Sıvājī Mahārāj sent Sūrya Rāo Kākģé and 2000 ınfantry against Descending from the Nisni Ghāt of Mahābaleśvar, they laid siege to Jāvļi The approaches of Jāvļi were difficult, there were dense clusters of bamboos There the fighting went on for a month At the end of the month Kṛṣṇājī Bājī Moré Rājé left Jāvļi and went with his men to Rāigad Mahārāj advanced against it Chandra Rāo held out at Rāigad for three months Then peace was made '52 Then follow illuminating details of the scene of meeting Sivaji intended . to restore Jāvlı to Chandra Rāo ıf he agreed to be submissive and loyal 'Takıng Kışnājī with him, he came to Chākan. Krsnājī wrote secretly to Vyankājī Rājé Ghorpadé of Mudhol, a mansabdār of Bījāpūr You are a mansabdār of the Pādshāh We too are esteemed rajas under the Padshahi You and we are relatives Šivājī Rājé Bhoslé is self-styled king made such trouble for the Padshah So, by hook or crook, in

any way that you think suitable, secure our release from here and take us to Mudhol After we have joined you, we shall then exert ourselves to the utmost These letters were discovered by the messengers of Śivājī Mahārāj He read them, and saw there was treachery Then he said to Kṛṣṇājī You and I met at Rāigad You gave me your word of honour that you would not be unfriendly to me Still, you sent treasonable letters to Vyankājī Ghorpadé It is clear from this that you Morés are faithless people—Thus accusing him, Śivājī Mahārāj had him beheaded at Chākan From that time the rule of the Morés disappeared from Jāvli '5-

The charge of treason has not therefore, issued from "some modern theorists" as Sarkar alleges, but is at least as old and authentic as the above record. The conduct ascribed to Bais Moré by Sarkar is also in keeping with that sivaji's first interference with the Morés appears to have been in connexion with the succession disputes after the death of Daulat Rão, the last of the Chandra Raos in direct lineal descent Krsnaji Baji Rājé was adopted from the Sivthar family 54 Appeals from rival claimants invited interference from outside Afzal Khān, the sūbadār of Wāī, deputed Kānhojī Jedhé to settle the affairs of Jāvji, but he proved to be in league with Sivājī 57 Hanumant Rão, having taken possession of Jor (or Johar) Khoré, must have invited punishment upon himself Similarly, Sabhāsad speaks of another Bābjī Rāū as a पुर or rebel whom Šivājī, after the fall of Jāvļi, imprisoned and blinded 56 Many a border dispute between the Morés and Sivājī which embittered their relations is also on record 57 There is every reason to believe that Krşnājī owed his position to Śivājī The Tārīkh-i-Śivājī refers to him as sardār, not rājā 58 Hence Sivājī's demand from him to renounce the title of Rajé as recorded in the Moré Bakhar 59 These antecedents explain Sivājī's conquest of Jāvli in 1656

The Morés being loyal to and dependent on Bījāpūr, were obviously a thorn in the side of Śivājī He would not tolerate them unless they showed loyal submission to him Failing this he felt it necessary to remove them from his path of expansion

Hence Sabhāsad's statement चद्राव मोरे यास मारत्या निरहित राज्य साधत नाही त्यास तुम्हा वाचून हें कर्म कोणास न होय तुम्ही त्याजकडे हेजवीस जाणे -"The kingdom cannot achieve (its objectives) unless Chandra Rão Moré is beaten (subdued) None can accomplish this better than you You should go to him for negotiations "60 (Raghunāth Ballāl Sabnīs) was sent as hent or envoy with an escort of 100-125 armed men It would have been a suicidal venture for such a small party to proceed on a murderous errand to a stronghold well defended by 10-12 thousand troops despite this, the emissary attacked any of the Morés singlehanded in the course of the interview, his rashness cannot be construed as an act of pre-meditated murder treacherously planned and instigated by Sivājī Henry II, in our opinion, was more guilty of the murder of Becket than Sıvajı in the alleged crime at Jāvļi Yet, it was Hanumant Rāo that was killed, and not Chandra Rão The verb mar has been used by Sabhasad on the same page in the sense of "raid" in the sentences "जुनर शहर मारिले: मग अमदानगर मारिलें: मोंगलाशी मोंठे युद्ध केले " "Junnar city was raided, Ahmadnagar was raided, a great, battle was fought with the Mughals "61 pro does not therefore necessarily mean only "kill" Moreover, we do not find the name of Raghunāth Ballāl Kordé (who was merely an envoy) among those who were rewarded for distinguished action during the Javli campaign. Had he accomplished the important "murder" upon which he had been deliberately set by Sivājī, as alleged, we should have expected him to be highly rewarded like Bir Singh Bundela by Jahängir for the assassination of Abul Fadl

According to Sabhāsad, Moro Trimbak Pingļé was rewarded with the *Peśvāship*, that office was formerly held by Śāmrāo Nīlkanth Rozekar Nilo Söndev was made *Sūrnīs* and Gangājī Mangājī became *Vāknīs* Bālambhat and Govindbhat (sons of the celebrated Prabhākarbhat) continued to be *Upādhyes* Netājī Pālkar was created *Sarnobat* of 7000 horse and 3000 silédārs, and Yesājī Kank that of 10,000 Māval infantry. "Thus the kingdom was strengthened" Evidently, Raghu-

nāth Ballāl Kordé must have continued to be Sabnis or paymaster, Bālkṛṣṇa Dikṣit Mujumdār or Accountant-General, and Sonājī Pant Dabīr or Secretary They had been appointed by Shāhjī as men of tried ability, as early as 1639 To them Śivājī had added Tukojī Chor Marāthā, as Sarnobat, and Nārayan Pant as divisional Paymaster 63

The acquisition of Jāvli brought great accession of strength to Sivājī Its hoarded treasures augmented his resources in money, and its very position gave him immense strategic advantages He followed up this success by the subjugation of the Survés and Sirkés of Smgarpur Now perched on the Sahyādrī, at a point (4000 ft above sea-level) where no less than eight passes cross the range into the Konkan, through countless gorges and narrow foot-tracks, he erected the historic 'stronghold of Pratapgad and installed therein his inspiring goddess Bhavani He had also secured Raigad which was ultimately to be his capital, and where his coronation as Chhatrapati was celebrated in 1674 Immediately his greatest gain was that the recruiting ground of his famous Māval troops was enlarged His Kingdom now comprised, besides Javli and its fortresses, Sūpa, Bārāmatı and Indāpūr ın the S E , Purandar, Rājgad, Kondānā and Torna in the S, and Tikona, Lohgad and Rajmachi in the N W,—overlooking the Konkan coast from the crest of the Sahyādrī Range 64

It will be obvious from the above position that the Konkan would be the most natural field of expansion for Śivājī's kingdom. There, however, Śivājī had to reckon with Bījāpūr, the Mughals, the Siddis, and the Europeans (Portuguese, Dutch, French, and English). Though the Mughals were to prove, finally for Śivājī, the most formidable enemy (Aurangzeb was Viceroy of the Deccan from 1636-44 and 1652-57), his immediate concern was with Bījāpūr and the Siddis as its subordinates. The Europeans were, by their situation and interests, always sitting on the fence. Aurangzeb was cleverly egging on Bījāpūr to tackle Śivājī who was fast growing into a menace for the Muslim powers. The message he left for 'Ādil Shāh when he hurriedly left for the North to contest the throne speaks

for itself "Expel Śivā who has sneaked into the possession of some of the forts of the land," it said "If you wish to entertain his services, give him jāgīrs in the Karnāṭak far from the imperial dominions, so that he may not disturb them "65 Śivājī had extended his activities as far as Junnar and Ahmadnagar (of which we shall speak later), and Aurangzeb had also instructed his officers to carry on reprisals devastating and plundering without pity Poona and Chākan were to be utterly ruined, its people enslaved or killed, and those who had abetted Śivā's depredations in the imperial territories to be slain without mercy

The state of Bījāpūr at this time was pitiable Muhammad 'Adıl Shāh had died on 4 Nov 1656 Aurangzeb had compelled his successor to cede Bidar, Kalyani and Parenda together with the payment of an indemnity of one crore of rupees Internally. the murder of Khān-1-Khānān Khān Muhammad (11 Nov 1657) indicated that all was not well at Bījāpūr The very able officer Mulla Muhammad had been called away from Kalyan, and Sivājī found his opportunity there Aurangzeb was playing a double game while advising the 'Adil Shah to protect his country 'as the son of a dog was waiting for his opportunity,' he kept 'the path of correspondence with Siva open' Finally, on 25 January 1658, he wrote to Sivājī "Though your offences do not deserve pardon, I forgive you as you have repented You propose that, if you are granted all the villages belonging to your home together with the forts and territory of Konkan, after the imperialists have seized the old Nizāmshāhī territory now in the hands of the 'Adil Shāh,-You will send Sona Pandit as your envoy to my Court, and a contingent of 500 horse under one of your officers to serve under me, and you will protect the imperial frontiers You are called upon to send Sonajī and your prayers will be granted "66 When Sivājī invaded the Konkan, therefore, he appeared to have done so with imperial connivance if not imperial authority, though, as a matter of fact, he had seized Kalyan and Bhivandi on 24 Oct 1657

^{&#}x27;In the Hemalambi year śaka 1579,' the Jedhé Karīnā

states, 'an expedition was undertaken against the Portuguese at Kalyān and Bhıvandı Dādājī Bāpūjī (a cousin of Śāmrāj Pant Peśvé) was put in charge of it Dādājī Kṛṣṇa and his brother Sakhojī (the Kārbhārīs of Kānhojī Nāyak) were specially called with their strong Māval contingents Dādājī Krşna was put in charge of Kalyan and Sakhoji in charge of Bhiyandi They captured Kalyan and Bhivandi, plundered the Portuguese possessions, and established a post at Aseri The Portuguese agreed to pay a khandı and a quarter of gold every year Sıvājī fortified the creek at Durgadi This was a grand achievement. as it brought in plenty of money and provisions' The account concludes with the observations that Sakhojī was killed in the operations, but the whole territory was captured, that Abaji Mahādev was placed in charge of the conquered territory, that the vast collection of iron weapons, rockets, etc captured were distributed over several forts, and that Sivaji founded Sivapattan at the foot of Rajgad as well as strengthened the defence of Prabhalgad (east of Panvel) 67 He also made Kalyān a naval base and built dockyards 68

On 8 January 1658 he seized Māhuli 'His progress into the Kolābā district appears to have been assisted by local chiefs who were eager to throw off the Muslim yoke' A number of other fortresses were either acquired or built Sūrgad, Birwadi, Tula, Ghosālgad, Sudhāgad, etc Both the Siddis of Janjira and the Portuguese were alarmed by these activities, and Bījāpūr determined to stop the aggressions. The result was the campaign of Afzal Khān who started in September 1659 despite the rainy season, only to meet with his tragic end at Pratāpgad on 10 Nov 1659. This brings us to a discussion of another great controversy on the conduct and motives of Sīvājī. Historically, it is important because the overthrow of Afzal Khān was for Sīvājī and the Marāthās really a triumph over Bījāpūr or the 'Ādilshāhī

The account of this epic incident given in the Jedhé Karīnā appears to us to be the most plausible. According to it Afzal Khān ordered all the Māval Deśmukhs to join him at once with all their troops. Kedārjī and Khandojī Khopdé were among.

the first to obey his summons But Kānhojī Jedhé informed Śivājī of what was happening Since the narrative of the Karīnā is too long, we would recommend the reader to peruse it either in the Marāthī text or in the Shivajī Souvenir translation. We shall merely recount it here very briefly in part. The oaths exchanged between Kānhojī and Śivājī on this historic and critical occasion are very illuminating.

'Kānhojī Nāyak informed Sivājī of these happenings in a personal letter, to which he received a reply that Kānhojī should get all the people to swear an oath of loyalty, or that he should please himself by going over to the Khan In this situation. Kānhojī Nāyak, with his five sons, went and saw Śivājī at Śivapattan and addressed him thus, in a private interview - "Your father had obtained an oath from me and sent me in your service I am prepared to remain true to it I am at your service, with my five sons and all my men, and will fight unto death for you If we die, who is going to enjoy the watan? I cannot prove false to my oath" Thereupon Sivājī said "If so, you should solemnly swear the renunciation of your watan Kānhojī took some water into his hands and poured it down in confirmation Then Sivajī and Kanhojī ate milk and rice together, put their hands on bel-bhandar, and exchanged solemn oaths sivaji saying, "We and our descendants shall never fail to look after you and your descendants, when I am victorious I shall reward you justly " Then Kanhoji conveyed the message to all the Deśmukhs declaring "The Khan is treacherous When his object is accomplished, he will ruin us all Marāthā kingdom is our own We should stand by Sivājī and protect it with our contingents and courage" They repeated the oaths and śwaji got together an army of the Māvales'

Then the visit of the Khān was negotiated and arranged at Pratāpgad, through Pantājī Gopīnāth Kānhojī and the other Deśmukhs were stationed at Jāvli, Bāndal was posted at Daré, and Haibatrão Bālājī Silimkar at Boche-gholi Pass At a private conference Sivājī told Kānhojī "I have full confidence in you, but I am not equally sure about the others You know how treacherous the Khān is If I succeed at the meeting, three

guns will be fired from the fort, on which you should all attack the <u>Khān</u>'s forces at Pār If I am captured by the <u>Kh</u>ān, you should block his path at Wardhani and prevent his forces joining him "Śivājī again got Kānhojī to swear loyalty Kānhojī once more promised to execute his orders fully

'A grand structure was erected at the foot of Pratapgad where Afzal Khān came to visit Śivājī, in the month of Kārtika of the Vikārī year śaka 1581, seated in a palangum, and accompanied by his envoy and escort Sivaii had already selected his men and assigned to them various duties During the meeting, Afzal Khān caught hold of Sivājī's neck under his arm, when Śivājī (forearmed as he was with wāgnakhas) cut open his entrails On getting his neck released Siyājī took out his sword The Khān's men put him into the palanquin and His envoy and some attendants attacked and began to run wounded Pantājī Gopīnāth Instantly, however, Jıvā Mahala, Bājī Sarjé Rāo, and a few others, counter-attacked them, pulled down the Khān from his palanguin and Sivājī severed his head The guns were at once fired from the fort, Kanhoji and the Deśmukhs attacked the Bijāpurī forces at Pār and captured their elephants, horses and materials The Khopdés fled with fifty of their followers along the bank of the Koyna Thus was the victory won by Sivāiī '70

The whole affair has been well discussed from various points of view and sources by Sir Jadunath Sarkar in his Shivan and His Times We find no reason to disagree with either his evidence or his conclusions "The weight of recorded evidence, as well as the probabilities of the case," he writes, "support the view that Afzal Khān struck the first blow and Shivan only committed what Burke calls, 'a preventive murder' It was, as I wrote in the Modern Review in 1907, 'a case of diamond cut diamond'"⁷¹

The situation should be humanly visualised Sivājī was by now fighting, not for his own personal advancement, but for the liberation of his people and country from the yoke of the Muslims. He had succeeded hitherto in extending his power and influence without facing a big army or fighting a pitched battle.

May be, as Aurangzeb put it, he had "sneaked into" possession of several forts and lands Now he was confronted with an experienced general, an army comprising at least 10,000 cavalry and artillery, etc The Bijāpūr government had set its whole machinery of administration to mobilise even the Māval Desmukhs against Śivājī Afzal Khān had started with a bravado and fanfaronade that were calculated to demoralise and un-"What is Sivājī! I nerve the Marathas He had boasted shall bring him alive a prisoner, without even once alighting from my horse"72 If the traditional accounts are to be trusted, he had started with a devastating campaign laying his impious hands on Tulia Bhayani Even the English had come to know that the Dowager Queen of Bijāpūr, "because she knew with that strength (10.000 horse) he (Afzal Khān) was not able to resist Sivāii. counselled him to pretend friendship with his enemy, which he did"73 Under these circumstances, Sīvājī acted with alacrity and judgment

Afzal Khān seemed equally anxious, in spite of his bluster, to capture Sivaji if he could without fighting a battle therefore proposed parleying through his envoy Kṛṣṇājī Bhāskar But Sivājī caught scent of the Khān's real intentions,— "whether through intelligence or suspicion it's not known," write the English He took counsel with his mother, Juabai. he had a vision, or the goddess Bhavani appeared to encourage and bless him, in a dream. He also "kept his powder dry". made sagacious dispositions of his troops reinforced with the divisions of Netājī Pālkar and Moro Trimbak Pinglé,74 and determined to face the consequences with coolness, caution and The result was a triumph of superior strategy the tragedy of Malik-u't-Tujjar and his ill-fated army, in the Bahmanī adventure against the Sirkés, repeated itself It was a national crisis for the Marathas, and, as with the Spanish Armada in the English Channel, in 1588, God seemed to have breathed his squall and scattered the enemy's forces ambushed Marāthā armies fell upon the Bījāpūr cavalry, and the carnage was terrible Only those who begged for quarter "holding grass between their teeth" were spared 3,000 men were killed, according to reports received by the English at Rājāpūr a few days later 75 Even elephants and camels were hacked to pieces, 4,000 horses, 1,200 camels, 65 elephants, treasures worth more than 10 *lākhs* of rupees, besides artillery, waggons, ammunition, etc were captured by the Marāṭhās 76 Needless to add, it brought glory to Śivājī and humiliation to Bījāpūr

Smarting under this blow, the Bījāpūr government despatched another army under Fazl Khān, son of Afzal Khān, who had escaped from the holocaust Śīvājī was besieged at Panhālā by 15,000 'Ādilshāhī troops, while the Marāṭhā garrison numbered no more than 5—6,000 It was an unequal struggle, yet Śīvājī escaped through superior strategy Dīviding his forces, he left for Vīśālgad (27 miles to the West) with half his army on 13 July 1660, leaving Panhālā in the charge of the gallant Pratāp Rāo Gujar He was hotly pursued, but the heroism of Bājī Prabhu, Deśpāndé of Hirdas Māval—Leonīdas of Marātha history—enabled Śīvājī to escape by holding up the pursuers at Pavankhind

'Death clamoured, and tall figures strew'd the ground Like trees in a cyclone'

Seven hundred brave Marāṭhās laid down their lives in this 'Thermopylae' for the safety of their King Panhālā was lost (22 Sept 1660), but the Saviour of the Marāṭhās was saved 77 Next came the struggle with the Mughal empire

It has been observed before that, towards the close of Aurangzeb's last viceroyalty in the Deccan, the Marāthās had already begun their incursions into the imperial territory Bījāpūr had narrowly escaped from the designs of Aurangzeb, at least for the time being, and was inclined to wink at Śivājī's raids beyond the 'Ādilshāhī dominions Ahmadnagar and Junnar were despoiled by the Marāthās From the latter place alone Śivājī obtained 3,00,000 hons, 200 horses, and much jewellery and clothing 78 However, not until Aurangzeb was firmly seated on his ill-gotten throne, could he take effective steps for the security of the Deccan which he had hurriedly

forsaken in 1657 In July 1659 he despatched his uncle <u>Sh</u>ā'ista <u>Kh</u>ān as its Viceroy

While Śivājī was besieged at Panhālā, the new Mughal Viceroy opened a 'second front' against the Marāthās by attacking Chākan (18 miles to the North of Poona) This place was of strategic value on the route from Ahmadnagar into the Konkan It was valiantly defended by the old Marāthā veteran Firangjī Narsala He held out tenaciously for two months, and extorted admiration even from Shā'ista Khān When he was forced to capitulate he refused to be enticed away from his allegiance to Śivājī and was allowed to rejoin his master 79

On 3 February 1661 Sivājī surprised Kar Talb Khān, the Mughal officer who had been commissioned by Sha'ista Khan to recapture Kalyan While the Khan was descending from the Bhor Ghāt with his heavy artillery and baggage, Sivājī pounced upon him and, cutting off alike his retreat and advance. forced him to buy his escape with a ransom. He followed up this initiative by a cyclonic campaign in the Konkan Posting Netājī Pālkar to take care of his rear, Sivājī overran the 'Ādılshāhī coastal districts from Dandā-Rājāpurī to Kharepatan His movements were so rapid that no opposition was offered anywhere Pılājī Nĭlkanth and Tānājī Malūsaré distinguished themselves during this campaign Sivaji secured his fresh gains in the Konkan by building new strongholds like Mandangad and Palgarh, recalling the fugitives, and encouraging the agriculturists and traders with generous subsidies Though the Mughals reconquered Kalyan and dominated Northern Konkan (1661-63), Sivājī retained his hold over Ratnāgiri and the S E corner of the Kolābā District Then came the great coup at Poona in the night of 5 April 1663 a blow, as Sarkar has described it, whose cleverness of design, neatness of execution and completeness of success created in the Mughal Court and camp as much terror about Sivājī's prowess, as his coup agaınst Afzal Khān had done among the Bījāpurīs 80

Shā'ısta Khān had occupied Poona since 9 May 1660 But the celebrated adventure of Sivājī, whose romantic details are

familiar to every schoolboy, appears to have taken place—not in the Lāl Mahal itself—but in the camp—Both Sabhāsad and Abbé Carré speak of the "camp" rather than of a house or palace—Philip Gyfford's letter of 12 April 1663 (from Rājāpūr to Sūrat) gives us the best contemporary report of the incident 81

'Räuji Pandıt,' it states, 'is returned, and present upon his arrival he desired me to write to Your Worship . Yesterday arrived a letter from the Rājāh, written by himself, to Rāujī, giving him an account how that he himself, with 400 choice men, went to Shā'ısta Khān's camp, there, upon some pretence (which he did not insert in his letter) he got into his tent to salām, and presently slew all the watch, kılled Shā'ısta Khān's eldest son, his son-in-law, twelve of his chief women, forty great persons attending their general, wounded Sha'ista Khan with his own hand (and thought to death, but since hears he lives), wounded six more of his wives, two more of his sons, and after all this, returns but losing six men and forty wounded, 10,000 horse under Rājāh Jaswant Singh standing still and never offered to pursue him, so that it is generally believed it was done with his consent, though Sivajī tells his men, his Parameśvara bid him do it'

The consequences of such master-strokes of strategy might very well be imagined The catastrophe earned for Shā'ista Khān a penal transfer to Bengal which a chronicler has described as 'hell crammed with good things' Sivājī was fast 'Report hath acquiring a reputation for working miracles made him an airy body, and added wings, or else it were impossible he could be at so many places, as he is said to be at, They ascribe to him to perform more than all at one time a Herculean labour that he is become the talk of all conditions Sivājī reigns victoriously and uncontrolled, that of people 82 he is a terror to all the kings and princes round about, daily He is very nimble and active, imincreasing in strength posing strange labour upon himself that he may endure hardship, and also exercises his chiefest men that he flies to and fro with incredible dexterity '83

One important element in Sivaji's strategy was that he allowed no breathing time to his enemies and acted with incredible swiftness Soon after his Poona adventure he descended into the Konkan and struck a blow at those who were friendly The Savant of Kudal was the chief victim of towards Bījāpūr this campaign Though a Bhosla, like Siyājī, Lakham Sāvant had been acting contrary to the interests of the Marathas and, consequently, Sivaji thought it necessary to occupy his territory The Dutch Register for 14 Nov 1663 states - "At last, on the 23rd May, the great rebel Siwasi, originator of all these internal troubles, has come down to the province of Candael with his army comprising of 4,000 horsemen and 10,000 footmen. which created a great fear and panic among the inhabitants of Vingurla The Dessy (Desāi) Zokhamsant (Laksman Sāvant). well known from former letters, sent a Brahmin to the Company's camp with the information of Siwasi's arrival, and with the request that our men, the governor and all the merchants of Vingurla, would come to the place where he stayed at the moment called Warr, leaving the camp (or lodging) under the care of only 2 or 3 Dutchmen The Resident, considering this a treacherous scheme to murder him, declined this offer, and indeed, afterwards our men heard that the said Lokhamsant intended to attack our residence, against which attack they prepared "84 Another entry in the Dagh Register reads came to Golkonda that our lodgings at Vingurla had been partially destroyed, by Siwasi and that the inhabitants have fled "85

This was a blow intended more against Bījāpūr than against the European settlements. It was portentous of the more dramatic blow on Surat that was soon to follow. Alarmed by these activities, the Dowager Queen of Bījāpūr complained to Shāhjī of his son's depredations. "Although you are a servant of this Government, you have committed treachery by sending your son Śivājī to Poona and upsetting the authority of the Bādshāh there. He has captured some forts belonging to the Bādshāh, conquered and plundered several districts and provinces, overthrown one or two principalities, and killed some chiefs submissive to the Bādshāh. Now keep your son under

proper control or your jāgīr will be confiscated "Shāhjī replied "Although Śivājī is my son, he has fled from me He is no longer under my control I am a faithful dependant of the Bādshāh Though Śivājī is my son, His Majesty may attack him or deal with him in any way he likes, I shall not interfere "86 A similar attempt was also made to tackle Śivājī through the Portuguese at Goa and the Desais of Kudal 87 Meanwhile Śivājī suddenly turned north and 'blitzed' Surat in the first week of January 1664

On 5 January he was at Gandevi 28 miles south of Surat The next day (Wednesday 6 Jan 1664) at 11 am he was within bowshot of the Burhanpur Gate of the emporium "Thuss farr, deare Browne, I had wrote on Escaliot writes Tuesday the fifth January about ten in the morning, when on a sudden a strong alarme was brought to our house from the towne with news that Seva-Gee Raya was coming downe with an army of an uncertain number upon Surat to pillage the city, which news strooke no small consternation into the minds of a weake and effeminate people, in soe much that on all hands there was nothing to be seene but people flying for their lives and lamenting the loss of their estates, the richer sort, whose stock of money was large enough to purchase that favour at the hands of the Governor of the Castle, made that their sanctuary and abandoned their dwellings to a merciless foe, wich they might well enough have defended with rest of the towne had they had the heartes of men "88 But panic is paralysing, and as Carré observed, the courage of the inhabitants of Surat 'did not serve as ramparts' In fact, the biggest port on this side of India belonging to the Mughal was 'unfortified by art or nature' 89 The Moors, through the unworthy covetousness of the governor of the town, 'had nobody to head them, nor none unto whome to joyne themselves, and so fled away for company' whereas if there had been 500 men trayned and in readyness, as by order of the king there ever should, whose pay the governor puts into his own pocket, the number to defend the city would have amounted to some thousands This was the condition of the citty at the tyme of its invasion '90

"Wednesday the 6th January, about eleven in the morning," says the contemporary eye-witness, "Sevagee arrived neere a great garden without the towne, about a quarter of a mile. and whilst he was busied in pitching his tents, sent his horsemen into the outward streets of the towne to fire the houses, soe that in less than halfe an houer wee might behold from the tops of our house two great pillars of smoke, the certaine signes of a great dissolation, and soe they continued burning that day and night. Thursday. Friday and Saturday · still new fires raised, and every day neerer and neerer approaching our quarter of That the terror was great, I know you will eassly the towne believe, and upon his first beginning of his firing, the remainder of the people fled as thicke as possible, soe that on Thursday the streets were almost empty, wich at other tymes are exceeding thick with people, and we the English in our house, possessed of a Seraw or place of reception for strangers, were left by the governor and his people to make what shift we could to secure ourselves from the enemys this might the English and Duch have done, leaving the towne and going over the river to Swalley to our shipps, which were then riding in Swalley hole, but it was thought more like Englishmen to make ourselves ready to defend our lives and goods to the uttermost than by a flight to leave money, goods, house, to merciless people, and were confirmed in a resolution that the Duch alsoe did the same, though there was no possibility of relieving one another, the Duch house being on the either side of towne almost an English mile asunder

"Things being thus reasonably well prepared, newes is brought to us, that Mr Anthony Smith, a servant of the companyes, one whoe hath been chiefe in several factoryes was taken prisoner by Sevagees souldiers as he came ashore neere the Duch house, and was coming to the English , hee obtaines leave some few houers after to send a note to the president, wherein hee acquaints him with his condition, that hee being brought before Sevagee hee was asked what hee was and such like questions, and at last by Sevagee told that he was not come to doe any personal hurte to the English or other merchants, but only

to revenge him selfe of Oram Zeb (the Great Mogol), because he had invaded his country, had killed some of his relations, and that hee would only have the English and Duch give him some treasure and hee would not medle with their houses, else hee would doe them all mischiefe possible"

Though Mr Smith was kept in duress until Friday afternoon, he was later released and sent back to the English as a messenger with a demand for three *lakhs* of rupees But President Oxenden decided to face all consequences and detained him Luckily, Sivājī, having obtained sufficient booty otherwise, left Surat on Sunday morning "about 10 o'clocke as they tell us hee went his way" 91

Among the houses 'fiered' by Śivājī were those of 'Hogee Said Beg' and 'Verge Voras' the two merchant princes of the Empire "On Friday after hee had ransaked and dug up Verge Voras house, he fiered it and a great vast number more towards the Duch house, a fier so great as turned the night into day as before the smoke in the day tyme had almost turned day into night, rising soe thicke as it darkened the sun like a great cloud" The fires, however, were not all started by the Marāthās We learn from Carré that 'when the governor of the castle opened artillery fire upon the town, he shot at random, and if it was to a certain extent fraught with dangers in regard to Sevagy's soldiers, it rendered the destruction of the people of Surat most certain '92

The real character of Sivājī as a conqueror is revealed by his conduct under extreme provocation. It is in great contrast to Nādīr Shāh's at Delhi under similar temptation. During the five fatal-hours (from 9 a m to 2 p m) on the terrible Sunday, 11 March 1739, there was greater slaughter and destruction at the imperial Mughal capital than during the five days' occupation of Surat by Sivājī. The random killing of a few of his followers by some ruffians in the streets of Delhi, according to Ānandrām Mukhlis, provoked the Persian into reprisals such as the capital had not witnessed during the 348 years since Hazrat Sahib-Kiran Amir Timur ordered the inhabitants to be massacred. The loss in lives and treasure was indeed incalculable

Neither age nor sex was respected by the furnes let loose upon the city, the miscreants in some cases appeared to have escaped leaving the innocent to be victimised Several men and women were driven to insanity and suicide in their desperation streets and houses were glutted with corpses, and soon the stench of these threatened to choke the living. The debris could be cleared and cleansed only by means of fire "By degrees the violence of the flames subsided, but the bloodshed, the devastation, and the ruin of families were irreparable. For a long time the streets remained strewn with corpses, as the walks of a garden with dead leaves and flowers. The town was reduced to ashes, and had the appearance of a plain consumed with fire All the regal jewels and property and the contents of the treas-- ury were seized by the Persian conqueror in the citadel He thus became possessed of treasure to the extent of 60 lacs of rupees. and several thousand ashrafis, plate of gold to the value of one crore of rupees, and the jewels many of which were unrivalled in beauty by any in the world, were valued at about 50 crores The peacock throne alone, constructed at great pains in the reign of Shāh Jahān, had cost one crore of rupees Elephants, horses, and precious stuffs, whatever pleased the conqueror's eyes, more indeed than can be enumerated, became his spoil In short, the accumulated wealth of 348 years changed masters in a moment "93

Sivājī behaved with remarkable restraint while an attempt on his life was actually made in Surat at the instigation of the chicken-hearted governor. The assassin struck the blow and Sivājī rolled in a pool of blood, but when he recovered he did not give way to wild vengeance like the Irani invader. The English observer writes "The fellow haveing made his thrust at Sevagee with all his might, did not stop but ran his bloody stump against Sevagees breast, and with such force that both Sevagee and hee fell together, the blood being seen upon Sevagee, the noise ran through the camp that hee was killed, and the crye went, 'kill the prisoners,' whereupon some were miserably hacked, but Sevagee haveing quitted himselfe, and hee that stood by haveing cloven the fellows skull, command was given

to stay the execution, and to bring the prisoners before him, wich was immediately done, and Sevagee, according as it came in his minde, caused them to cutt of this mans head, that mans right hand, both the hands of a third." All together about four heads and 24 hands were cut off. Then it came to be Mr Smith's turn (being caught as one of the suspected) "and his right hand being commanded to be cutt of, hee cryed out in Indostani to Sevagee, rather to cutt of his head, unto which end his hatt was taken of, but Sevagee stopped execution, and soe praised be God, hee escaped!"94

Thevenot, who passed through Surat two years afterwards (10 Jan 1666 to Feb 1667), further noted with satisfaction "All the rest of the town was plundered except the monastery of the Capuchins When the plunderers were in front of their Convent they passed by, and they had orders from their chief to do likewise, because on the eve of the very first day. Father Ambrose, who was their Superior, moved with pity for the Christians inhabiting Sourat, went to see this Raja to speak to them in their favour, and to beg him at least to do no violence to their persons Swagy had respect for him He took him under his protection and granted him what he wanted for the Christvans "95 Cosme da Guarda categorically confirms women and children ran naked without knowing where and to whom But no one was in the peril of his life, for it was the strict order of Sevagy that, unless resistance was offered, no one should be killed, and as none resisted none perished"96

Sivājī, according to Carré, then left Surat as easily as he had entered it, "having found in one single city all the wealth of the East and securing such war-funds as would not fail him for a long time" Thevenot's estimate of the wealth secured by Sivājī was "in jewels, gold and silver, to the value of above thirty French millions" According to the English President, they took away "in gold, pearle, pretious stones and other rich goods, to the value of money hundred thousand pounds" Bernier reckoned that Sivājī returned "laden with gold and silver to the amount of several millions, with pearls, silken stuffs, fine clothes and a variety of other costly merchandise" 100

Finally, Valentyn states "Everything of beauty existing in Surat was that day reduced to ashes. Two or three Banian merchants lost several millions, and the total loss was estimated at 30 millions. He (Sivājī) and his followers appropriated only the most valuable spoils and distributed the less valuable things, which could only hamper their retreat, among the poor, whereby many acquired much more than what they had lost through fire and pillage (Sivājī) departed at the first gleam of daylight, delighted to have plucked such a fine feather from Aurangzeb's tail" 101

Valentyn has hit the nail admirably on the head No conquest or annexation was intended by Sivājī He only wanted to singe the Emperor's beard as the English "sea-dogs" Drake and Hawkins had done at Cadiz He also wanted the "war-funds" as Carré noted All other things were only incidental to the raid Few other conquerors in history have displayed the restraint and humanity shown by Sivājī during his attack on Surat

The defences of the greatest port of the Empire had been sadly neglected According to Cosme da Guarda "some confused news of his (Sivājī's) intention reached Surrate but caused a great laughter, as hundred and eighty thousand cavalry were encamped in the very territories of which Sevagy had become master" But when Sivājī actually appeared on the scene, Inayet Khan, the governor, shut himself up "like a woman" inside the fort, and when his men fired out of sheer desperation, "more damage was done to the town than the enemy" 102 Prince Muazzam who had succeeded Shā'ısta Khān as viceroy in the Deccan, was regaling himself at Ahmadnagar, 'caring only for pleasure and hunting' Jaswant Singh tried to save himself from obloquy (on account of his alleged delinquency during the Shā'ısta Khān ıncıdent) by besieging Kondānā He was at it from November 1663 to 28 May 1664, but was obliged to retire for the monsoon to Aurangabad, worse off than what he had been at the start But Sivaji was quite a different type of general to wait upon the vagaries of weather Despite the inclemency of the season, he suddenly swooped down upon Ahmadnagar while the imperialists were still expecting him to be chewing the cud from Surat!

When Aurangzeb awakened to the realities of the situation, he did two things (1) to set Surat on the road to recovery. and (2) to open a grand offensive against the 'grand rebel' Śivājī The two measures were not altogether unconnected Surat was an important source of revenue to the Mughal Empire Sivaii's raid had dealt a blow at once to the treasury and the prestige of the Empire The sinews of war came from the coffers of the 'Banians', both Christian and heathen "As the advantage the great Mogal derived from Surrate was enormous," writes Guarda, "and the governor had informed him (Aurangzeb) that all was lost and the merchants were arranging for a change of place on account of the scant security of Surrate, he resolved to remedy everything by sending an army that would totally destroy Sevagy and detain the merchants He ordered that they should be excused duties for three years, during which period nothing should be paid for import or export This appeased and relieved all, for it was a very great favour in view of the large capital employed by those Gentios in trade The wealth of those people is so great that when the Great Mogal sent for a loan of four millions to Baneane Doracandas Vorase, he answered that His Majesty should name the coin and the sum would immediately be paid in it

What is still more surprising is that the major part of the Baneane's capital was invested at Surate and this offer was made four years after the sack of Sevagy So much had already been accumulated, and considerable had been the profit of those three years when no tax was paid" 103

We find confirmation of the above in a letter dated 4 August 1664, written by the Dutch Governor-General to the Directors of their East India Company "King Orangech has ordered the town of Surat to be surrounded by a stone wall," it says, "and has granted a year's exemption of tolls and duties to the merchants, the Company and the English being also included This exemption was to begin from March 16th 1663, and we calculate that the Company will then gain a sum of

f 50,000 (£4,200), so that this catastrophe has brought us brofit!" 104

On 3 October 1670 Śivājī repeated his exploit at Surat Property worth about 132 lakhs was looted and Surat remained in continual dread of the Marāthās. As Sir J Sarkar has observed, the real loss of Surat was not in the booty carried away by the Marāthās. "The trade of this, the richest port of India, was practically destroyed. Business was effectively scared away from Surat, and inland producers hesitated to send their goods to this the greatest emporium of Western India." 105

To turn from Surat to the grand offensive against Śivājī Despatches arrived from Prince Muazzam, writes Khwāfī Khān, 'to the effect that Shivaji was growing more and more audacious, and every day was attacking and plundering the imperial territories and caravans. He had seized the ports of Jiwal and Pabal and others near Surat, and attacked the pil grims bound for Mecca. He had built several forts along the sea-shore and entirely disrupted maritime intercourse. He had also struck copper coins (sikka-i-pul) and hons in the fort of Rajgad. Maharaja Jaswant Singh had endeavoured to suppress him, but without avail '106 Hence, Rājā Jai Singh and Dilir Khān were sent to join the armies already fighting against Šīvājī

Jai Singh's career, as Sarkar has said, 'had been one of undiminished brilliancy from the day when he, an orphan of twelve [now he was 60], received his first appointment in the Mughal army (1617) Since then he had fought under the imperial banner in every part of the empire, from Balkh in Central Asia to Bijapur in the Deccan, from Qandahar in the west to Mungir in the east. In diplomacy he had attained to a success surpassing even his victories in the field. Wherever there was a difficult or delicate work to be done, the Emperor had only to turn to Jai Singh. A man of infinite tact and patience, an adept in the ceremonious courtesy of the Muslims, a master of Turki and Persian, besides Urdu and the Rajput dialect, he was an ideal leader of the composite army of Afghans and Turks, Rajputs and Hindustanis that followed the cre-

scent-banner of the sovereign of Delhi His foresight and political cunning, his smoothness of tongue and cool calculating policy, were in striking contrast with the impulsive generosity, reckless daring, blunt straightforwardness and impolitic chivalry which we are apt to associate with the Rajput character '107

Jai Singh's coadjutor, Dilir Khān, was also a veteran soldier. His real name was Jalāl Khān Daud-zai. He had served under Prince Suleman Shikoh during the war of Succession, and with Mīr Jumla in the Assam campaign. He was the founder of Shāhjahānābād in Rohilkhand. He was to win further laurels in the present war against Śivājī

Faced with such generals and such forces as they led, Sıyajı and the Marathas had their mettle put to the hardest test yet encountered by them Jai Singh organised a whirlwind campaign in order to encompass the Marāthās from all sides Casting his net far and wide, the 'Adıl Shah, the petty rajas and zamindars, the Siddis, and even the Europeans, were all enlisted as supporters Corruption was set a-foot on its nefarious work in the very camp of the Marāthās Purandar, where Sivājī resided, was made the heart and centre of this colossal campaign When Jai Singh arrived there, writes Cosme da Guarda, 'even Sevagy could not help being frightened besides the 400,000 cavalry, the number of men and animals that followed these armies could neither be credited or ascer-There went with it 500 elephants, 3 million camels, 10 million oxen of burden, men of useless service and merchants without number

'The first thing that Sevagy did was to tempt this general in the same way as he had done the other. He sent him a very large and very valuable present desiring his friendship. The Raya refused both and ordered to inform Sevagy that he had not come to receive presents but to subdue him, and for his own good, he asked him to yield and avoid many deaths, or he would make him yield by force. This resolution perturbed Sevagy.'

The siege of Purandar was proceeded with 'The Raya had brought with him a large number of heavy artillery of such a calibre that each cannon was drawn by forty yokes of oxen, but they were of no use for bombarding a fortress of this kind, for it was not a handiwork of man, but of the author of Nature, and it also had foundations so laid and fortified that they laughed at the balls, wind, and even the thunderbolts The plain at the top, where the men communed with the stars, was more than half-a-league in breadth, provided with food for many years, and the most copious water that after regaling men was precipitated through the hill to fertilise the plants with which it was covered '108 The highest point of this fort is 4,564 ft above sea-level, and more than 2,500 ft above the plain at its foot. It is really a double fort-Purandar and Vajragad or Rudramāl It was by the seizure of this latter citadel (in 1665), as later on the English were to do in 1817, that Jai Singh made it impossible for the Marathas to retain Purandar

It was during the defence of this strategic stronghold that Murār Bājī, like Bājī Despānde and Tānājī Mālūsaré, laid down his life heroically Dilir Khan sat down before the fortress like Yama with a grim determination to capture it at any Greatly admiring the gallant resistance of Baii he offered to spare his life if he should submit and accept high appointment in the imperial service. But the valiant Marāthā spurned the temptation and continued the fight courageously shot from Dilir, however, soon brought down the dauntless and incorruptible Bājī Still the garrison, with the courage worthy of the mother of Brasidas, as Sarkar puts it, continued the struggle undismayed by the fall of their leader, saying 'What though one man Murar Bajī is killed? We are as brave as he and we shall fight with equal courage 1' 100 That this was not a vain boast is borne out by Khwāfī Khān's testimony to 'the surprises of the enemy, their gallant successes, attacks on dark nights, blocking of roads and difficult passes, and burning of jungles,' etc which made the task of the Mughals very arduous 110 But, with all that the Marāṭhās could do, it was an unequal struggle The resources of the Mughals were vastly superior

Jai Singh's flying columns were everywhere His army dispositions were those of a consummate general He had opened his campaign from Poona on 14 March 1665 The vanguard of the imperialists, with heavy artillery under Dilir Khān, was in the vicinity of Purandar on the 30th (Rudramal) was forced to capitulate on 14 April On the 25th following a choice division led by renowned captains was ordered to devastate the surrounding regions The area covered by Räjgad, Simhagad and Rohida was to be utterly desolated without a vestige of cultivation or habitation Likewise, the villages enclosed between the forts Lohgad, Visapur, Tikona and Tangai were also devastated, much of Bäläghät and Painghāt was harried In the neighbourhood of Rohida alone, 50 villages were destroyed towards the end of April month passed and Purandar itself seemed irrevocably doomed The casualties among the garrison were alarming The realist in Sivājī anticipated the inevitable To prolong resistance under such circumstances was to invite annihilation or worse dishonour and captivity for the Maratha families sheltered within the fort. He therefore opened negotiations with Jai Singh, on 20 May 1665, through his Pandit Rão Raghunath Ballal Jai Singh insisted on a personal interview with Sivaji This was at last brought about at 9 AM on 11 June 1665. Khwāfī Khan has recorded the proceedings as follows -

'When Sivaji entered, the Raja (Jai Singh) rose and seated him near himself. Sivaji then, with a thousand signs of shame, clasped his hands and said. "I have come as a guilty slave to seek forgiveness, and it is for you either to pardon or to kill me at your pleasure. I will make over my great forts, with the country of Konkan, to the Emperor's officers, and I will send you my son to enter the imperial service. As for myself, I hope that after the interval of one year, when I have paid my respects to the Emperor, I may be allowed, like other servants of the State who exercise authority in their own provinces, to live with my wife and family in a small fort or two

Whenever and wherever my services are required, I will on receiving orders, discharge my duty loyally '

The Rājā cheered him up and sent him to Dilir <u>Kh</u>ān 'After direction had been given for the cessation of the siege, 7,000 persons, men, women and children, came out of the fort All that they could not carry away became the property of Government, and the fort was taken possession of by the forces Dilir <u>Kh</u>ān presented Sivaji with a sword, etc. He then took him back to the Rājā who presented him with a robe and renewed his assurances of safety and honourable treatment. Sivaji with ready tact bound on the sword in an instant and promised to render faithful service. When the question about the time Sivaji was to remain under parole and of his return home came under consideration, Rājā Jai Singh wrote to the Emperor asking forgiveness for Sivaji and the grant of a robe to him, and awaited instructions

'A mace-bearer arrived with the *firman* and a robe and Sivaji was overjoyed at receiving forgiveness and honour A decision then arose about the forts, and then it was finally settled that out of the 35 forts which he possessed, the keys of 23111 should be given up with their revenues amounting to 10 lacs of hons or 40 lacs of rupees. Twelve small forts with moderate revenues were to remain in the possession of Sivaji's people. Sambha, his son, a boy of eight years old, in whose name a mansab of 5,000 had been granted, at Rājā Jai Singh's suggestion, was to proceed to Court with the Rājā attended by a suitable retinue. Sivaji himself with his family was to remain in the hills and was to endeavour to restore the prosperity of his ravaged country. Whenever he was summoned on imperial service he was to attend' 112

On his being allowed to depart, he received a robe, horse, etc. In addition, Śivājī further undertook, 'If lands yielding a lākhs of hons a year in the lowlands of Konkan (Painghāt) and 5 lākhs of hons a year in the uplands (Bālāghāt Bījāpurī) are granted to me by the Emperor, and I am assured by an imperial firman that the possession of these lands will be confirmed in me after the expected Mughal conquest of Bījāpūr,

then I agree to pay to the Emperor 40 lākhs of hons in thirteen yearly instalments '113

Since these lands were to be wrested by Sivājī from Bījāpūr, Jai Singh thought he had cleverly thrown a bone of contention between the two enemies of the Mughals in the Deccan, viz the 'Adil Shah and the Marathas Proud of this achievement, he wrote to the Emperor 'This policy will result in a threefold gain,—1st we get 40 lakhs of hons or 2 krors of rupees. 2nd Sivājī will be alienated from Bijāpūr, 3rd the imperial army will be relieved from the arduous task of campaigning in these two broken and jungly regions, as Sivājī will himself undertake the task of expelling the Bījāpūrī garrisons from them' In return Śwaji also agreed to join the Mughals in the invasion of Bījāpūr with 2,000 cavalry and 7,000 infantry under his own command "Now that 'Adil Shah and Outb Shāh have united in mischief," Jai Singh wrote to Aurangzeb, "it is necessary to win Sivājī's heart by all means and send him to North India to have audience with your Majesty" 114

The reason for such a settlement may not be entirely attributed to Jai Singh's magnanimity Khwāfī Khān's references to the embarrassment caused by the guerilla tactics of the Marāthās and Dilir Khān's apprehensions expressed to Jai Singh seem also to indicate that the Mughal generals considered discretion the better part of valour "I will not say anything more now," Dilir said, "this campaign will end by ruining both you and me" 115

Śivājī was prevailed upon by 'a thousand devices' to undertake a visit to Āgrā, which he reluctantly accepted He reached Āgrā on 11 May 1666 and was received by Kumār Rām Singh, son of Jai Singh Kachwah Aurangzeb gave him audience the very next day, but treated him with such calculated insult that Śivājī was terribly upset Kumār Rām Singh was obliged to give an undertaking to the Emperor that 'if Śivājī escapes or does any mischief, the Kumār will take the responsibility' Śivājī was consequently very anxious that Rām Singh did not come into trouble on account of himself if possible His enemies were persuading Aurangzeb 'either to kill

Siva or to confine him in a fortress or to throw him into prison' But Rām Singh having come to know of this, protested to Muhmad Amin Khān, "It has been decided by His Majesty to kill Śivājī, but he has come here under a guarantee of personal safety So it is proper that the Emperor should kill me first, and then only, after I am dead, do with Śivājī what he likes" Nevertheless, Sivājī was ordered to be transferred to the custody of Radandaz Khan, a reckless favourite of Aurangzeb, evidently to facilitate the nefarious design Śwaji then tried to get out of Aurangzeb's clutches through diplomatic negotiations, but he was firmly told that he must not visit anybody, 'not even go to the Kumār's house' Subsequently, Sivājī was placed under the direct surveillance of the Kotwāl. Fulad Khān Thus freed from his moral responsibility towards Rām Singh, Siyājī effected his dramatic escape from Agra, after having tried various other stunts, during the night of 17 August 1666. A letter of 18th August states, "This morning Sivājī was found to have fled away from Agrā" 116

All these details are now confirmed by the fresh evidence recently brought to light by Sir Jadunath Sarkar "We must therefore now discard as pure fiction," he writes, "all the stories told by Khafi Khan and others about Shivaji's romantic adventures during his flight through Allahabad, Benares and Gaya, and even Jagannath Puri, according to a Maratha fabulist"117 Sarkar now holds that Sivājī must have returned to Rajgad on 12 Sept 1666, by a more direct route than hitherto believed His revised opinion rests upon a few statements in the Persian Akhbarats and the Dingal letters now published by him An Akhbarat dated Delhi 15 Nov 1666 appears to state that the Emperor had learnt from a news-letter from Aurangābād that 'a son has been born in the house of the wretch Siva, and that he himself is ailing' Further, a Dingal letter of Ballu Sah to dīwān Kalyāndās, dated Delhi 19 Nov 1666, is said to refer to 'public rumours now confirmed by news-letters reaching the Emperor' that Sivaji after having slipped out of Agra 'at midnight' reached his fort in 25 days, and that 'his son who accompanied him had died on the way'! Again, the same purveyors of news reported the birth of another son to Śivājī at Rājgad, adding that 'for many days Śivājī lay ill' 'thus has the waqianavis written' From these references Sir Jadunath concludes that Śivājī must have reached Rājgad on 12 September, and that the imperial spies must have probably got the news in the middle of October following "the rigid time limit of 25 days," he states, "by a rather bow-shaped route, bars out all these (earlier described) anecdotes as impossible" 118

The date, hitherto accepted by Sarkar, of Sivājī's reaching home was 20 Nov 1666 As a variant he has cited the Shivapur Yādi mentioning 10 December, in his Shīvan and His Times (chronology at the end) The Jedhé Sakāvali and Karīna, which correctly record Śrāvan Krsna 12 Prabhava 1588 Saka (17 Aug 1666) as the date of Sivāii's escape from Āgrā "in a basket," also state that Sivais returned to Raigad with Sambhājī on Mārgaśīrsa śukla 5 of the same year (20 Nov 1666) These local records indicating the later arrival of Sivājī in Mahārāstra appear to us more reliable than the more distant Persian and Dingal news-letters. The allusion to Sambhājī's death on the way must serve to put us on our guard Besides, the letter of Jai Singh dated 15 Nov 1666, quoted by Sarkar in his Shivan and His Times, whose authenticity we have no reason to doubt, militates against his latest view "There is no trace or news of the fugitive Shiva," complains Jai Singh "My days are passing in distraction and anxiety I have sent trusty spies to get news of Shiva" 119 What a relief the Akhbarats and Dingal letters might have brought to Jai Singh had their writers taken him into their confidence!

CHAPTER SIX

THE CHHATRAPATI

नाय राजा मनुष्योस्ति नितु साक्षाच्छिव स्वय । तथापि भक्तिपाशेन वश्यरछत्रपतेरह ॥

NISCHALAPURI

The seven years that elapsed between Sivaji's return from Āgrā and his coronation as chhatrapati at Rāigad (5 June 1674) were the most momentous years of his life From a constructive and creative point of view they constituted the most fruitful in the history of the Marathas The arrangements that Sivaji made for the upkeep of his possessions (such as were left to him by the treaty of Purandar), during his absence at Agra, have rightly been characterised by Sarkar as "a masterpiece of forethought and organisation"2 They revealed that Sīvājī was as great a statesman as he was a strategist his thrilling adventures the future of Mahārāstra might have been as sterile as that of Macedonia after the death of Alexander, but for the solid foundations which Sivaji well and truly laid for the greater glory of his race, during the short interval separating his return home from Agra (20 Nov 1666) and his second raid of Surat (17 Oct 1670) The Rājyābhiseka which took place on Friday 12 Jyeştha sukla of the Saka year Ananda (5 June 1674) was but the grand culmination of a career which evoked admiration and wonder even from his enemies

On his part Sivaji had scrupulously fulfilled all the terms of his treaty with Aurangzeb Not only did he hand over to the Mughals all the forts demanded by them in the agreement, but also actively joined them in the Bijāpūr campaign (20 Nov 1665) with 9,000 Marāthā troops In recognition of this assistance Aurangzeb sent him a letter of praise, a robe of honour and a jewelled dagger 3 In the discharge of his obligations Śivajī had even to fight against his own half-brother Vyan-

kojī who was a loyal supporter of Bījāpūr 4 Though Netāu Pālkar wavered for a while and went over to the enemy, he was soon persuaded to return and was rewarded with Rs 38,000 cash. a mansab of 5,000 and a jāgīr in 'the settled and lucrative old territory of the empire's Finally, Sivājī yielded to Jai Singh's importunities and went to Agra, with what result we have already noticed Not only Aurangzeb, who was his lifelong and inveterate enemy, but even Jai Singh at one moment, under the chagrin of personal disappointment and discomfiture. vielded to the temptation of seeking to end Sivaji's life ignominiously despite the plighted troth of a Rajput for the safety Nevertheless Sivājī had borne himself with of his person 6 courage and dignity in the most trying circumstances and escaped from 'the laws of death' by dint of his own resourceful-The veteran Jai Singh was borne down by anxiety, humiliation and misrepresentations at Court, and died at Burhampur (on 2 July 1667), cursing like Cardinal Wolsey the base ingrati-His place in the Deccan was taken by the easytude of kings going Muazzam whose unseemly and suicidal quarrels with the capable but insubordinate Dilir Khān afforded golden opportunities to Sivaii to recover his lost dominion Aurangzeb's preoccupation with the suppression of the Yusufzai rebellion at Peshāwar (March 1667) compelled him to acquiesce in a truce with the Marāthās negotiated by the nerveless Muazzam and Jaswant Singh A letter of Prince Muazzam, dated 6 March 1668, informed Sivājī that the Emperor had conferred on him the title of Rājāh and that his other demands were under consideration 7 Sambhājī was restored to his mansabdārī of 5,000 and was sent to Aurangābād as Sivājī's representative along with the devoted Pratap Rao Gujar and Nirajī Raojī According to the Jedhé Śakāvalı, Śivājī himself went to Aurangābād where he interviewed Jaswant Singh on Kārtik krsna 13 Monday of the Saka year Plavanga 1589 "Next day he left Aurangābād on horseback for Räjgadh"s This truce lasted till Paus, Saumya 1591 Saka (1e from 4 Nov 1667 to Dec 1669), when Pratap Rão and Ānand Rão returned to Rājgad along with Sambhājīº Sivājī was not hibernating during the interval of peace.

though the English factors at Kārwār wrote to Surat (16 Sept 1668) "The country all about at present is in great tranquility", and on 9 March 1669, "Our feare of Sevagy this years is pretty well over, hee not using to stirr soe late in the years

Sevagy is at Rajahgur, and very quiett, as alsoe is all the country round about us," etc 10 The details of his constructive work of organisation of the State he was building we shall consider in our final chapter. At the time of his departure for Āgrā, Sabhāsad tells us, Śivājī had entrusted Rājgad and the other forts to the charge of his mother. Moro Pant Peśvā. Nilo Pant Majumdār, and Netājī Palkar Sarnobat When he return ed from the North, 'Mātuśrī and the kārkūns and the soldiers in the army and the people in the forts and the militia were all pleased and held festivities Preparations were then made for the recovery of the 27 forts ceded to the Mughals Sivāji said to Moro Pant Peśvā, Nilo Pant Majumdār and Annāji sūmis "You should capture these forts by diplomacy and evertion", and the Rājé said personally to the Māvales, 'Capture ye the forts" Thereupon there was a Hazārī of the Māvales-Tănăjî Mālusaré by name—who made the offer "I shall take the fort of Kondana "11 This incident may be taken as marking the end of the truce with the Mughals, and the beginning of Sivājī's fresh offensive According to the Jedhé Sakāvalı Kondāna—thereafter called Sımhagad—was captured on Māgh krsna 9 (4 Feb 1670) Though Sabhāsad assigns no date for this event, he mentions it as the first episode since Sivaji's return Much as Simhagad stands out physically silhouetted against the southern sky of Poona to-day, Tănājī's heroic exploit has indelibly impressed itself on the racial memory of the Marāthās as an achievement of the first magnitude, and well it might, for it was here that the Koli Nag Nak had first opened the Maratha resistance to the Muslim advance under Muhammad Tughlaq The powādā or ballad of Tānājī by Tulsidās is familiar to every Marāthā to this day Our hearts throb as the Sahirs sing

'And ye Marāthās brave give ear, Tānājī's exploits crowd to hear Where from your whole dominion wide Shall such another be supplied? O'er seven and twenty castles high His sword did wave victoriously. The iron-years are backward roll'd, His fame restores the age of gold, Whene'er this song ye sing and hear, Sins are forgiven, and heaven is near! '12

'In this manner,' simply writes Sabhāsad, 'was Kondāna captured first Then Moro Pant Peśvā and Nilo Pant and Annājī Pant and the Māvales, with similar distinction took twenty-six forts in four months The Rājé went on governing his kingdom, recapturing what forts had been ceded by the treaty'18 According to the Sakāvali. Purandar was recaptured by Nilo Pant Majumdār on Tuesday Phālgūn Krsna 12 (8 March 1670), Mughal territory was invaded and Junnar besieged by Sivajī ın Bhādrapad, Sādhāran 1592 Saka (August 1670), Surat was looted for the second time on Kārtik śukla 1 (4 Oct 1670); and on 14 of the same month (17 Oct) on his way back from Surat, he fought with Daud Khan near Dindori In Iyestha, Virodhikrt 1593 Saka (June 1671) Sählér was besieged by Bahādur Khān and Dilir Khān, but they raised the siege in October the same year and retired to Aurangābād Prince Muazzam left for Delhi in Māgh (Feb 1682),—evidently to report the gravity of the situation to the Emperor

"The circumstances leading to these hostile activities on the part of Śivājī need to be looked into more closely. Aurangzeb, ever suspicious by nature, feared collusion between his son Muazzam and the Marāthās. Consequently, he ordered the arrest of the Marāthā agents of Śivājī at Aurangābād (Pratāp Rāo and Nirājī Pant). But like the five members of Parliament attempted to be apprehended by Charles I of England, these Marāthā sardārs slipped out with their troops before action was taken against them. To make matters worse, Aurangzeb, in sore straits for money, also ordered the seizure of Śivājī's estates in Berār, ostensibly in lieu of the lākh of

rupees advanced by Jai Singh for Śivājī's expenses en route to Āgrā "The rupture, inevitable in any case," writes Sarkar, was precipitated by financial causes Retrenchment of expenditure had now become a pressing necessity to Aurangzib, and he ordered the Mughal army in the Deccan to be greatly reduced "14 On 11 December 1669 the Emperor received intimation of four Marāthā captains of Śivājī's birādari having deserted from the imperial camp On 26 January 1670 Aurangzeb ordered Dilir Khān to hasten to Aurangābād and Dāud Khān to run to the assistance of Prince Muazzam 15

Though Śivājī was never lacking in incentives to act briskly and vigorously against the Mughals, further zeal was imparted to his arms by Aurangzeb's fanatical actions at this "The archrebel Sevagee," observes an English contemporary, "is againe engaged in armes against Orangshah, who out of blind zeale for reformation hath demolished many of the Gentiles temples, and forceth many to turn Musslemins "16 The Jedhé Śakāvah also records that in Bhādrabad or August 1669 Aurangzeb started religious persecution at Kāśī and broke The breach with the Mughals, according to Sarkar, occurred early in January or a fortnight earlier, though he says "There is no evidence for holding that Shivaji broke the peace with Aurangzib as a protest against the latter's general order for temple destruction (9 April 1669), though the two events are placed immediately after one another in an English factory letter (Foster xu1 256) and Jedhe"17 It cannot, however, be asserted that Aurangzeb's religious persecutions had no repercussions in Mahārāstra

In a firmān issued to Abdul Hasan, dated 28 February 1659, Aurangzeb wisely directed · "Our royal command is that you should direct that in future no person shall in unlawful ways interfere with or disturb the Brahmans and other Hindus resident in those places" But later, on 20 November 1665, he reversed this policy and declared "In Ahmadābād and other parganahs of Gujarāt in the days before my accession temples were destroyed by my order They have been repaired and idol-worship has been resumed Carry out the former

order" The Maasir-1 Alamgiri enthusiastically appreciative of this bigotry observes 'On the 17th Zi-l Kada 1079 H (18 April 1669) it reached the ear of His Majesty, the Protector of the Faith, that in the provinces of Thatta, Multan and Benares, but especially in the latter, foolish Brahmans were in the habit of expounding frivolous books in their schools, and that students and learners, Musulmans as well as Hindus, went there, even from long distances led by a desire to become acquainted with the wicked sciences they taught. The Director of the Faith, consequently, issued orders to all the governors of provinces to destroy with a willing hand the schools and temples of the infidels, and they were strictly enjoined to put on entire stop to the teaching and practising of idolatrous forms of worship On the 15th Rabi'u-l ākhar it was reported to his religious Majesty that, in obedience to orders, the government officers had destroyed the temple of Bishnath at In the month of Ramazān 1080 H (Dec 1669), in the 13th year of the reign, this justice-loving monarch, the constant enemy of tyrants, commanded the destruction of the Hindu temples of Mathura known by the name of Dehra Kesu Rai, and soon that stronghold of falsehood was levelled with the ground On the same spot was laid, at great expense, the foundation of a great mosque The den of inequity was thus 33 lacs were expended on this work Glory be destroyed to God who has given us the faith of Islam that, in the reign of the destroyer of false gods, an undertaking so difficult of accomplishment has been brought to a successful termination

This vigorous support given to the true faith was a severe blow to the arrogance of the Rajas — The richly jewelled idols taken from the pagan temples were transferred to Agra and there placed beneath the steps leading to the Nawab Begum Sahib's mosque, in order that they might ever be pressed under foot by the true believers — Mathura changed its name into Islāmābād'119

Aurangzeb's frenzy continued for several years Cart loads of idols were taken also from Jodhpur to the capital to be trodden upon by the faithful The Jaziya was reimposed,

Hindu fairs and festivals were prohibited. Hindus were forbidden to wear arms and fine dresses, and to ride well-bred horses, elephants, and to go in palanquins 'According to the law 2) pc should be taken from Musalmans and 5 pc from Hindus (customs duty) '20 In 1671 it was ordered that all rent-collectors in crown-lands ought to be Muslims Provincial governors were also called upon to dismiss their Hindu head clerks and accountants and to replace them by the true believers The dismissed employers sought service under Sivaji, in some cases at least 21 In North India this policy antagonised the Rāipūts and drove the Jāts, Satnāmis and Sikhs into open re-In Mahārāstra one iconoclastic officer found his task 'The hatch-men of the government,' he comtoo strenuous plained, 'in the course of my marching do not get sufficient strength and power to destroy and raze the temples of the infidels that meet the eye on the way' Hence Aurangzeb 'You should appoint an orthodox Inspector ordered (darogha) who may afterwards destroy them at lessure and dig up their foundations'22 Ironically, however, this darogha happened to be the Marāthā, and he dug up the foundations of Aurangzeb's Empire

Addressing the imperial officers, Sivaji wrote in effect 'For the last three years ye have been under orders from Aurangzeb to seize my country and forts Ye are reminded that even the steed of unimaginable exertion is too weak to gallop over this hard country, and that its conquest is difficult My home is unlike the forts of Kaylani and Bidar, and is not situated on a spacious plain. It has lofty mountain ranges, 200 leagues in length and 40 leagues in depth everywhere there are $n\tilde{a}l\tilde{a}s$ difficult to ford, and sixty forts of rare strength have been built,—some on the sea-coast Afzal Khān came against me on behalf of the 'Adil Shah and perished do not you report to the Emperor what has happened, so that the same fate may not overtake you? Amu-ul umara Shā'ısta Khān was sent against these sky-kissing ranges and abysmal He laboured hard for three long years and bluffed vallevs to the Emperor that I was going to be subdued in the shortest

time But at last, as all false men deserve, he encountered a terrible disaster and went away in disgrace. It is my duty to guard my land

The wise should beware of this river of blood, No man can ford, in safety, its terrible flood '23

This was not a vain and empty boast Its force was brought home to the Mughals during the campaigns of 1670 and the succeeding years On 4 February 1670 Kondāna (Simhagad) was captured by the heroic sacrifice of Tānājī On 8 March Purandar was retaken by Nilo Pant A few days later, the alladar of Chandod was held up in his fort and the town was plundered yielding Rs 40,000, an elephant and twelve horses At Kalyan-Bhiwandi, Uzbeg Khan (thanedar) was killed and the place captured Ludi Khan the fauzdar of Konkan was beaten and put to flight (March 1670) faujdar of Nänded deserted his post in a panic Though there were temporary setbacks at Pārnér, Junnar and Māhulı, the position was soon retrieved By the end of April 1670 the Marāthās had plundered 51 villages in the vicinity of Ahmadnagar, Junnar and Parenda Lohgad was captured in May, and Hindola, Karnālā and Rohida in June On 16 June Māhuli was recaptured after slaying its new commandant, Alāwardı Beg, and 200 of the garrison

All this time, Prince Muazzam and Dilir Khān were engaged in an unseemly quarrel, almost amounting to civil war Aurangzeb deputed Iftikhar Khān in March 1670 to compose their differences, but 'he played the Jack on both sides' and added fuel to the fire Muazzam complained of Dilir's defiant conduct, and the plunder of imperial villages by his Pathān troops "The latter charge was borne out by the reports of the news-writers" The Khān was actually chased across the Tāpti by Muazzam and Jaswant Singh "with all the available Mughal troops, calling upon Sivājī to come to their aid "24"

The weakness of the imperial position, betrayed by the above incidents, might have been apparent even to observers

less acute than Śivājī To the astute Marāthā leader it offered too tempting an opportunity for aggressive action. Surat once more attracted his attention. A letter of 10 July 1670 observes. "The notable progress of Sevagy in his conquest of Mauly, etc., now in the blustering time of raines, makes his name yet more terrible to Surrat. Insomuch that the Governor is allarummed from Brampore, Orangabaud, Mooler and other places, to expect and prepare for an assault, so that this town is under no small feare"²⁵

The English had put up a brave show in 1664, but their valuant President, Sir George Oxenden, had died on 14 July 1669 Again they were called upon to prepare themselves "for the preservation of the honour and repute of the English nation and security of the Hon'ble Companys house at Surratt Wherefore it was propounded Debated and Concluded to send order to the Deputy Governor &ca at Bombay that they spare us 35 or 40 White Portugall souldiers who have been trayned up & are actually in service so that the charge will be but little & that onely for Dyett (duty) the time they are in Surratt "26"

Sivājī actually appeared in Surat for the second time on 3 October 1670—"whereupon the President and Councell resolved to send the Hon'ble Companys treasures which is on shoare, some on board the Berkely Castle, the rest on board the Loyal Oxenden" On the third day (5 October), Sivājī suddenly left Surat, though no Mughal army was near An official inquiry ascertained, says Sarkar, "that Shivaji had carried off 66 lakhs of Rupees' worth of booty from Surat,—viz, cash, pearls, and other articles worth 53 lakhs from the city itself, and 13 lakhs worth from Nawal Sahu and Hari Sahu and a village near Surat"²⁷

According to Abbe Carré, "Partly in different wars he (Śivājī) had waged, and partly in the Court, he had exhausted his treasures. This is what made him to resolve to plunder Surat for a second time" He also states. "As the purpose of Sevagy was only to make fun of the Great Mogol, he did not exert himself further, and did no harm to the people"

The French, the Dutch and the English were given 'a timely notice to display their standards on the top of their terraces that they may be saved thereby from the fury of the soldiers' The English lost one soldier, the French 'two black servants', and the Dutch none "We could only oppose to Sivasi's hordes 35 men in all, but they did not molest us" 20

The English President, Gerald Aungier writes King (Aurangzeb) being sensible of the great danger his chiefe port was in, ordered downe Bahadur Cann, the viceroy of Ahmadabad, with 3,000 horse, to protect Surratt, whose arrivall eased us of the present feare, but cost us, the French and Dutch and all the Merchants, deare for our protection in presents to him (the viceroy) which is a civil kind of plunder demanded by these great Umbrawes as a tribute due to them; wee at first intended him a small acknowledgment of 2 or 300 rupees worth in some European rarities, but the Merchants of the Towne having presented him high, and the Dutch Commandore, contrary to his private promise to Gerald Aungier, made him a Piscash of 4,000 rupees, we were forced for peace sake to please him with a present to the value of rupees 1,700 in imitation of the Indians that worship the Devill that he (the viceroy) doe them hurt, for indeed we expect little good from him, but the French gallantly exceeded all compare, for their chief Directeur the Here Caron made him a present to the value of Rups 10.000 in horses, rich tapestry, brass guns &c, which made no small noyse in Towne, and caused different censures, some commanding his generosity, others with reason taxing his ill husbandry

"The 3rd October Sevagy's army approached the walls and, after a slight assault, the Defendants fled under the shelter of the castle Gunns, and they possest themselves of the whole Towne some few houses excepted (English, French, Dutch, Persian and Turkish) which stood on their defence

"The enemy having taken the Tartar Seray could from thence more safely ply their shot at our house, for which they prepared themselves, but finding our menn resolute on their defence, they held up their hands desiring a Parley The Captain tould Mr Master, the Rajah or Sevagy was much enraged that wee had killed soe many of his menn and was resolved on revenge but Mr Master stood in so resolute a posture that the Captain, not willing to hazard his men's lives, sent some person to him, demanding a present, though to noe great vallue

"Mr Master thought it not imprudence to secure our goods, together with soe many mens lives at soe reasonable a rate, and therefore by advise of those with him, being a Merchant of Rajapore, fell into discourse with him touching our leaving that Factory, asking the reason why wee did not send our people to trade there as formerly

"Mr Master answered that it was Sevagy's fault and not ours, for he had plundered the company's house, imprisoned their servants, and whereas since that time he had given satisfaction to serverall persons whom he had robbed, yet he had not taken care to satisfy the English the losse they had susteyned, to which he answered that Sevagy did much desire our return to Rajapore and would doe very much to give us satisfaction

"This gratefull discourse being over, the Present was sent by two of our servants who were conveighed to Sevagy's tent without the Towne, he sent for them and received them with the Piscash in a very kind manner, telling them that the English and he were good friends, and putting his hand into their hands, he told them that he would doe the English no wrong, and that this giving his hand was better than any cowl to oblige them thereunto

"Before your servants were returned to your house, Sevagy had called his Army out of the Towne, to the wonder of all men, in regard no enemy was neare, nor the noyse of any army to oppose him, but he had gott plunder enough and thought it prudence to secure himself, and that when he marched away he sent a letter to the Officers and chiefe Merchants, the substance whereof was that, if they did not pay him 12 lakhs of

rupees yearly Tribute, he would return the next yeare and burne downe the remayning parte of the Towne"

The account closes with a few observations which indicate how lightly the English came off out of this second sack of Surat. They made representations to the Emperor "soe that wee have a just right to demand the whole losse from the King and have taken such an effectual course by sending our remonstrances to the Court and improving our interests with the Shawbunder, cozzy and Merchants whome wee have protected in this danger, that wee trust in God you will be no losers by it in the end "30"

The most important outcome of the raids on Surat was that the constant alarms they created for years "putt all trade There was renewed panic in February and into disorder" October 1672, in September 1673, October 1674, and December 1679 Sivāiī disorganised the imperial trade with the minimum elfort and maximum gain to himself When Muazzam heard of this disaster, he despatched Daud Khan post haste from Burhänpür, to intercept the Marāthās returning from Surat Sivājī had by then entered Bāglāna and plundered the environs of Mulhér fort The pursuing Mughals met the Marāthās at Vanī Dindori (15 miles n of Nāsik, 28 miles s w of Chāndod) on the Ghāts The result was "a severe action ' as Sabhāsad has called it 31 For two prahars the battle raged The Marāthās fought ne plus ultra, and killed 3,000 of the enemy, took 3 to 4,000 horses, and two wazīrs (officers) was a resounding triumph for the Marathas Pratap Rão Vyankoji Datto and Anand Rão distinguished (Sarnob'at) themselves in this action (17 Oct 1670)

Encouraged by these successes and enriched with the booty secured, Sivājī launched a major campaign in Bāglāna, Khāndesh and Berār His forces numbered about 20,000 Capturing the forts of Ahivant, Mārkānd, Rāvla and Jāvla (in Bāglāna), he rapidly advanced to the vicinity of Burhānpūr (Khāndesh) and plundered Bahādurpur (2 miles from Burhānpūr) But his most striking exploit was, however, the sack of Karanja (Berār) where he secured booty worth one

crore of rupees in gold, silver and finery Many prominent and prosperous men were taken captive at Karanja and Nandürbar, and held to ransom or chauth—perhaps the first instance of its collection in Mughläi

The next exploit of Sivaji was the investment of Salhér (c 5 January 1671) Like Humāyūn at Chanderi, Siyājī personally scaled the fortress with a rope-ladder while 20,000 of his troops, horse and foot, surrounded the stronghold Fatullah Khan, the commandant of the fort, fell fighting But in other places the Mugal officers were regaling themselves with song and dance there were daily entertainments in the houses of the grandees (including Mahābat Khān who was specially deputed by the Emperor to tackle Sivaii) There were no less than 400 dancing girls specially imported from the North for the delectation of the umara 32 When reinforcements came, or more vigorous officers like Bahadur Khan and Dilir Khan were despatched in order to jinger up the resistance, they indulged in fitful and frenzied massacres, as at Poona where all above the age of nine were slaughtered in one raid in December 1671 33

The imperialists tried to recapture Sālhér (January-February 1672) with disastrous consequences 'A great battle took place,' writes Sabhāsad 'For 4 prahars of the day the fight-Mughals, Pathans, Rajpūts and Rohilas fought with artillery-swivels carried on elephants and camels As the battle raged, such dust arose that for a distance of 3 koses square, friend could not be distinguished from foe Elephants were killed, 10,000 men on the two sides fell dead. Countless horses, camels and elephants as well
There was a deluge of The horses captured alive alone numbered 6,000 blood One hundred elephants were also taken, and 6,000 camels Goods, treasures, gold and jewels, clothes and carpets beyond calculation came into the Rajé's hands 22 wazīrs of note were taken prisoner Ikhlas Khān and Bahlol Khān themselves were In this manner was the whole $s\bar{u}b\bar{a}h$ destroyed' captured

Sabhāsad gives the names of a dozen Marāthā sardārs who distinguished themselves in this battle and adds, 'Simi-

larly did Māvalé soldiers and sardārs toil hard The commanders, Moro Pant Peśvā and Pratap Rão Sarnobat, both distinguished themselves by personal acts of valour, so also did Sürya Rão Kānkadé (a pānch-hazārī) who was struck down by Other heroes of note also fell a canon-ball Victory was won after such fighting '4 The news was flashed to the Rājé and the canon boomed and sugar was distributed Gold wristlets were put on the arms of the jāsūds who brought the news. Immense wealth was given to Pratap Rao Sarnobat, Moro Pant Peśvā, Ānand Rāo and Vyankojī Pant, in reward The other officers and Māvalés were also similarly rewarded 'Bahlol Khān and the Nawāb and wazīrs who had been taken prisoner were dismissed with horses and robes' Dilir Khān, who was four marches away from Sälher at that moment, fled With pardonable pride, Sabhāsad observes · 'The Bādshāh at Delhi felt much distressed at the bad news For three days he did not come out into the Hall of Public Audience sad was he that he said "It seems God has taken away the Bādshāhī from the Musulmans and conferred it on Sivājī"25

The English records also confirm the victory in which the Marāthās "forced the two generals, who with their armies had entered into Sevagy's country, to retreat with shame and loss" But the Persian records are silent on this

On 5 June 1672 a large Marāthā force under Moro Trimbak Pinglé captured Jauhār (100 miles from Surat towards Nāsik) from its Koli chieftain Vikramshāh, and carried away treasure worth 17 lākhs of rupees Rāmnagar (Dharampūr) was likewise taken in July, and its rājā, Somshāh, forced to seek refuge under the Portuguese at Daman The annexation of these two important places brought the Marāthās within 60 miles south of Surat which was perpetually placed on tenter hooks

An English record of 26 October 1672 states "This day news being brought to Surat of a great army of Sevagee being come as near as Rāmnagar and that 4 of the King's *Umbrau's* with 4 Regiments of horse had deserted the King's service and revolted to Sevagee, the town took the allarme and the shroffs

to whom we had sold the Company's treasure, who had weighed a considerable part of it, and paid in about 30,000 rupees on the accounts, refused to carry it out of the house" 37

The principal of the *umarā* referred to in the above statement were Jādhav Rāo Deccani (a great-grand-son of Lukh-jī) and Siddi Halāl, both of whom, being defeated in the Nāsik district, joined Śivājī between July and October 1672. Then Śivājī made a peremptory demand for *chaut* from Surat "as your Emperor has jorced me to keep an army for the defence of my people and country, that army must be paid by his subjects". The governor of Surat made this a pretext for taxing his Hindu subjects and pocketed their contribution ¹³⁸

While Surat was trembling under these tribulations, Śivājī suddenly turned towards Berār and Telingāna. This raid was no part of his major campaign, but only intended to create diversions with a nuisance value. Perhaps it was also his intention to reconnectre and test the enemy's forces. Certainly it served to keep the Mughals guessing as to his plans and strategy. If Śivājī met with a reverse here and there, during such desultory action, he also came by some random booty

To meet the situation created by the Maratha raids during 1673, Bahādur Khān, the new viceroy and c-in-c of the Mughal forces in the Deccan, set up his H Q at Pedgaum on the Bhīmā (8 miles south of Chāmār gunda) Sivājī therefore marched into Bijāpūr territory where the death of 'Adıl Shāh, II (24 Nov 1672) created tempting opportuni-Āli's successor, Sikandar, was a boy of four summers Khawās Khān, the Abyssınıan, had assumed dictatorial authonty as Regent, and thereby evoked the jealousy of other offi-The resulting tussle for power created confusion in the 'Adılshāhī kıngdom and made ıt vulnerable to Marāthā at-On 6 March 1673 two of Sıvājī's captains, Kondājī tacks Farzand and Annājī Pant marched against Panhāla cover of night, like Tānājī at Simhagad, Kondājī scaled the steeper side of the fortress and surprised its garrison incident has been vividly described by Jayaram Pindé in his Parnāla-parvata grahanākhyānam In view of Šivājī's earlier

discomfiture at that place and its colourful antecedents, this victory added a new feather to his cap. It was followed up by the capture of Parli on 1 April and of Sātārā on 27 July Pratāp Rāo drove away Bahlol Khān (Bījāpūrī general) after a desperate struggle at Umrāni (36 miles from Bījāpūr city), in the middle of April 1673. The doughty Pathān, however, returned to the fray and kept the Marāthās engaged, with better results, from June-August. But both Bījāpūr and Golkonda soon realised the expediency of making it up with Sīvājī, in the face of the common enemy, viz, the Mughal

"It is confirmed to us from Choule and other parts," write the English factors in October 1673, "that overtures of peace are closely prosecuted betwixt the King of Vizapore and Sevagee who hath a considerable army ready of horse and foote and thitherto maintaines his frontiers against the Mogull and Bullole Choune, and 'its generally concluded that the Kings of Bijapore and Golcondah do covertly furnish him with men and money, and that he also covertly fees the Generall and Commanders of the Mogulls Army which hath qualified their heat against him, soe 'its thought that noe great action will be performed between them this yeare, yet the preparation Sewagee makes causeth us to believe that either he expects to be assaulted or designes to make some notable attempt in the King's country "30 Another letter (Gerald Aungier's) dated "Sevagee bears himself up man-16 September, 1673 says and though it is probable fully against all his enemies that the Mogulls Army may fall into his country this yeare, and Ballol Chaune on the other side, yet neither of them can stay long for provisions, and his flying army will constantly keep them in allarme, nor is it either their design to destroy Seyagee totally, for the *Umaras* maintain a politic war to their own profit at the King's charge, and never intend to prosecute it violently so as to end it "40

One of the unfortunate happenings connected with this phase of Sivājī's war in Bījāpūr territory was the loss of Pratāp Rāo Gujar, in February 1674 Sivājī had taunted him for having let go Bahlol Khān at Umrāni in April last "Go with your

army," he said, "and win a decisive victory Otherwise never show your face to me again 1" The valiant but sensitive general literally carried out this mandate On 24 February 1674 at Nesari, 'in the narrow gorge between two hills,' he charged like the Light Brigade at Balaklava and rushed 'into the jaws of death' followed only by six faithful horsemen The gallant seven drowned themselves in a river of blood 'There was not to reason why, there was not to make reply, there was but to do and die,-though some one had blundered!' the disaster was retrieved by Anand Rao, his lieutenant, by a daring attack on Sampgaum in Kanara (20 miles from Bankāpūr), in March following He captured treasures worth 150,000 hons, 500 horses, 2 elephants and much other booty Bahlol Khān and Khizr Khān, with 2,000 horse and many toot-soldiers, tried in vain to intercept him On 8 April Sivājī held a grand review of his troops at Chiplun and appointed Hamsājī Mohité as Sarnobat in place of the deceased hero Pratāp Rāo Gujar "Finding him a very intelligent, brave, patient and cautious soldier," writes Sabhāsad, "Sivājī conferred on him the title of Hambir Rão Bounties were lavishly distributed among the soldiers "11

Late in January 1674 Dilir <u>Kh</u>ān had tried to assail Śivājī in the Konkan, but as the English noted, "received a rout by Shivaji and lost 1,000 of his Pathans" Śivājī too lost 5 or 600 men

By now it was evident that this son of a Bījāpūrī noble (Shāhjī Bhoslé) though described by his enemies as a marauder and free-booter, had virtually become a King except in name Even the title of $R\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ had been secured by him diplomatically from the Mughal Emperor than whom there was no greater sovereign in India. To set the *imprimatur* of legality over all he did, and also to win the prestige of a crowned monarch, Sīvājī had only to ceremonially translate his de facto power into de jure sovereignty, and this coping stone he decided to lay over the edifice of his great achievements up to 1674 Rāigad was the capital he chose for the impressive ceremonial as well as to be the seat of his government thereafter.

It was centrally situated in the heart of his territories Nearly equidistant from Poona, Bombay and Sātārā, it had a political and military, no less than commercial value, all its own Detached from the Sahyādii, but elevated above the Konkan, Rāigad is removed from, yet served by the sea on account of its nearness to Mahād which had considerable trade importance in those days. Strategically, it was protected from direct attacks by Bījāpūr as well as the Mughals, but from its position in the Māval country and nearness to the sea, Sīvājī could ideally direct all his military and maritime operations. From a religious point of view, the place was twice blessed by the shrine of Paraśurām at Chiplūn and that of Bhavānī at Pratāpgad. Khwāfi Khān has the following interesting observations to make about Rāigad.

'When Sivaji had satisfied himself of the security of Rajgarh, his old retreat, and of the dependent territory, he turned his thoughts towards finding some other more inaccessible hill as a place for his abode After diligent search he fixed upon the hill of Rahiri, a very high and strong place. The ascent of this place was three kos, and it was situated 24 kos from the sea, but an inlet of the sea was about seven kos from the foot of the hill The road to Surat passed near the place and that port was ten or twelve stages distant by land Rajgarh was four or five stages off The hills are very lofty and difficult of ascent Rain falls there for about five months in the year The place was a dependency of the Kokan belonging to Niza-Having fixed on the spot, he set about building mu-l-Mulk his fort When the gates and bastions and walls were complete and secure, he removed thither from Rajgarh and made it his regular residence After the guns were mounted and the place made safe, he closed all the roads around, leaving one leading to his fortress One day he called an assembly and having placed a bag of gold and a gold bracelet worth a hundred pagodas before the people, he ordered proclamation to be made that this would be given to any one who would ascend to the fort and plant a flag, by any other than the appointed road, without the aid of ladder or rope A Dher came forward and said that, with the permission of the Raja, he would mount to the top of the hill, plant the flag and return. He ascended the hill, fixed the flag, quickly came down again, and made his obeisance. Sivaji ordered that the purse of money and the gold bracelet should be given to him, and that he should be set at liberty, and he gave direction for closing the way by which the *Dher* had ascended '42

Douglas calls it the Gibraltar of the East, and of all hillforts of the Bombay Presidency the most interesting. Grose found it 'the most completely impregnable place in the universe' 'Sivājī 'like the Eagle of the hills,' says another, 'with his penetrating eyes could from this eyrie descry his prey in all directions, but no one could approach the Lion's Den'48

On this hill-citadel Śivājī got himself crowned on Friday 5 June 1674 (Jyestha, śuddha 12 of the Śaka year 1596, Ānanda) He thereby appeased the conscience of the formalists, soothed the sentiments of the superstituous, and made a striking impression on the minds of the masses It was an act of supreme sagacity and far-seeing statesmanship
It drew around Sivājī—now Chhairabati—all the varied and scattered elements of the Marāthā State and provided a focus for their lovalties Sivājī had reached the apogee of his greatness and grandeur, and all the gold he had garnered was lavishly expended in the gorgeous ceremonial "Fifty thousand Brāhmans learned in the Vedas", writes Sabhāsad, "had assembled Besides them had gathered many Taponidhis and holy men, Sanyāsis, guests, Mānbhāvs, Jathādhārs, Jogss, and Jangams of various denominations For four months they were given unhusked corn and sweets, when dismissed, money, ornaments and clothes in abundance were presented to every one according to merit To Gaga Bhat, the chief priest, was given immense wealth The total expenditure amounted to one kror and forty-two lakhs of hons To every one of the eight Pradhans was given a reward of one lakh of hons and a gift of one elephant, one horse, and robes besides that In this manner was the Rajé installed on the throne In this age of Mlechha Badshah's rule all over the world, only this Marāthā Bādshāh became Chhairapati

This affair that came to pass was one of no little importance." Sabhāsad also observes that Gāgā Bhat opined that as Śivājī had subdued four Bādshāhis and possessed 75,000 cavalry, infantry, forts and strongholds but no throne, the Marāṭhā Rājā should also be crowned *Chhatrapati* 14

Among the visitors to Rāigad at the time of the Rājyā-bhiseka or coronation ceremonials were the representatives of the English East India Company,—Henry Oxenden, Geo Robinson and Tho Michell They reached 'Rairy' when the Rājā was away at Pratāpgad to worship at 'the shrine of Bowany, a pagod of great esteeme with him,' and were received by the 'Procurator Neragy Pundit whose reception was very kind' They discussed many matters and were assured 'that the Rajah would after his coronation act more like a prince by takeing care of his subjects and endeavouring the advancements of commerce and trade in his Dominions which he could not attend before being in perpetuall warrs with the King of Vizapore and the Great Mogull'45

On 22 May 1674, 'We received order to assend up the hill into the Castle, the Rajah having enordered us a house there, which we did, leaving Puncharra about 3 of the clock in the afternoon, we arrived at the top of that strong mountain about sunset, which is fortified by nature more than art being of very different access and but one avenue to it, which is guarded by two narrow gates and fortified with a strong high wall and bastions thereto, all the other part of the mountaine is a direct precippice so that its impregnable except the Treachery of some in it betrayes it. On the Mountaine are many strong buildings of the Rajah court and houses for others, Ministers of State, to the number of about 300, it is in lengths about 2 1/2 miles and in breadth about 1/2 a mile, but no pleasant trees nor any sort of grame grows there on, our house was about a mile from the Rajah's pallace into which we retired with no little content '46

The next day they were granted audience by Śivājī 'though busily employed with many other weighty affaires as his coronation, marriage, etc' 'The Rajah assured us that we

might now trade securely in all his Dominions without the least apprehension of evil from him, for that the Peace was concluded' On the 29th the Rajah was 'according to the Hindoo Custome, weighed in Gold and poised about 1600 Pagodas, which money together with one hundred thousand more, is to be distributed after his coronation into the Bramings who in great numbers are flockt hither from all the adjacent countreys'

After the coronation, the Englishmen saw Sivaji on the 6th, about 7 or 8 of the clock, and the Rajah was seated on a magnificent throne, and all his nobles waiting on him in very nich attire He presently enordered our coming nearer even to the Throne where being rested we were desired to retire which we did not so soon but that I tooke notice on each side of the throne there hung according to the (Mores manner) on heads of guilded Lances many emblimes of Government and Dominion, as on the right hand were two great fishes heads of Gould with every large teeth, on the left hand several horses tailes, a paire of Gould Scales on a very rich Lances head equally poysed an emblem of Justice, and as we returned at the Pallace gate there was standing two small ellephants on each side and two faire horses with Gould bridles and furniture, which made us admire which way they brought them up the hill, the passage being so difficult and hazardous '47

Dr Fryer, another Englishman who was then at Bombay, narrates an interesting anecdote illustrative of Śivājī's hospitality towards his European guests. It is typical of his toleration, especially as the occasion was that of a sacred ritual when a vast concourse of orthodox Brāhmans had gathered together at Rāigad. "I will only add one Passage," writes Fryer, 'during the stay of our Ambassador at Rairee. The Diet of this sort of People admits not of great Variety of Cost, their delightfullest Food being only Cutchery, a sort of Pulse and Rice mixed together, and boiled in Butter, with which they grow fat. But such Victuals could not be long pleasing to our Merchants who had been used to feed on good Flesh. It was therefore signified to the Rajah. That Meat should be

provided for them, and to that end a Butcher that served those few Moors that were there, that were able to go to the charge of Meat, was ordered to supply them with what Goat they should expend (nothing else here being to be gotten for them) which he did accordingly with the consumption of half a goat a Day, which he found very profitable for him, and thereupon was taken with a curiosity to visit his new customers, to whom, when he came, it was told them, The honest Butcher had made an Adventure up the Hill, though very old, to have the sight of his good Masters who had taken off of his hands more flesh in that time they had been there, than ne had sold in some years before, so rare a thing it is to eat Flesh among them, for the Gentiles eat none, and the Moors and Portugals eat it well stew'd, bak'd, or made into Pottage, no Nation eating it roasted so commonly as we do, And in this point I doubt we err in these Hot countries, where our spirits being always upon the Flight, are not so intent on the business of concoction, so that those things that are easiest digested and that create the least trouble to the Stomach, we find by Experience to agree best here' 48

The Dutch account of the coronation of refers to Shivaji's abandonment of 'his present caste of Bhonsla' and taking 'the caste of Kettery' (Ksatriya) 'Taking into consideration that Suasy could not be crowned unless he first became a Kettery, and that he had promised not to act or rule tyrannically and badly as before, on 8th of June last, they granted him the caste of Kettery but he also demanded to be taught the Brahman rule This, however, they refused, but one of the chief of them complied'

This is rare testimony from an unexpected quarter, to the most heated controversy that must have raged among orthodox circles as to matters of rectitude and propriety. Though the Bhoslés claimed descent from the Sisodia Rājpūts of Mewār, Śivājī's eligibility to the ritual to which the twice-born (dvisas) alone were entitled, had to be established to the satisfaction of Benares Pundits. The hall-mark of that status was undoubtedly the performance of the Upanayana ceremony which

Sivājī had obviously not undergone 50 Even the marriages in the Bhoslé family had been performed in accordance with the Pautāmc and not the Vedic ritual Sivājī aspired to be not merely the secular head of the State, but Rājā and Chhatrapati in the Hindu tradition—to be supreme leader of the orthodox communities and sovereign protector of Dharma—For this, any status less than that of a Ksatriya would be inadequate Hence the Dutch allusion to his admission into the 'Kettery caste' Whether by reference to authentic horoscopes or genealogies, it is significant to note that the Dutch also refer to compliance 51 by one of the chief of the Brāhmans (evidently Gāgā Bhat)

It speaks volumes for Sivājī's statesmanship to have conceived of all the implications of an Abhisikta Rājā and the significance of the unique title of Chhatrapati No Hindu or Indian Prince, or for that matter, any ruler whatsoever had borne the significant name of Chhatrabati symbolising the 'protective umbrella' instead of the truculent bird of prey, the Eagle of the Caesars (or Kaisers), or the Lion or 'king of beasts', or the Dragon of the Celestial Emperors, or even the suvarna Garuda-dhwaya of the ancient Yadava rulers of Mahārāstra Once this noble ideal was conceived of, outward conformity to orthodox prescriptions, investiture of the sacredthread, ritualistic re-marriage with his own wedded wife, accession to the throne, and even repetition of the Rājyābhiseka according to Tantric rites, after the Vedic ceremonials had been once duly performed,-were all of secondary value52 having secured the substance, went through the magic shadowshow of ceremonials according to this cult and the other creed with a rare sense of humour

A very good illustration of the manner in which the Chhatrapati discharged his trust as leader and Protector of Hindu Dharma and civilisation is to be found in an interesting document which, if it is authentic, might be considered as the Magna Carta of Marāṭhā Śvarājya It is dated 28 January 1677, and recounts the circumstances of Śīvājī's coronation in accordance with ascertained sacred laws for the protection of

all Hindu religious and social traditions It promises to render the most speedy and impartial justice to all who should invoke Śivājī's dispensation following established traditions, scriptures and public opinion, and calls upon people of all communities to act with one accord and cooperate with the Government in defeating the yavanas coming from the North This done, it concludes, the rulers and subjects will be alike It reveals the spirit of Sivājī's administrablessed by God⁵³ tion. It shows that he was not a mere empire-builder adding territory to territory It proves that Sivaii was a man with a mission who drew his inspirations from history, from the classics, from the society and culture around him, from Rāmdās and the saints of Mahārāstra, and more, and constantly, from his mother Jijābāi as an embodiment of all these nursed his body and spirit, and lived just long enough to witness his coronation Then she said her nunc dimitis

"Suasy's mother," declares a Dutch letter, "having come to be present at her son's coronation, although about 80 years old, died 12 days after, leaving to her son about 25 lakhs of pagodas,—some say more" 54 What "more," indeed, the poor, calculating, foreign traders could hardly assess. It was the spirit revealed in Sivājī's Dharma Rājya! Jijā Bāi seemed to declare

Now lettest thou thy servant depart, O Lord,
According to thy word, in peace,
For mine eyes have seen thy salvation,
Which thou hast prepared before the face of
all peoples,

A light for revelation to the Gentiles, And the glory of thy people !

CHAPTER SEVEN THE PATRIMONY

प्रतिपच्चद्गरेखेव वर्धिप्णुर्विश्ववदिता । शाहसूनो । शिवस्थैषा सुद्रा भद्राय राजते ॥

ROYAL SEAL OF SIVĀJĪ

'Dynamic like the new-born moon, adored by the universe, this Seal of Siva (son of Shāhii) is the beacon of stable prosperity ' The choice of this inscription for his Royal Seal by Sivāii is no less significant than his assumption of the title Chhatrapati 'Vikramāditya' was quite in the Hindu tradition, but Chhatrapati was more characteristic of Sivaii's ideal-He was not out for martial glory or imperial aggrandisement, but only anxious to protect Hindu Dharma and Society Love of country is patriotism, love of more country is imperıalısm ' Sıvāji's patrıotısm was not geographical but ethical. his imperialism was protective, not acquisitive or destructive He was not a Marāthā nationalist. if by this is implied anything parasitical His cause was the cause of Hindu civilisation and not merely the freedom of Mahārāstra Those who have concentrated on his acts of war and temporary objectives have missed the meaning of his Mission The true heart of Sivaji the man is revealed more by his submission to Rāmdās and Tukārām, and the adoration of his mother, than by his slaying of Afzal Khān or the sack of Surat जननी जन्म भूमिश्व स्वर्गोद्पि गरीयसि। Mother and the Motherland are more adorable than Heaven ' Sivājī loved the culture of his land (Hindu-rāṣtra) as much as his mother His mission was to fight for 'the ashes of his ancestors and the temples of his gods '

Though destiny had separated Sivājī from his father, their hearts were throbbing in unison. This was amply demonstrated by their community of action. Sivājī exerted

himself not merely for the release of his father from imprisonment, but also for the permanent release of his patrimony from the harassing domination of Mlecchas Shāhjī, as we have noticed, had grown under other circumstances, and his lot had been cast under masters whom his eldest surviving son heartily Particularly had his mind undergone a metamorphosis since his malicious arrest and imprisonment His release was more due to his own worth than to the capricious magnanimity of his masters Shāhjī had made himself indispensable to the 'Adılshāhī, he was the prop of the Karnātak His message to Kānhojī Jedhé, Āli 'Ādil Shāh's mandate to the same captain, Shāhjī's reply to the Dowager Oueen of Buapur when she complained about Sivaji's activities, Shāhjī's second arrest and immediate release thereafter,-all bear testimony to our reading of the situation Shāhjī as a Pioneer was working, though perhaps less consciously and deliberately than Sivājī, yet as importantly, for the common cause of Hindu-rāṣtra To secure his patrimony in Karnātak, therefore, was as necessary for Sivājī as his independence in the As soon as he had firmly established himself as sovereign over Mahārāstra, consequently, sīvājī turned his-For Karnātak was not a mere piece attention to Karnātak It was more valuable to Sivājī, as of territory but a heritage the new champion of Hindu freedom and civilisation, than was the conflexion of the attenuated Holy Roman Empire of Austria for Napoleon Bonaparte Marāthā Svarājya was the continuation of Vijayanagar Sāmrājya

In dealing with Śivājī's campaign in the Karnātak during 1677-78, which is the subject of the present chapter, it is necessary to be clear about its antecedents, as well as, its perspective Its military details are only of secondary interest. In the first place, it is to be remembered that Śivājī was following in the wake of his father Shāhjī and his half-brother Vyankojī. Both Shāhjī and Vyankojī were officers in 'Ādilshāhī service. The former, when he died in 1664, had left behind him a large number of scattered jāgīrs and estates out of which Bangalore was initially the most important, because that was for the

most part Shāhjī's head-quarters Sivājī's elder brother, Sambhājī, had died at Kanakgiri about nine years before his Śiyājī himself had left Bangalore while he was still a boy of twelve years Choosing an independent career for himself he had carved out a kingdom of which he was now sovereign master Vyankojī, his younger half-brother,1 had also built up for himself a principality at Tanjore (1675), but as a dependency of Bījāpūr² Šivājī needed no augmenting of either his resources or prestige by wanting a share in his patrimony, and Tanjore evidently had been no part of it But he certainly did want in the South a foothold by which he could overthrow for ever the power of the Muslims Vyankoji been like minded, his task might have been easier But unfortunately it was otherwise Already, as a loyal officer under Bījāpūr, he had fought against Sivājī during the latter's abortive alliance with the Mughals Obviously, for Sivaji the most natural thing to do, under the circumstances, was to ask for a share in Shāhjī's property which Vyankojī had been enjoying undivided since 1664 He had no designs against his brother, but only wanted a political lever in the Karnātak Since this could not be had for the asking, conflict was inevitable The logic of the situation demanded action

The first thing Sivājī attempted was negotiation 13 years you have enjoyed the undivided patrimony," he wrote "I waited in patience to Vyankoii Then in many ways I demanded my share But you would not even entertain the thought of yielding it Then it became necessary to take harsh measures It was not befitting my position and reputation to seize your person It is not good to promote internal discord, by so doing, of old, the Pandavas and Kauravas came to grief I again told you, through Sāmjī Nāiķ, Konhen Pant and Śivājī Śankar Let us make a division and take our respective shares and live with good-will towards each But you, like Duryodhana, intended evil and determined not to come to any agreement, but to fight "

This letter was actually written when the hostilities had started and Sivaji's forces had made considerable gains. But

its recapitulation of the peaceful negotiations is authentic and reveals the mind of Sivaji no less than that of his brother "Now, some places I have already taken," it continues, "others which are still in your hands, viz Arni, Bangalore, Kolār. Hoskote, and other minor places, and Tanjore should be handed over to our men, and of the cash, jewellery, elephants and horses, half should be given to me as my share will be wise to make such accommodation with me If you do so with a clear mind, I shall give you a jagir of 3 lakhs of hons in the district of Panhālā, this side of the Tungabhadra, to be held under me Or, if you do not like to hold a jägīr under me. I shall procure for you a jagir of 3 lakhs from Qutb Both alternatives I have suggested to you them you should consider and accept Do not leave it to be decided by obstinacy There is no reason why we should quarrel between ourselves and come to grief "3

The attitude of Vvankoji reflected in the above letter is also confirmed by foreign contemporary accounts Martin. for example, observes "Sivagy had some claim against Ecugy (Ekoji, 1 e Vyankoji), his brother by his father, with respect to his succession to the deceased Ecugy had in his possession one third of the land of Gingy which their common parent Sagimagro (Shāhjī Mahārāj) held on his part There were also his personal property and valuable effects Sivagy demanded his share of these goods He had written several times to Ecugy to come and meet him, and that they would settle the matter between them, the latter recoiled at last after having taken, according to his idea, all possible securities from his brother, by some oaths customary among them, but which were not inviolable to those who cared more for their interest Ecugy crossed the river Coleroon and than their religion The first conversations gave evidence of came to see Sivagy amity and tenderness only, then it came to the negotiation, when Ecugy discovered that his brother would not let him go unless he had satisfied him about his claims He also used his cunning, and while he offered friendly words he sought some means of withdrawing himself from such a bad strait

succeeded therein one night. He had a cattamaron kept ready for him on the banks of the Couleron under pretext of necessity, for he was watched. He approached the banks of the river, threw himself into the Cattamaron and crossed to the other side which was his country and where he had some troops. On receipt of the information given to Sivagy, he caused Ecugy's men who were in his camp to be arrested, among them was one Jagarnatpendit, a Bramen who commanded the troops of his brother, a man of courage and ability. The brothers did not meet again since, however, Sivagy took possession of a part of the lands of Gingy which belonged to Ecugy, but it would have cost him more if he had remained in the camp" 4

In the two accounts cited above, which substantially corroborate each other, we have a clear picture of the situation vis-a-vis the two brothers. To understand how the meeting of Sivājī and Vyankoji on the Coleroon (July 1677) came about, we must follow the earlier movements of Sivājī

Having convinced himself of the necessity of the Karnatak campaign, Sivājī set about it in a manner which will illustrate his strategy and statesmanship. He no longer moved like an adventurer as before. He carefully surveyed the situation both in the Deccan and in the Karnātak, matured his plans, chose his own time for action, and proceeded with it right goyally.

The Muslim powers of the Deccan were disunited and weak The Mughals had designs against both, which Aurangzehl realised by the end of that decade (1677-87) The 'Ādil-shāhī and the Qutbshāhī were extinguished respectively in 1686 and 1687 They were on their last legs when Sivājī was planning his Karnātak campaign Once they had acted together in the business of subjugating the South Then Bījāpūr was the semior partner, but now she had fallen on evil days. The Afghan and Abyssinian parties paralysed the kingdom by their quarrels. The leader of the former group, Bahlol Khān, seized all authority in the name of the boy-prince Sikandar (11 Nov. 1675) and murdered the old Regent, Khawāṣ Khān

(18 January 1676) <u>Khhizr Khān</u>, the right hand man of Bahlol, met with a similar fate, soon after, and the Mughals, taking advantage of this civil strife, opened a campaign against that helpless kingdom (31 May 1676)

It was on such a broken reed that Vyankojī was foolishly ielying when Sivājī demanded his share of their patrimony Instead of directly dealing with the situation and settling the matter in his own judgment, the pusillanimous Vyankoji referred it to his suzerain master, the king of Bījāpūr "I call myself a Bādshāhī officer," he plaintively wrote, "and enjoy this property in accordance with the Bādshāhī orders My elder brother demands a share of the patrimony, and I have answered that the property is in lieu of service. Why should I give him any share?" The reply of the Bādshāh is illuminating "We have learnt the purport of your letter Shāhjī Rājé served us faithfully, and the sanad was granted to him and his Śivājī now demands his share descendants Although a traitor, he is a Government servant, and we are quite able to demand explanation of him Why do you create family squbbles and bring trouble to the Government?' If we write that you should not give him his share he will create disturbances in our territories, and that is not good His father was our servant, and he will enjoy the ancestral property and serve us Although an enemy, if he demands his rights as a servant in a friendly manner, you should certainly surrender them is the senior owner of your patrimony" 5 Despite these accents of justice one cannot miss the more than lurking sense of embarrassment Sivājī too was well aware of this The astute Raghunāth Nārāyan Hanmanté, who had acute differences with Vyankoji on matters of State and had but recently left Tanjore, had passed through Bījāpūr and joined Šivājī 6 The result was a master-stroke of diplomacy Sivāiī bribed the Mughal viceroy, Bahādur Khān into inaction, through 'the highly intelligent' Nirājī Rāojī, and made alliance with Qutb The reason is naively stated by Sabhāsad thus Shāh

'The Rājé entertained in his heart the desire of conquering the Karnātak from the Tungabhadrā valley to the Kāverī

It would cause delay if only the army was sent for the conquest. So the Rājé decided to go in person. For accompanying him to Karnājak, the Rājé selected from the Royal cavalry (pāgā) regiments 25,000 horsemen, and he took with him the Sarkārkūn Raghunāth Nārāyan and Janārdhan Nārāyan (Hanmanté) who had local knowledge of Karnājak. The Rājé thought that the cash accumulated in the treasury should not be spent for that campaign. The money should be procured from new sources and the conquest should be effected through such means. Seeing that there was abundance of wealth in the Bādshāhī of Bhāgānagar, he decided to exploit it through friendly means.

Through Pralhād Nirājī he negotiated with Mādanna and Akanna—'the virtual sovereigns and real masters of the whole Bādshāhī' The outcome was the happy concurrence of the Qutb Shāh in the projected campaign But, as during the earlier Bījāpūr-Golkonda campaign, so too on the present occasion, Qutb Shāh was only a junior partner

Sıvājī started from Rājgad in January 1677 for Hyderā bad His troops numbered about 50,000 s They were unusually well appointed for the occasion, and were under very strict orders to behave themselves exceedingly well in the Qutbshāhī dominions Sivājī himself acted with the best diplomatic finesse and condescension So the Marathas were received by the Qutb Shāh with the utmost cordiality The exemplary conduct of the guests during their entire sojourn indicated their rigorous discipline under Sivaji The rough Mavalé soldiers, who were ferocious on the battlefields, gave a surprisingly good account of themselves under the civil restraints imposed upon them by their sovereign leader on this occasion. There was a unique display of grandeur on both sides, but the personal equation between Sivājī and Abul Hasan seemed to be somewhat like that between Nādīr Shāh and Muhammad Shāh at Delhi in 1739 The host in each case heaved a sigh of relief as the fearful guest quitted his dominions, after having dictated terms which the host could ill-afford to refuse It was all through vem, vidi, vici for the Marāṭhā Caesar

The terms of the 'secret treaty' have been thus summaris-"The Sultan was to pay Shivaji a subsidy of ed by Sarkar 3.000 hun a day, or four and a half lakhs of Rupees a month. and send 5,000 men (consisting of 1,000 horse and 4,000 foot) in charge of one of his generals (sar-i-lashkar), Mirza Muhammad Amin, to co-operate in the conquest of the Karntak train of artillery with material was also supplied by Outb Shah, and probably a large sum of money as advance payment of the promised subsidy In return for this aid, Shivaji promused his ally such parts of his conquests in the Karnatak as had not belonged to his father Shahii The defensive alliance against the Mughals was strengthened anew with solemn oaths taken by Shivaji in the presence of Qutb Shah, while the latter promised to pay his annual tribute of one lakh of hun regularly and to keep a Maratha ambassador at his court"9

Sıvājī tried further to strengthen himself by calling upon ımportant 'Adılshāhī sardārs like Mālojī Ghorpadé to join him. forgetting old family scores, in the name of Marāṭhā, or rather Deccani, freedom from the domination of the foreign Pathans In a letter of unique historical interest he points out that the 'Ādıl Shāh has fallen on bad days and the young Pādshāh has become a mere puppet in the hands of Bahlol Khān and his Pathan partisans They will destroy the families of the Deccam nobles one after another, he warns, they will not allow any one to live 'Considering this, we from the beginning had maintained good relations with the Qutb Shāh Qutb Shāh has agreed to the terms proposed by me and Whatever I proposed, he agreed to Mādanna Pant duties and responsibilities were entrusted to us that our Padshāhī should be made to flourish in the highest degree The Pathans should be destroyed and steps should be taken to keep the Pādshāhī of the Deccan in the hands of the Deccams

'After an agreement was reached on both sides, we also thought that all true Marāṭhās should be taken into the confederacy and introduced to the Qutb Shāh Considering the good of the Marāṭhās, I have driven out of my mind all the enmity of our elders. You should be free from suspicion. Bearing in

mind the good of the Marāṭhās, who are people of importance, and speaking in several ways to the Qutb Shāh, we have requested the King to send you a firmān' Finally, Śivājī appeals to the sentiments of the Ghorpadés, asks Mālojī to disabuse himself of all false considerations of loyalty to the 'Ādilshāhī of 'two generations,' and points to the usurpation of power by the Pathāns at Bījāpūr at all costs' 'You Marāṭhas,' he says 'are our kith and kin, and we should all join together and destroy the Paṭhāns at all costs' In return, tāgīrs worth double their 'Ādilshāhī estates are offered in the dominions of the Qutb Shāh 10

Before we proceed further with the narrative of Sivāji's movements in the Karnātak, it will be helpful to survey the conditions obtaining there at the time of this campaign hold of the 'Adılshāhī government in these regions was only nominal Such of the officers and commandants of forts as still held their appointments from Bijāpūr, with the singular exception of Vyankojī Bhoslé, were noted for neither their efficiency nor allegiance to superior authority. In fact, there was none at Bījāpūr at that time to command unified loyalty Hence the administration in the South was completely disorganised Conditions since the death of Shāhjī (1664) had become worse instead of better The land had been continuously ravaged by the armies of Bijāpur, the Nāyaks, and robbers, so much so that foreign observers (in 1676) remarked "This long series of wars has been followed by a general famine which ravages especially in the environs of Madura and Marava Everywhere only devastation and solitude and death are seen, a part of the inhabitants have succumbed to starvation, others have left their country to seek relief elsewhere Day by day, Ekoji, on the one hand, and the King of Mysore, on the other, will absorb the last debris of this kingdom, once so flourishing The conquest of it will be very easy, for the people will regard the enemy, whoever he may be, as their true

Another account, dated 16 November 1676, describing Negapatam states "There was much consternation and the

countries were continually being looted on account of differences and intestine wars between the Madurese, Tansiouwer, Theuver and Visiapore rulers — In the meantime, the prospects of trade and agriculture were absolutely ruined by all these troubles, and for many years these countries would not be flourishing again, especially because now the Visiapore commander-in-chief Mamoedachan and Cherechan Lody of Sinsier had also started a war against each other "12"

It was into such a distracted and devastated land that Śivājī and his Marāthā troops burst about May 1677. The Golkonda army, comprising no more than 5 000 horse and foot, could have counted for no more than camp-followers with the vastly superior forces of Śivājī. Hence, the alliance was merely nominal from the very beginning, but with it Śivājī could appear to be acting not only in his own interest. Yet, as the campaign advanced, it was more than apparent that the Marāthās would appropriate all

Leaving Golkonda in March, they were near Madras in the first week of May The historic fortress of Ginii was taken by the middle of the month Vellore was reached about the 23rd It was held by Abdullah Khan Habshi Being well fortified and provisioned Vellore took over fourteen months to capture (23 May 1677 to 21 August 1678) But Sivājī marched on, leaving the siege operations to Narahari Rudra Sabms, with 2,000 horse and 5,000 Mavalé infantry A great battle was fought at Tıruvadı on 26 June and the Bijāpui army under Sher Khan Lodi was put to flight The Khan was pursued, discovered lurking in a forest, and finally forced to surrender on 5 July From 6 July to 2 August 1677 Šivājī was encamped at Tirumalvadi on the Coleroon negotiating with his brother Vyankoji But his peaceful efforts had no better result than those of Humāyūn with Kāmrān Consequently Šivājī was obliged to fight

Martin's account of the meeting between the two brothers has already been cited. An entry in the Dutch Dagh-Register, dated 2 Oct 1677 states 'Siwagie is now with his army in the country of Mysoer, not far from the capitals of the princes

of Madure and Tansjour, from which places he threatens the whole of Visiapour People are of opinion that he will now make himself Master (of the country), for the Golconda authorities on the whole will not do other than what he wants but try to satisfy him only with pretty words. He had already a quarrel with his brother Egosia Rajia (the present ruler of the Province of Tansjour) over the estates left by their father Sahasy, so that he took possession of those lands for himself '18

The conduct of Vyankojī since he broke off the negotiations of Sivāiī is reflected in several letters of the time Sivāii's forces were engaged in the sieges of Arni and Vellore, states an English report, 'Eccogee is leagueing with the Naigues of Madure and Maysore and other woodmen, and likely to find Sevagee work enough '11 Likewise, Andre Friere, the Jesuit missionary at Madura also writes 'Ekoji profiting by this diversion to re-establish his affairs gathers his soldiers, crosses the river, and enters the territory of Gingi comes to give him battle at head of an army superior in number and commanded by clever and intrepid captains But Ekoji's men with great fury fell on the enemy like lions, broke their ranks, and spread carnage everywhere and turned the victory to their side But all on a sudden, art and stratagem snatched away the victory from blind courage a bloody combat of several hours, they are broken and they leave the battle-field and the honour of victory to Santogi, whose losses are, nevertheless, much more considerable than those of the conquered '15

We have confirmation of this information in Martin's account 'A great battle was fought,' he writes, 'on the 26th of this month (November, 1677) between the armies of Sivagy and Ecugy It was the latter who commenced it 'The melee was severe for the people of these parts many were killed and wounded, among those were some men of importance The two parties retreated and the loss was almost equal'16 Further details of this Pyrrhic victory are contained in a Madras report dated 29 Nov 1677 It states that 'Sevagees Lieutenant and brother Santogee left in Chengy and neigh-

匹

z,

4

K

R

bouring conquest was few days since engaged by the forces of their brother Eccogee from Tangiour, being 4,000 horse and 10,000 ffoot, his being 6,000 horse and 6,000 ffoot. The battle held from morning till night, in which Santogee was worsted and fled 3 quarters of one of those leagues, being pursued 3 of a league When being returned to their severall camps. Santogee, consulting with his captains what the importance and shame would be, resolved to dress and saddle their horses again, and so immediately rode away by other wayes, and' in the dead of the night surprised them fast at rest after soe hard labour, their horses unsaddled, and made a great slaughter of them, taking nigh 1000 horse in that manner, the 3 chiefe commanders, the tents and all their baggage, and 100° horse more taken by woodmen which fell to share the plunder. and the rest fled over the river Coalladon (Coleroon) for Tangiour, by which meanes Sevagee seemes to have gained a quiett possession for the present. Maduray Naygue refusing to meddle on either part'17 That the conduct of the Madura Nāyak was more pusillanimous than neutral is indicated by a Jesuit commentary 'While the two armies were fighting, the Nayak of Madura came with his troops against Ekoji (but) he did not know how to take advantage of it (and finally) the cowardly and imwasted his time there prudent Nayak lost his time and money and went to the citadel' of Trichinopoly to hide himself in disgrace's The Nayak was not a friend of Sivājī, but he was certainly an enemy of Ekon This is clear from the Jesuit records 'As I have told you in my last letter,' says one, 'the Nayak of Madura waspreparing for a war with Ekoji, the old captain of Idal Khan, now an independent master of Tanjore and a part of Gingi Meanwhile it was reported that Sabaji (Sivājī), the elder brother of Ekoji, in revolt against his sovereign for some time, had seized several provinces of Bisnagar (Vijayanagar) and advanced at the head of a strong army This news appeared incredible, how to believe that Sabaji could traverse a distance of several hundreds of leagues through (the country of) the warlike people of the Dekhan and Golconda to carry war

anto our country? While the probability of this rumour was argued about, Sabaji solved the question by falling like a thunderbolt on the citadel of Ginge, which he took at the first assault. He owed this easy success to the division which prevailed, and to the numerous communications which he had carefully conducted with the Muhammadans '19

In July 1677 an envoy from Madura had waited upon sīvājī 'Here came an Higyb from the Nague of Madure; to whom His Highness Sevagee Raja spoke that his master bore a signe of being worth 900 lacks, whereof he should give him for the present 100 lacks for his expenses, to which the said Higyb answered that part of his masters country the Nague of Misur had taken, and part Yekagee, wherefore ne was not able to give anything at present, and that if he would restore him back the said country, he will give seaven lacks These are the news at present here The Nague of Madure has sent all his family away to Madure from Chertanapelle (Trichanapallee) where they were before, and while the river of Colorun remaines full they feare nothing, but afterwards God knows what will be done '20

Though no Marāthā army of Śivājī invaded Madura, that unfortunate country could hardly escape the horrors of devastation by other agencies From a Jesuit letter (1678) we learn 'To make matters worse, the whole country has been devastated by a kind of deluge in the provinces of Satyamangalam, Trichinopoly, Tanjore and Gingi, the inundations have carried away whole villages with their inhabitants. This scourge of divine anger was soon followed by famine, pestilence, and at last brigandage which infests all the kingdom. The capital, once so flourishing, is no longer recognizable, its palaces, once so rich and majestic, are deserted and begin to fall into ruins, Madura resembles a town much less than a den of robbers '21

To return to Ekoji, an English record, dated 9 April 1678, notes 'By intelligence from the parts of Chengee we understand that by Sevagees order to his Generall, his Brother Santogee, and to his Braminies and Chief Officers, they have con-

cluded a firme peace with Eccogee, his Brother, and delivered back to Eccogee a good part of the country worth 2 lacks of Pardoes per Annum, which Sevagee had taken from him and Eccogee in lieu thereof had paid 3 lacks of Pardoes in ready money, and upon the confirmation of this agreement, Santogee had been feasted and nobly presented by Eccogee in his castic at Tanjoor, and after having received the third quarter of 6 Lack of Pardoes, which the Madura Naigue promised to pay Sevagee, of which there now remains but 1½ Lack behind to be paid Santogee with his Army returned to Chengee Castle, great part of which is very strongly rebuilt since Sevagee took it, and there is great store of Graine and all things necessary for a long siege allready laid in, and he has a good stock of many allsoe beforehand besides the Rent of the country he has taken, dayly coming '22

It is interesting to find corroboration of this from the Dutch sources 'The two last letters dated Nagapatam the 11th and 15th May,' states the Dagh Register, 'mention that the wandering robber Sewagie has at last made an alliance with his brother Egosie Ragia and the Madurese 'The said Egosie Ragia would keep in his possession the rich country of Tansjour and Suwagie would have to abandon it for three lacs of pardaux and he would then go to Veloure, for which he had already left with the whole of his army' Finally, 'The Ruler Egosie Ragia is now-a-days in peaceful possession of the countries of Tansjour, more by the prestige of his brother Sewagie Ragia than by his own strength This was the reason why the Neyek of Madura did not draw sword against him'²³

Vyankojī was brave and, as his battle with Santojī showed, possessed great martial qualities. He had stepped into the shoes of Shāhjī as the leading Bījāpūrī general in the Karnātak and made a mark by his conquest of Tanjore in 1675. Not only could he act with vigour, as occasion demanded, but also rule the conquered lands wisely and efficiently. As ruler of Tanjore "he sought to make himself beloved by the inhabitants. The justice and wisdom of his government began to close the wounds of the preceding reign," writes a Jesuit

observer, "and to develop the natural resources of the country By repairing the canals and tanks, he has given fertility to the vast fields which had been left unutilised for many years, and the last crop has surpassed all that was seen before."24 This is valuable testimony coming as it does from a foreigner and contemporary What he lacked was the vision of Sivajī could not even appreciate the mission of his great brother But the magnanimity and statesmanship of the Chhatrapati showed themselves, as ever before, in the hour of triumph. This is revealed by his treatment of Vyankojī in all stages of their conflict, as well as by the terms of the treaty between them According to Sabhāsad, Sivājī declared, "Vyankojī Rājé is my younger brother He has acted like a child But still he is my brother, protect him Do not ruin his kingdom"25 So he commanded his generals The terms of his treaty with Vyankoji are thus stated in the Siva Digvijaya Bakhar 26

- 1 The wicked, the thieves, drunkards, and haters of Hindus, etc., should not be allowed to stay within the kingdom. In case they are suffered to remain, they should be compelled to give security, and a strict watch must be kept over them, that they might do no harm
- 2 The *Mahal* of fort Ārm, conferred on Yādo Bhāskar by the late Mahārājā (Shāhjī), should not be disturbed He has eight sons who might render proper service
- 3 We have a sanad for jāgīrs from Bījāpūr Some of our estates were brought under their jurisdiction by treaty when we came from Daulatābād Many pāhgārs were also brought under our jurisdiction. There might be some excess or deficiency of revenues in our joint-holdings. We have to serve the Bījāpūr Government with a contingent of 5,000 horse But in the treaty concluded between us it has been settled that we shall not be called upon to serve in person, but only render military assistance whenever necessary. This was settled when our father was still alive. Hence, you shall not have to serve the Bījāpūr government personally. In case of your

failure, I shall exact from you the money required for military assistance

- 4 The Pātilkī, Deśmukhī and Nādgauda watans in the Deccan, viz, Hingané Beradi and Deulgāum, are our ancestral property. You will have nothing to do with them. I shall continue to manage them.
- 5 If people from my provinces go to yours, and your people come into mine, they should be amicably induced to return to their original provinces
- 6 The pargana of Bengrül yields today—with the neighbouring stations of Baskot and Silekot—a revenue of two lākhs Barai If they are brought under our administration, they might yield five lākhs These I had conferred on Chi Saubhāgyavatī Dīpā Bāi, for Choh-bāngdi These should be continued in the female line The mahals should be managed by you, but their revenue should be enjoyed by her on whom it might be conferred by Sau Dīpā Bāi
- 7 A Mahal yielding seven $l\bar{a}khs$ of hons out of my conquests near Gingi, I have granted as hereditary $m\bar{a}m$ to Chi $R\bar{a}je\hat{s}r\bar{i}$ Vyank $\bar{a}j\bar{i}$ R $\bar{a}j\hat{e}$ for $d\bar{u}dh$ - $bh\bar{a}t$ I shall send the sanads according to the list of mahals sent by you
- 8 I have written to *Chi* Bahirjī Rājé He will deliver to you what *mahals* you want He is a faithful ancestral servant A hereditary *mām* of villages yielding one *lākh Barai* in the province of Tanjore is conferred on you *Sanads* will be sent when you name the villages
- 9 If thieves from your province come into mine, I shall deliver them to you on demand, and if traitors from my provinces go to yours, you should do the same
- 10 You should continue the monthly allowance granted for the Mahārājā's (Shāhjī's) $sam\bar{a}dh$, including the band, horses, elephants, and $k\bar{a}rk\bar{u}ns$ that should be maintained there Do not allow any slackness in this respect
- 11 The privileges, etc, of the relations of the Royal family and the titled nobility should be preserved, and their status and order of precedence should be respected. No heavy duties should be assigned to them

- 12 The officers and commanders should be consulted on important matters. Only loyal and competent officers should be appointed to positions of trust. Promotions should be given strictly according to merit. Conflicts among State officials must be discouraged by all possible means.
- 13 The private suite of $R\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ Vyankojī should consist of good, loyal and upright servants who should give sureties for their good behaviour. All should be treated equally, there should be no favourites
- 14 Agents and Envoys should be maintained in all the neighbouring Courts, whether friendly or hostile Arrangements should be made for secret and prompt intelligence about changes
- 15 Both $p\bar{a}g\bar{a}$ and $sil\acute{e}d\bar{a}r$ cavalry divisions should be properly organised. Horses and men should be always in readiness. $sil\acute{e}d\bar{a}r$ forces should be converted into $p\bar{a}g\bar{a}$ as far as possible. Artillery and cavalry should both be ready in case of invasion.
- 16 Disputes among high and low concerning boundary rights, contracts, treaties, etc should be discouraged. The poor and needy should be succoured in difficulties, and saved from the oppression of the rich and powerful
- 17 Religious grants from the State, benefactions to temples and holy places, should be continued. On no account should they be violated
- 18 Suits relating to debtors and creditors, partitions and successions, inheritances, etc should be decided by specially constituted *Panchāyats* The administration of Civil Justice should be conducted in the best interests of the people, without corruption or bribery The State should consider itself the special guardian of the poor in matters of justice
- 19 Protection once offered, mere might has never been resorted to in the history of our family This tradition should be maintained in the future also

Obviously, this is not only a treaty—as treaties go—but also the *Political Testament* of Sivājī intended for the guidance of Vyankojī in his southern charge

The defeat of Vyankojī (16 Nov 1677) had been at the hands of Santojī Bhoslé and Hambīr Rāo Mohité who were provoked into action by Vyankojī himself sivājī had been obliged to leave the Karnātak earlier in November 1677 to defend his kingdom from the Mughals in the North The siege of Vellore was at that time still dragging on, it was successfully terminated on 21 August 1678 Sivājī, nevertheless, took Bangalore, Kolār, Serā etc., in the Mysore plateau, during his march northward Bankāpūr, Koppal, Gadag and Laxmeśvar, in western Karnātak, were also likewise occupied more or less easily Remarkable resistance was, however, offered by Malla Nāikini at Bhilavdi and she could not be subdued until 28 February 1678 Sivājī left part of his forces behind to complete his unfinished tasks, and himself reached Panhālā on 4 April He was back in Raigad before June 1678,-18 months after he had left for Golkonda

An English report, dated 16 January 1678, said 'With a success as Caesar's in Spain, he came, saw, and overcame, and reported so vast a treasure in gold, diamonds, emeralds, rubies and wrought coral, that have strengthened his arms with very able sinews to prosecute his further victorious designs '27 Sabhāsad estimates the territory annexed by Śivājī in the Karnātak as yielding an annual revenue of 20 lākhs of hons, and including a hundred forts, taken or built by Śivājī ²⁸ Another English record states that 'Śivājī by his deputies has a full and quiet possession of all these countries about those two castles of Jinji and Vellore, which are worth 22 lākhs of pardoes (or 550 thousand pounds sterling) per annum, in which he has a considerable force of men and horse, 72 strong hills and 14 'forts (in the plain),—being 60 leagues long and 40 broad' ²⁹

In the light of the above, Sir Jadunath Sarkar does not appear to be correct in his estimation of Sivajīs Karnāṭak campaign. In the 1st edition of his Shivaji and His Times, he held the view that "It is incredible that a born strategist like Shivaji could have really intended to annex permanently a territory on the Madras coast, which was separated from his

own dominions by two powerful and potentially hostile States like Bijapur and Golconda, and more than 700 miles distant from his capital His aim was merely to squeeze the country of its accumulated wealth and return home with the booty The partition of his father's heritage was only a plea adopted to give a show of legality to this campaign of plunder " " Though he has omitted this statement from the latest edition of his work, the latter part of the aim of Sivaji as understood by Sarkar, still finds elaborate argument. According to him. Sivājī wanted to replenish his treasury which was depleted by the extravagance of his coronation and military expenditure All other avenues having been exhausted, he turned to Karnātak,—"this real land of gold" It seems to us, however that Sarkar's description of this El Dorado is both unreal and Karnātak might have been both historically and potentially rich in the time of "Samudra Gupta and the Western Chalukyas, Malik Kafur and Mir Jumla" It might have had at the end of the 17th century "still enough wealth left in it to tempt the cupidity of Aurangaib " -1 But what is strictly relevant to our context is whether Karnajak was a land flowing with milk and honey at the moment when Sivaji contemplated and actually carried out his invasion temporary European descriptions tell a different story, as we have already witnessed He himself states "It is very doubtful whether Shivaji would, of himself, have cared to assert his right to his father's Karnatak territory He certainly did not As he rightly said on his death-bed, 'I received need 1t [from my father] the Puna territory worth only 40,000 hun, but I have won a kingdom yielding one krore of hun' (Sabhasad 104) " - Further, he also observes "Over the Karnatak plains thus conquered, he at first placed Shantaji, a natural son of Shahji, as viceroy with Jinji for his head-quarters, assisted by Raghunath Narayan Hanumanté as diplomatic adviser and auditor (majmuadar) and Hambir Rao as commander of the army of occupation The table-land of Mysore was placed under Rango Narayan as viceroy, but subject to the higher jurisdiction of Jinji "93 Lastly, he states that

when the Marāthā aimy under Hambir Rao was withdrawn, Raghunāth Pant organised in Karnātak a "local force" of 10,000 horse (both pāgā and śilédār) "for the defence of the new province" 31 In the face of these admissions we cannot accept Sarkar's categorical assertion "But gold, and not land, was his (Śivājī's) chief object" 33

Sīvājī improved the fortifications of the country he conquered, appointed officers for its administration, left definite instructions as to the policies to be followed, and made every effort to conciliate the people and foster their trade and industry His dealings with the Dutch, the French and the English during this campaign are illustrative of his attitude On 31st July 1677 the Chief of the Dutch factory at Tegenapatam (Cuddalore) waited upon Śivājī, at Tundumgurti, with rich presents-silks, spices, Maldiv cocoanuts, sword blades, etc Śivājī was pleased with the gifts and sent the Dutchman away with a robe of honour On 2 October the same year the Dutch noted 'in all these matters the said Siwasi conducted himself in a very polite and friendly manner toward the Company as also our residents in Golconda Later he promised to our refrresentative in Tegenapatam to promote the trade of our Company in all possible ways which is also shown by the grant of same couls' 36

In June 1677, according to the French Governor of Pordicherry (Francois Martin), their Brāhman envoy had no less than three interviews with Sivājī · 'Sevagy assured our envoy that we might stay in complete security at Pandichery without taking the side of either party, that if we offered the least insult to his people there would be no quarter for us or for those of our people who were in the factory at Rajapour, that he would send an avaldar in a few days to govern Pondichery and that we might have to live with him in the same manner as we had done with the officers of Chircam' 17

From the English records we obtain several interesting details. On 9 May 1677, for instance, they noted 'Sevagee (or be it his Soun) being entertained in the King of Golcondas service, and now upon his march to fall upon Chengy with an

army of 20 Mille horse and 40 Mille foot, the van whereof (being about 5 Mille Horse) already past Tripatty and Calastry 9 and 8 leagues Gentu from hence, and this night expected at Cangiawaram (anchivaram) about 4 leagues Gentu hence, a distance which it is very usuall for his Horse to march in a nights time '38 Shivājī repeatedly asks for supplies of 'Maldivo cokanutts, cordiale stones and some other precious roots,"

assuring us of his friendship and offering the price for them' The English complied with his request and ' for the service of the Honourable Company' sent 'unto him by our Camp Bramany Ramana with a civill letter as in the Golconda Register, not requiring the money but making a present of them, his power encreasing and he exercising so much authority in the King of Golcondas country, that he sends all about to receive the Kings rents by his own people, and punishing the Avaldars and great men of the country at his pleasure 'an Sir William Langhorne, writing in a very 'civil' tone to Sivājī, declared 'Wee entreat you accept of the affectionate respects wherewith wee make present of them to your Highness, and as the settlements which our Hon'ble Employers have already in your dominions obliges us to wish you all desirable prosperity, so the great honour your noble achievements acquires you from all men who shall attame to a right understanding of them, not only wins our reasons but our inclinations also, and wee do so highly prize the opportunitys of doing you such services as fall within the narrow compass of a strangers power that wee account it as an instance of your kindness that you are pleased to import your mind, which wee receive with all the resentments of a passion that must ever be pressing ourselves 30-My Lord your Highnesses most humble, most obedient servant, W L' How, despite these gushing civilities, the English really comported with Sivājī, will be noticed in the next chapter while, President Langhorne of Fort St George, again wrote on 17 February 1678 'We are now to acquaint you that Sevagee, grown great and famous by his many conquests and pillageings of the Moghulis and Visapour countrys, is at length come hither with an army of 16 in 20 M (16 to 20 thousand) horse and

severall thousand of foot, raised and raising among the woods. being unfortunately called in by the King of Golconda or Madanna to help them to take Chengy, Vealour and Pamangoda (Pelgonda), the remainder of the sea part of the Cornatt country as farr as Porto Novo, out of the Visiapours hands, with title of Generalissimo, by which means he has gotten in a manner the possession of this country, the said King having no torce to oppose him We have twice presented him with some rarities of counter poysons, etc., by him desired, to the value of pagodas 112 Ind in order the begetting a fair correspondence with him now at first, if possible, grounding it upon the introduction of those settlements you have already in his country's at Rajapore and Carwar, the former whereof was very Of the latter we have yet no news from our Bramany who attends his motion, but more particularly upon the King of Golcondas Meirza Mahmud Omin and our loving friend, who has some 1000 horse and 4000 foot along with him '41

The entire situation in the Karnātak changed with the entry of Shivājī therein Shāhjī's scattered jāgīrs and the principality of Tanjore were now linked up with \$ivaji's do-They attained a new significance in the history of the peninsula and became part of the new order that was emerging out of the chaos of the dark age which had intervened between the fall of Vıjayanagar and the rise of the Marāthā Vyankoji had conserved his patrimony from Shāhji, but Sivājī consolidated it and gave it a new orientation The Chhatrapati was no mere Jason in search of the golden fleece, but the conservator of the greater and larger Patrimony of Hindu civilisation "The transactions of Sivaji in the Carnatic," writes Dr S K Aiyangar, "and his dealings with his half-brother Venkajı (or Ekojı) seem capable of an interpretation, once it is realized that Sivaji may have cherished the ambition to stand before his great enemy, the Moghul, as the acknowledged representative of the empire of Vijayanagar recently become extinct. The existence of the grant of Sivaji to thetwo sons of Sriranga, though the document is not quite above

suspicion, and the issue of the coinage of which one specimen at any rate, on the model of Vijavanagar, has been recently discovered, are indications in support of what some of the Mahtatta documents do record in respect of this particular idea of Siyaji Shahji had acquired as his jaghir in the Carnatic territory, which could favourably compare with that of any other South Indian viceroy under Hindu rule After the acquisition of Tanjore, Venkaji was actually in occupation of the territory of the Navaks of Taniore and of Gingi with a considerable portion of Mysore in addition Madura was already decrepit and must have seemed to Sivaji capable of being brought under his imperial protection. Ikken was probably inclined to support him against Mysore Mysore was perhaps the one State that was likely to prove troublesome cherished such an idea, it cannot have been regarded impracticable in 1677, and all his efforts to bring his brother to reason need not necessarily have been the result of greed details of the transaction taken together seem to indicate a clearly higher motive, and that may well have been the ambition to stand before Aurangzib as the acknowledged successor of the emperors of Vijayanagar'42

This opinion, though speculative in character, deserves special attention as coming from the Doyen of South Indian scholars who has devoted his life-time to the study of Vijayanagar history. Even though Sivājī's grant to the two sons of Srīranga, according to him, may not be 'quite above suspicion,' his main thesis is not thereby affected. If the grant should prove spurious, in its available form, its fabrication itself will serve to indicate that the scions of the last imperial family of Vijayanagar considered Sivājī great enough to receive such a compliment. The Marāthā Chhatrapati must have appeared to them as the only protector of their honour and patrimony. This in itself constitutes the best commentary on what Sivājī attempted to do for Hindu India through his Karnātak conquests.

CHAPTER EIGHT

THE SEA FRONT

'All the way, as he goes along, he gives his qaul (assurance) promising them that neither he nor his soldiers shall in the least do any wrong to anybody that takes his qaul, which promise he hitherto hath kept'—Gyfford to Surat (24 May 1663) 1

Few Indian rulers have bestowed as much attention on the sea as Śivājī did Situated as his new and growing State was, its western fringe was of the utmost importance, and could not be neglected for long Though there was no major enemy as yet on the coast, its potentialities for good and evil were great as well as vital With the keen vision and foresight that he possessed, the activities of the Siddis as well as the Europeans (Portuguese, Dutch, French and English) were shrewdly noted by him Despite its importance and value, neither Bijāpur nor the Mughals had bestowed on the Konkan the attention it deserved. They marked the earth with ruin, but their control stopped with the shore As Muslim rulers, they were indeed anxious to protect the pilgrim traffic to Mecca, but otherwise, their interest was confined to importing Arab horses and maintaining a few private ships for personal profit Their governments as such maintained no fleets worth speaking, either for commerce or for defence, though Surat, Cambay, Broach, Bombay, Vingurla, Goa, Karwar, etc attracted the maritime Śivājī appreciated the advantages better and' foreigners 2 decided to 'harness the sea'

His first task was to eradicate the Siddis, who were not only like 'mice in the house,' a nuisance, but also a plague. They were nominally under Bijāpūr, but actually their own masters. They pretended to pay homage to the 'Ādil Shāh or the Mughal Emperor as it suited their convenience, but the sovereign was more dependent than the vassal so far as de facto power

on the coast was concerned Janjirā was their stronghold and the Gibraltar of the Muslims. For Śivājī it was a thorn in the side of his kingdom, a menace to his western defences, and a source of perpetual irritation. His determination to subjugate or oust the Siddis from their position of vantage is reflected in Oxenden's report of his negotiations at Rāigad.

'I took (according to your Honours order),' he wrote to his superiors, 'occasion to discourse with him (1 e Nirājī Pandit) concerning the concluding of a peace betwint the Rajah (Sivājī) and the Siddy of Danda Rajapore urging those arguments enordered in my instructions and likewise those communicated me in private by his Honour, but all were not prevalent enough to persuade him, it was not his Masters interest to prosecute that siege (of Janjira) so near a conclution for the Rajah without doubt will have Danda either this raines or next monsoon, intending to make an assault on it speedily after his coronation, to which effect he hath enordered his best souldiers to get themselves in readyness, and hath already sent 15 pieces ordinance more to strengthen and renew the battary He hath offered the Siddy, upon delivery of the castle, what Monsup (Mansab or rank) he shall desire, upon refusall whereof he must expect the miserys that attend warr and so severe an enemy as Sevagee Rajah who, Naragee Punditt reports, vallues not the assistance the Mogulis fleete gives him nor the damage it will do his country in the future'

The struggle for supremacy in the Konkan, however, must not be considered as a mere duel between Śivājī and the Siddis It was part of Śivājī's programme to wrest his land from the domination of the foreigners it was equally necessary for him to subjugate the Hindu chiefs and rājās who had either remained vassals to Bījāpūr or asserted their feudal independence. In the larger interest of his cause he could not leave their precarious position to be exploited by either Bījāpūr, the Siddis, or the Europeans. The Mughal Emperor was equally anxious to frustrate his ambitions—as much in the Konkan as on the main land. The Marāthā struggle on the Sea Front therefore had many facets.

We have witnessed Sivaji's relations with Lakham Savant of Kudal, in an earlier chapter, as also his expeditions on the west coast 6 Since his occupation of Kalyān—Bhiwandī in October 1657, he had also taken Dandā in November 1659 and Rājāpūr in March 1661 These activities were a source of embarrassment alike to the Bijāpūr authorities and the European traders A Portuguese letter dated 16 August 1659 observes 'The son of Captain Xagi (Shāhjī) who has left Kin, Idalxa ('Ādıl Shāh), has taken over the lands near Bassein and Chaul, is getting very powerful and forces us to be careful as he has built a navy in Bhiwandi, Kalyan and Panyel, ports in the district of Bassein We have ordered our Captain not to allow him to put the vessels to sea, in order to embarass his going out '7 Another English record, five years later, states 'Deccan and all the south coasts are all embroiled in civil wars, King against King and country against country, and Sivaji reigns victoriously and uncontrolled, that he is a terror to all and princes round about. daily increasing in the Kings strength's Ten more years elapsed and John Fryer observed that 'Seva Gi is reckoned also as a diseased Limb of Duccan, impostumated and swoln too big for the Body, in some respects benefiting, in others discommoding it, beneficial by opposing the Mogul's entry into the Kingdom, but prejudicial in being his own Paymaster, rewarding himself most unconscionably, all Conchon the Sea-Coasts, 250 Leagues, that is, from Balsoie Hills to the River Gangole (Gangavaly), where neither is he limited in his extravagant Desires, expecting only opportunity Inland he hath not much, the Goat (the to gain further western Ghat range) seeming to be a Natural Line of Circumvallation to the Up Country, where it is Campaign, though below Hilly, so that ascend to it by Mountains piled on one another, over which Seva Gi hath total Dominion, the Deccanees not striving to retake anything, for all he heth blocked up their Ports, which may prejudice them for the future, an irreparable Damage (Arab Steeds being the Life of their Cavalry), they having only Porto Novo beyond Tutticaree left them free ' 8A

These European notices of Sivaii's activities and growing importance on the Konkan and Kanara coasts cover the period of about twenty years from his conquest of Kalyan (1557) to his great Kārnātak campaign in 1677-78 During these two decades, it is to be recollected. Sivaji had achieved many momentous things outside the Konkan He had overthrown Afzal Khān and Shā'ısta Khān, he had raided Surat, fought with the Muchals under Jai Singh and Dilir Khan, accepted their terms at Purandar, gone to Agra and miraculously effected his escape therefrom, raided Surat again, defeated Mughal officers at Dindori, got himself crowned at Raigad, and triumphantly marched through Golkonda and Bijāpūri Karnātak This was a record more impressive than that of Raghu as described by Kālidāsa in his Raghuvamša, more glorious than that of Samudragupta He had baffled the Mughal Emperor and humbled Bījāpūr Now it appeared that he had only to round off the conquests by the consolidation of the Konkan coast This is the significance of Sivaji's doings on the west coast

His two raids on Surat revealed to him the weakness of the Emperor in that region His conquest of Kalyan and the Karnātak equally well demonstrated the helplessness of the 'Adılshāhī government Bījāpūr authority had long been dwindling everywhere in its dominions That the western region was no exception to this growing paralysis was soon evident to Sivājī The Siddis on the one side and the Marathas on the other, while being inimical towards each other, proved equally fatal to Bījāpūr authority The Desais of Kudal and the minor rājās of Sundā and Bidnūr were lesser fry who by their own quarrels and ambitions made matters worse for their overlord the 'Adıl Shah Sıvajı was as ready to fish in these troubled waters as anybody else on the coast Tempted by these opportunities he raided the Kanara coast as far south as Basrūro and as much into the interior as Bidnūr,10 Sundā¹¹ and Hubli 12 This inevitably brought him into clash with various rivals and enemies whose varying results we are to assess in this chapter

Many details relating to this phase of Marāthā history

are subjects of controversy, but we shall illustrate the situation with a few salient examples

Sivājī raided Basrūr early in 1665 It was then a port belonging to the răjā of Bidnūr who was a vassal of Bījāpūr While returning north along the coast after this expedition, Khawās Khan, the Bījāpūrī general, encountered him and attempted to block his path. Earlier Siyājī had occupied Dandā-Rājāpūr and Kharepatan, he had destroyed Vingurlā and built the stronghold of Sindhudurg 13 At the approach of the Marāthā "all the Muhammadan governors as far as Sanquelim and Bicholin were fled," says an English record 11 Alarmed by these happenings the Bijāpūr authorities tried to mobilise their forces The governor of Phonda, the Desāi of Kudal, and Khawas Khan were among those ordered to rally Sivaii kept his gains none the less Khawās Khān was defeated and put to flight over the Ghāts Bājī Ghorpadé who was on his way to join the Khan, together with a division of 1,500 horse, was cut down in this connexion and Mudhol was destroyed in a punitive raid15

'At Kudal in the Konkan lived a rebel named Lakham Savant Desai with 12,000 haśam,' writes Sabhasad ' Kudal was under the 'Adılshāhī He sent word to Bijāpūr that, assembling an army of horse, foot and militia, he was going to march against Śivājī to recover Konkan To this effect he Thereupon, from Bijāpūr, Khawās sent a verbal message Khān, c-ın-c, a great warrıor, came to Kudar with 10,000 horsemen Lakham Sāvant joined him with 12,000 haśam and went on reconquering Konkan In the meantime, the Rajé got the information, and selecting the army and militia, marched straight on them Bājī Ghorpadé, who was coming from Bījāpur with 1,500 horsemen to help Khawas Khan, descended from the Ghats and halted Thereupon the Rājé sent an army against him and by a surprise attack utterly destroyed Bājī Ghorpadé with his personal troops and 1,200 horses were cap-A great battle was fought Learning this news, Khawāş Khān was struck with terror and fled over the Ghāts and went straight to Bījāpūr' 16 The Jedhé Šakāvalī gives $K\bar{a}r$ - ' tīka, krodhm, 1586 šaka (10 October--7 Nov 1664) as the date of this event Sarjé Rão Jedhé is said to have fought valuantly in the action

The defeat of the Desāi at the hands of Śivājī is attributed by the Dutch to the want of powder and the absence of <u>Khawās Khān 17</u> 'After Chaveschan had courageously beaten Sivasi on a plain with a small army consisting of 2000 horsemen and as many foot soldiers, Sivasi again rallied his army, divided it into three or four squadrons, and marched against that Lord in a very good order. A sharp fire of rockets was first opened on both sides [Śivājī met with stiff resistance at first] Still, after a good deal of skirmishing and firing of muskets, he caused them (Lakham Sāvant's men) to waver. The main causes of this defeat were the want of powder and the absence of Lord Chaveschan' 18

The treaty of Purander (12 June 1665) allowed the Marāthās a free hand in Bījāpūrī Konkan, while Śivājī was an active ally of the Mughals in their campaign against the 'Ādil Shāh The death of the Bījāpūrī general Bahlol Khān,¹º in July 1665, was a great blow to that unfortunate kingdom The English factory letter from Kārwār to Surat dated 29 Aug 1665 verily notes, "The affairs of the royal drunkard at Bijapur passed from bad to worse" 20 The absence of Śivājī from the Deccan during his visit to Āgrā and his policy of peace for some time thereafter provided a short respite But troubles again gathered, especially after the death of Ali 'Ādil Shāh on 24th Nov 1672 We have vivid glimpses of these in the contemporary English records

On 17 February 1673 Kārwār wrote to Surat 'We have been in double feare here, what with the Dutch on the one side and the Rajah of Cannarah and Sundas forces on the other, but wee hope in God now shall suddenly heare of a peace which may secure us from the one, and the arrivall of some forces from Vizapore here wee hope will secure us from the other. The Rajah of Connarahs forces hath taken. Mirjee Castle and are retired back to their owne country againe, and the Rajah of Sundas forces now lye in seize of Anchola Castle.

Muzaffer Ckaun, the Lord of this Country, is likewise sent out of Vizapore against the Rajah of Caunarah to chastise both the Rajahs for invading his towns (At the same time internal trouble had arisen within Bednur owing to a quarrel between the Pepper Queen and her quandom favourite Timmann) Tymmana and the Rauna of Cannara hath ben at warrs for this three monthes, he being the chiefe man in that country and of a very mean parentage did insult too much over all people, but more especially the Bramins, which they could not brooke, so that this warr was begunn by their instigation '21

Sivājī was too ready to exploit such a situation and we read in a letter of 31 October 1673 'Wee suppose Sevagees Army will not trouble your parts for some tyme, for wee have certaine intelligence that himselfe in person with his army of 15,000 men is gone to Sunda, a Castle near Goa, to take it from the Vizapore King, and alsoe to attempt the conquest of the Carnatick Country, where they are fallen into Civili warr amongst themselves, and the late Rajah's wife hath called in Sevagee to her assistance and promised him a great treasure' 22

We do not know what exactly transpired at Bidnur, but according to Chitnis, the Rānī agreed to pay an annual tribute and to admit a Marāthā Resident at her Couit 23 Though Sarkar holds that Bidnur "did not really become a Maratha protectorate," 24 we have clear testimony to the contrary in an English letter dated 24 Aug 1676 which unequivo-'Sevagee by his Power and Sovereignity in cally declares those parts may bring the Sunda Rajah to a good accomdation with us, obliging to lett our goods passe without molestation in the future '25 The Dutch were obliged to place their factories in Kanara (Chandāvar, Vingurlā, etc.) under the command of their General of Malabar "on account of the disturbances caused by Sıvajı's ınroads" 26 Not only the coastal places but also the uplands had their trade upset Hubli was raided in 1664-5 as well as in May 1673 After the latter loot 'As for his last by Pratāp Rāo, the English remonstrated act Hubely you may tell him we have a better opinion of

him than to think it was done by his order '27 He answered, "I never gave any orders to disturb the English in any way of their factories, but have ever had a good liking or opinion of them" He also warned them as a friend 'that we trade so little as we can into Deccan, because he is determined to make a sharp war there as soon as the rains are over '28 We shall discuss \$ivājī's relations with the English more fully later. Meanwhile we should recount his activities in the Konkarı leading to his conflict with the Siddis and the Portuguese Bījāpūr was too much paralysed by internal squabbles. The overthrow of Khawāṣ Khān and his supporters in November 1675 was but a symptom 29

On 8 April 1675 Sivājī commenced his siege of Phonda in Kudal territory Though its governor, Muhammad Khān had provisions to last him for four months, and the garrison was secretly helped by the Portuguese from Goa, the fort capitulated ın less than four weeks (6 May) Muhammad Khān saved himself and some of his men by promising to assist Siyājī in the acquisition of the neighbouring districts 30 In a short time Ankola, Sıvesvar, Kārwār and Kadra, came into Sivāji's hands By 25 May, the whole of Bijāpūrī Kanara, down to the Gangāvatī river, was conquered A Kārwār letter declares. 'Sevagee hath made a thorough conquest of the country hereabouts He is master of all as far as Anchola,' 31 Anothei from Rājāpūr, dated 31 May, states 'Sevagee Rajah hath now taken all belonging to the King of Veesapore in Cunkron'32 (Kon-But the major operations of Sivājī were directed against the Siddi stronghold of Janjirā Epic in its interest, nevertheless, this Trojan adventure of the Marāthās miscarried Despite his prolonged and pertinacious efforts Sivājī was destined to die without accomplishing this his greatest ambition on the sea front

"We cannot but admire," writes Dr Bal Krishna, "the spirited and determined defiance exhibited by the Siddis in the long struggle which lasted for about a quarter of a century

It is indeed strange that the one who had swallowed a large part of the Bijapur Kingdom, who had made the Gol-

1

konda King his tributary, and who had shaken the foundations of the Mogul Empire, should have been baffled in capturing the castle of Janjira after so many heroic efforts. All his brilliant victories seem to be eclipsed by this signal failure of his life. The causes of this life-long disappointment are to be traced to his inferior navy and artillery. His light vessels could never break through the cordon of big battleships placed all round the castle, nor stand the heavy fire of more than 300 cannon with which the towers and bastions of Janjira bristled" 33 It is well also to note that C. V. Vaidya, an enthusiastic panegyrist of Śivājī, equally generously observes that the Siddi of Janjirā "must be given the credit of obstinately maintaining his position and his small State against the continuous effort of Shivaji to subdue or destroy him" 34

We have already noted that Janura was of great importance to Sivājī as well as the Muslims Opposite that islandfortress were Dandā and Rājāpūr both of which Sīvāji had occupied between 1659--61 Janjırā was only half-a-mile out across the sea The Marāthās, with their position of vantage on the coast, could cut off the Siddis' communications with Bijāpūr, but the latter would retaliate by ravaging the Konkan Raghunāth Ballāl Kordé, says Sabhāsad.35 had wrested the coast from the Siddis, but after his death, the conduct of the Habshis underwent a change Then the Rājé sent the celebrated Vyankoji Datto, who devastated and annexed the land of He came after inspiring such terror that the Siddis opened negotiations for peace But the Rajé did not accept the terms but remained in the Siddi's country and strengthened himself by the erection of new forts at various places Siddis had to obtain provisions from other lands in order to 'On that account the Rājé fitted out ships in the sea' He also fortified some submarine rocks and built strongholds in the sea 'Uniting ships with forts, the Rājé saddled the sea ' 36

Building ghurabs, tarandes, tarus, galvats, sibads and pagars, he appointed two Sūbādārs (a Muslim Daryā Sārang and a Bhandārī Māi Nāyak), constituting a sūbā of 200 ships.

In this manner was the navy equipped' The Rājé's ships then began to plunder the cities and forts belonging to the Mughals and the Firangis They fought at various places and obtained grains and other provisions 'In this manner 700 ships were out in the sea '37 Not all of these ships were intended to fight the Habshis, the Firangis, or the pirates Some of them sailed as far as Mocha in western Arabia, loading them at Jaitapūr (2 miles up the Rājāpūr river) "with goods of considerable value" On 12 March 1665, the English factors noted that from each of the 8 or 9 'most considerable ports in the Deccan' seized by Śivājī, there 'set out 2 or 3 or more trading vessels yearly to Persia, Basra, Mocha, etc' Later, in April 1669, they observed several of his rice-boats being destroyed by a storm, off Kārwār,—"one of the ships being very richly laden" 38

In the same year, Śivājī renewed his attack on Janjirā with great vigour but failed In 1671 the Siddis even recovered Dandā fort by the bold coup of their captain Qāsim Śivājī tried to secure English assistance, but the Surat authorities advised their factors "not to positively promise him the grenadoes, mortar pieces, and ammunition he desires, nor to absolutely deny him, in regard we do not think it convenient to help him against Dunda, which place, if it were in his possession, would prove a great annoyance to Bombay"39

Aurangzeb, on the contrary, sent a fleet of 36 vessels, great and small, (towards the close of 1672) from Surat to help the Siddi. These ships perpetrated great havoc in the Marāthā ports of Dābol, Kelshi, etc., and destroyed above 500 of their vessels. The French supplied some ammunition to Sivājī in August following, 40 while the Dutch proffered 22 ships if Sivājī would help them conquer Bombay from the English Sivājī, however, declined the assistance on the terms demanded by the Dutch 41

The Mughal fleet returned in May 1673 and continued its work of destruction until October But in March 1674 there was a swing in favour of Śivājī, though in the naval battle of Satavli the admirals of both sides (Siddi Sambal and Daulat

Khān) were wounded The Siddis lost 100 men against 44 of the Marāthās The Siddis then retreated to Harīśvar, 21 miles south of Janjirā Śivājī followed up this victory by reducing the whole of South Konkan from Rājpūr to Bārdés Duing the next two years (1675-77) he was engaged in delivering his final assault on Janjirā itself

In August 1676, 10,000 reinforcements were sent under Moro Pant Pésvā, but the heroic effort was frustrated in December Desultory attacks on either side continued to the very end of Sivājī's life, but the conquest of Jangirā remained an unfulfilled aspiration All that the Marāthās could do was to occupy Khanderi (Kennery) island, 30 miles N of Janjirā and 11 ms S of Bombay, as a consolation prize and hold it against the combined attacks of the Siddis and the English

The part played by the Europeans-particularly the Porstruggle for and the English—in this supre-Konkan needs closer examination The the macy in French were as yet timid and the Dutch ineffective despite their hatred of both the English and the Portuguese not to be forgotten that their very position and interests made the Europeans play a double game Duplicity was the very breath of their nostrils, and diplomatic negotiations were in-Protestations of friendtended to cut both ways if possible ship for political or commercial reasons, therefore, under such circumstances, lacked even the passing emotional honesty of lovers' pledges

Antonio de Mello de Castro, the new Portuguese Viceroy, took office on 16 December 1662 Sivājī was then already at war with Shā'istā Khān On 26 April 1663 de Castro wrote to Sivājī "I send to the North a nobleman of such authority and experience that he can arrange with your Highness all that is practicable and convenient to both of us However, it will be with great secrecy, because in this consist the good results which I desire for Your Highness, not only on account of your brave acts but also for the good friendship which the Portuguese will find in Your Highness — And I hope that from the present struggle Your Highness will come out victorious and

that from the fame of your victories the terror in your antagonists will increase '42 Following this, on 5 May 1663, he ordered his Captain General of the North Dom Alvaro de Ataide) "not to allow any foodstuffs or provender to go to the people of the Mughal Emperor" It would be expedient, he said, "to prevent with all dissimulation that any kind of provision should go to the camp of the Mughal in order that for want of it he would leave this neighbourhood, and thus Shivaji would have a chance of being able to accomplish his intentions of injuring the enemy who, as he is so powerful, would be better far away and not such a close neighbour' 42

This, however, did not prevent de Castro from writing to Rājā Jai Singh, on 31 March 1665, "It pleases me very much to have so near such a good neighbour Between our King, my Lord, and the King Sultan Aurangzib exists peace and friendship which has lasted for several years From these lands was never given help or favour to Shivaji I hereby send orders to the North that they should not give Shivan any kind of favour nor admit any of his people into our lands, and the same will be done from this side" 44 Only eighteen days later, the same de Castro again advised his Chief Captain of the North (Ignacio Sarmento de Carvalho), "The affairs of the Mughals which give so much anxiety are, however, worthy of great consideration, and thus it is meet we deal with them with great prudence, so that we neither give them occasion to break with us, nor should we show them that we doubt them, and, because all their complaint is born of their imagination that we show favour to Shwan, you should order that nothing should be done from which they could have this suspicion However, if without this risk you could secretly give any aid with munitions and foodstuffs to Shivaji you should do it for money, because it is not desirable that if he is driven from his lands, the Mughal should remain the lord of them this should be done with such great caution that never should he be able to guess, much less verify it" Further, "To Shivaji you will write how much better it is for him and for us that his retreat, in case it should be necessary to do so, should not

be Chaul, but rather to Goa, where he would be more safe, and we would not have to break with the Mughal, and in this way we would be able to be intermediary in any conference when fortune changes the state of things. Also emphasise that he would obtain the greatest safety in this island of Goa, which he could not have in Chaul, and thus he should be persuaded that it is best for him, and we should save ourselves as far as possible for us to do so "45"

On the top of all this, de Castro felt obliged, in August 1665, to direct his Vicar of Bassein (Fr Dāoi ma Vicira) to wait upon Rājā Jai Singh and to congratulate him on his victory over Sivājī saying "I took from him all the transport ships which the Mahratta Shivaji had carried off on the pretence he was coming to my land, thus preventing that he should provision the fortresses so that he could resist for a long time; as the success of this movement has shown, because for lack of provisions they gave themselves up to him" 16

In 1669, the Portuguese actively helped the Siddi against Sivājī On 27 May 1669, learning that the position of Dandā was precarious, they considered "This matter is of vital importance (and decided) that it is not convenient to the State to have such a powerful enemy in the neighbourhood. It appeared well to us to order you to assist the fortress of Danda with some soldiers, powder, and shot necessary for the defence This can be done under the pretence that he (the Siddi) being our vassal we are bound to help him or under any other pretext which you might think more fit "17 On 21 August, again, the same Portuguese official (Acting Governor) gave strict orders that the Siddi should be succoured by all means against the attack of Sivājī 48

Finding that his efforts were thus being frustrated by the Firangis, Sivājī sent his vakil, Vithal Pandit, to Goa Consequently a treaty was signed between the Marāthās and the Portuguese, on 20 February, 1670, on the even basis of reciprocity Clause 2 stated "They should not give refuge nor provisions of any kind to the Habshi of Danda, and the Portuguese should send orders to this effect to all their ports" This

It was also accepted 'that there shall exist a was agreed to strong friendship between both the parties, by sea and land, and should anything be done without reason, a report should be made by Raja Shiyaji to the Governor of India, and in the same manner by the said Governor to the Raja Shivaji, and without obtaining satisfaction in this way this peace and friendship should not be broken"49 Strangely, while these negotiations were going on in Goa, on 16 January 1670, a letter to Lisbon declared "Shiyan Raje has made himself master of the Konkan and levies taxes by ways which the inhabitants take ill and therefore abandon their lands He makes a very undestrable neighbour. He is not firm in his promise, and he is to be dreaded more when he pretends to be your friend lives on theft and cunning, this is the fellow who entered Bardez in 1667, at present we have to defend our lands with great caution "50

Under the plea that the Marathas had seized a Portuguese vessel at Daman and taken it to Dabhol, in November 1670, despite the treaty engagements, the Portuguese retaliated by capturing 12 ships belonging to Sivaji and took them to Bas-However, the Portuguese Captain of Chaul (Louis Alvares Pereira de Lacesda) sheltered refugees from Sivājī's territories while they were harried by Aurangzeb's men towards the close of 1672 "Shivaji and his secretary and subedar," says the Captain, "wrote to me thanking me for the favour done to those people, to whom I replied that I did nothing but keep the terms of the peace between Shivaji and the State and that no other motive moved me " Reporting all that then transpired between him and the Marāthā envoy, the writer concludes "The said physician informed me that Shivaji wanted to make himself a vassal of His Highness, for he had learnt that others had done the same, and on finding the Portuguese disposed to protect him, he would send one to Goa to treat about this with your Excellency " 51

Flattered by this, the Viceroy, Louis de Mendonca Furtado, sent a copy of this report to His Majesty the King of Portugal, on 19 January, 1673 But in reply he was told "Having

seen what you have written in your letter of 19th February 1673, by which you informed us of the condition to which you have reduced Shivaji without waging war, about his being forced to offer to the Captain of Chaul the Government of Chaul and to be the vassal of the State, I think it advisable to tell you to be careful regarding the designs of Shivaji. You should treat with him with all caution and diligence necessary for the safety of this State without neglect, attending also to the insolence with which he treats friends and enemies alike without keeping faith with any one "52"

The reversal of the Portuguese policy towards Śivājī became evident at the siege of Phonda on 8 April 1675 About the middle of the month, when they realised that the besieged needed help, they secretly sent ten boat-loads of provisions along with some men. But when these were intercepted by the Marāthās the Portuguese disavowed them ⁵³. It is not quite correct therefore to assert, as Sarkar has done, that the Portuguese "remained strictly neutral during his (Śivājī's) wars with the Mughals and Bijapur" ⁵⁴. The fact is that the Portuguese, at this time, were a decadent power in India "anxious only to hold their own, and timidly averting an armed encounter with every other State by employing friendly appeal, patient endurance, and diplomatic evasion" ⁵⁵

Among the external causes of the Portuguese decline were the rivalry of the Dutch and the English ⁵⁶ These two latter powers were constantly at war among themselves and both invoked Sivājī's assistance against each other. An English letter speaking of their Dutch rivals says, "Their envy is so great towards us that to take out one of our eyes, they will lose both their own "⁵⁷ The jealous and envious Portuguese, declares another, "have endeavoured all that lay in their power to obstruct our settlement, the (Mughal) Governor of Surat hath not been wanting alsoe to use his policy to undermine us, and Siddy Sambole with his Fleete hath been no small impediment. The Dutch with their powerful fleete designed to have swallowed us up, but blessed be God who hath hitherto preserved us and rendered all their evill designes advantageous (to us),

Sevagee onely hath proved, and that for his own interest sake, our fairest friend and noblest enemy" 58 It is important to note that this is the dictum of Gerald Aungier, English Governor of Bombay Yet, sadly, the English factors-particularly in the Bombay settlement—proved anything but friendly towards Śiyājī Elsewhere also they were deeply suspicious of his designs despite outer civilities For example, at Madras, "Sevagee Rajia, having sent the Agent a letter of 22nd September last (1677) by two of his spys, desiring us to supply him with Ingeniers, to which was returned him a civil excuse, it being wholly unfit for us to meddle in it, there being many dangers consequent thereon, as well of encreasing his power, as of rendering both Golconda and the Mogull our enemys, all these parts being spread with his Spys and himself and army now come nearer this way, within two dayes march of this place " All available "Ingeniers" were employed "to prevent any design of so evill a neighbour as Sevagee"50

On the West Coast there was less of civility and more of hostility. The English had their factories at Bombay, Rājāpūr and Kārwār, and in the interior at Hubli, Athni, Dharangaon, etc. At Surat they had their Head-quarters. Their interests were primarily commercial, though exigencies of time and situation obliged them to handle fire-arms and ammunition. "In general we must needs say," declared their Directors in London, "that peace and not warr is the Element in which Trade thrives and flourishes and 'tis not the interest of a Company of Merchants to launch into those great charges which unavoidably attend it, especially where the opposition is considerable and the event very hazardous." Rājāpūr, however, proved this a mere pious intention

In January 1660 Śivājī's captain Dorājī raided the port Though the English had no business to take sides in the action, they openly assisted the Muslims The Marāṭhās infuriated by their interference, caught hold of their broker Bālājī at Jaitapūr In order to secure his release they sent Mr Philip Gyffard into the Marāthā camp, but he too was taken prisoner Consequently, on 13 February, Mr Revington wrote to Śivājī,

offering to assist him in the conquest of Dandā-Rājāpūr, should he be pleased to release the two prisoners. Orders were actually issued to set Bālājī and Gyffard at liberty, but some suspicious activity on the part of the latter led to Gyffard's removal to another place of security. On 23 February Revington, taking the law into his own hands, way-laid the party, 10 miles away from Rājāpūr, and romantically rescued the prisoner. Obviously he got the information from Gyffard himself. It is evident, therefore, that the immediate release of Gyffard was not effected because of his unlawful conduct, and not being, as it was alleged, "kept by a rogue Brahman in Kharepatan castle out of the lucre and expectation of a bribe." 61

The second Marāthā attack on Rājāpūr took place in March 1661 This time too, as Sarkar has said, "the English were clearly in the wrong "62 While Sivaji was besieged in Panhālā by Sıddhı Jauhar, from 2 March to 22 September 1660, the English supplied some ammunition to the besiegers for "tossing balls with a flag that was known to be the English's" Sıvājī's second raid on Rājāpūr factory was intended to punish the English for their egregious conduct On this occasion he carried away, besides much valuable booty, Messrs Henry Revington, Richard and Randolph Taylor, and Philip Gyffard as prisoners Before they were removed from Rājāpūr, Sīvājī offered to release them if they would agree to help him in the capture of Rājāpūr. He also promised to give them a good salt-port besides It be recalled that Revington had But now the himself offered these terms an year earlier arrogant prisoners declined to discourse about it, until they should be actually set at liberty When a ransom was demanded, they declared that they had lost everything in the sack of their factory Then they tried to negotiate once more proposing conditions leaving "a hole to creep out of their obligation" When this failed to deceive Sivaji, they threatened to invoke Imperial assistance through their Surat authorities Finally, chafing under their loss of liberty, the 'disconsolate prisoners' petulantly complained of the apathy of their compatriots—the President and Council at Surat The result was the following well-merited rebuke —"How you came to be in prison you know very well. It was not for defending the Company's goods, 'twas for going to the siege of Panhala and tossing balls with a flag that was known to be the English's None but what is rehearsed is the cause of your imprisonment" 62

Evasperated by this embarrassing situation the prisoners attempted to escape from gaol, but were apprehended and kept in closer confinement at Raigad Failing in all their stratagems and designs, the English at last appealed to Shā'istā Khān the Mughal viceroy in the Deccan Unfortunately, however, as we have already witnessed, the Khan himself came to grief (5 April 1663) at the hands of Sivaji On 3 February, the same year, the Council had commissioned H M S Covertite to seize Sivaji's richly freighted ships bound for Mocha But only two days afterwards the prisoners were released, after nearly two years, with an assurance that the English would receive protection in future It is amusing to note the fulminations of the Surat Council immediately after this unex pected relief They declared that they had 'desisted from calling that perfidious rebel Sevagee to an account because they had neither conveniency of force or time ' They were still determined, none the less, upon avenging the wrong done to their 'loving brethren' as well as the loss inflicted upon their Masters' property at Rajāpūr, though they sadly realised, "as yet we are altogether incapable for want of shipping and men necessary for such an enterprise Wherefore patience 1"68

Then followed Śivāji's two raids on Surat in 1664 and 1670. We have already described them and discussed their consequences. In 1674 the English sought the opportunity of Śivāji's coronation at Rāigad to make it up with him. Oxinden's embassy was deemed a great success by all the English factors in India. On 10 July 1674 the Bombay Council noted with satisfaction, "Mr Henry Oxenden returned from Sevagy with whom a firm peace is settled and articles signed between the Honble. Company and him." 64.

cated to Surat as well as Madras. The latter expressed warm appreciation of 'that eminent service you have done your Honble employers in settling soe faire a correspondence with Sevagee and soe reasonable overtures for advantages both in traffique and neighbourhood, now that the establishment of his conquests renders him no less concerned for the encouragement of trade than he was formerly for plunder '65 London too was likewise informed of this settlement in their letter, dated 20 August 1674, enclosing and commending Oxenden's fuller report

The preamble to the treaty read "Articles of peace, union and friendship between the noble prince Sevagee Rajah and the Hon English East India Company 1 That from this day forward, there be a true, firm and inviolable peace and amity between the noble prince Sevajee Rajah and the Hon E E I Co, their successors and assignees, and between the lands, countries, subjects and inhabitants of both parties of what degree and quality soever

- "2 That all acts of enmity, hostility and discord, shall cease and be abolished, and that both parties shall abstain and forbear from all plunderings, depredations and injuries whatsoever, public and private, in all places both by sea and land
- other inhabitants in his Dominions, shall use and treat the English kindly and with respect and honour due to them as friends and confederates, so that they may freely pass by land and water into the countrys, cities and towns belonging to Sevagee Rajah, and there continue so long as they please, and buy provisions and likewise trade and traffick in goods and commodities of all sorts, paying the usual duties, and be obedient to the civil Government of the respective places, the same kindness to be reciprocally interchanged to the subjects of Sevagee Rajah on the island of Bombay "66

Peace is never the outcome of compacts and agreement Where there is no harmony of interests there cannot be lasting amity. Like the treaty between the Portuguese and Sivājī, this one also was not calculated to last long. The hollowness

of the protestations of 'firm friendship' was soon exposed when, in November 1674, Śivāji requested the Hon'ble Company's Bombay office to supply him fifty guns The English had been importing guns for sale and Bombav advised Surat. "It will certainly be very good for the Company to ease their large dead-stock here by the sale of some of the guns and especially the two great brass gunns which lie heavy upon us "61 But the President and Council, having duly debated, judged it impolitic and inexpedient to part with them "they are of such use and service by the command they have into the sea, besides the repute they give to the place, that although they are a charge, yet wee should blush to thinke that either Sevagee or any others should be master of them'68 Surat therefore or-"Though Sevagee should profer you ready money for your two brass gunns, yet we would not have you part with them without a positive order from us, for it is a matter of great consequence and we know not how far he may be trusted "69

The guns remained unsold in Bombay until 21 January 1678, certainly, when Swally Marine reported to the Company "The great brass gunns are remayning at the fort (Bombay), no person appearing to buy them Indeed Sevagee would be our chapman for them and many more things, but for mony or expectation of payment his great debt to your Honours may witness what small punctuallity may be expected from him If any buyer presents, (we) shall dispose of them" 70 On the face of it, this was not a correct report They were not willing to sell the guns to Sıvājī ın spite of his "extraordinary kinde together with a present of 5 loads of ordinary stuffs letter and a confirmation of the order for the President of the mony according to agreement at Rajapore and other priviledges which he hath granted to the English in his country "71

On 1 January 1675, Marāthā troops, while campaigning ın Mughal territory, raided Dharangaon (near Burhanpür in Considerable damage was done to the English Khāndesh) factory there, and property worth Rs 10,000 was looted The English factors protested that they were at peace with Sivajī,

but the Marāthā troops paid no heed Representations were then made to Śivājī, but he too did not admit their claims to compensation. Losses in enemy territory were obviously not contemplated in the Rāigad undertaking. Even Bombay observed. "Sevagee and wee in these parts keep a faire understanding and good correspondence and we question not but it will continue, however we shall make a full demand of the Companys and factors loss there of him and procure for the future if possible we can, Coles (Kauls) for the English factors and Brokers in all places where our investments are made that none of his forces at any time molest them." 12

It is noteworthy to observe that <code>Sivājī</code> acceded to these requests and granted <code>Kauls</code> for future security, though at first he considered the English demands "very unreasonable" Absurd accounts were given by Samuel Austin in his letters to Surat, but the Surat authorities in their communication to London stated "Satisfaction could not be procured, <code>Sevagee declaring that he was not lyable to make good any losse weeksustained in his enemyes country against whome he prosecuted a just war, he blamed the Generall of his Army much for violence done us and to the end wee should not be subject to such injuries hereafter, he gave us his coles or passports for that place and also for many other factoryes" ⁷³ Austin, however, was not appeased and persisted in asking for his personal losses</code>

Rājāpūr and Kārwār, too, had suffered much on account of constant war in their vicinity. Messrs Child and Ovenderwere specially deputed, as experienced men, to set matters right in those two places. They obtained from Shivājī "effectuall orders to his Ministers together with his Cole or passe for their future securify "74 Nevertheless the English factories continued to suffer as there was no peace in the land and not all of Sivājī's officers were equally sympathetic. We find, in May 1676, Surat warning Rājāpūr "to be very circumspect and cautious in your dealings and contracts with Sevagee's ministers, for wee experience them to be more subtle and perfidious every day than other "75 Not only Sivājī's men but other

local chieftains proved equally a source of trouble And to make matters worse, the weavers and other workmen entrusted with money ran away, as at Hubli

Hubh was 'a great inroad town and a mart of very considerable trade' English records speak of the town as "that mark of our Carwarr factors where we sell and buy most of the goods that post affords us "76 The Marathas first looted it in 1664-5, but little damage was done to the English factory 77 However, in 1673, the English lost much and, failing to get satisfaction from Sivaii, threatened to take some "smart course to revenge the wrongs "78 Sivājī, as we have noticed before, explained that the action was unauthorised, professed friendship towards the English and advised them 'that we trade so little we can into the Decan because he is determined to make a sharp war there so soon as the rains are over " The demand for compensation was unsubstantiated "However he desires to see the particulars of our loss, which we could not show him having not received it from you "70 All the same the English were getting impatient and planning some "smart course"80 Not only Hubli and Rājāpūr, but also Athni and Kārwār had suffered "Though we conceive the Rajah himself doth not desire to breake friendship with us, but would grant us what is reasonable, yett his officers have so little regard to his orders that they are not to be trusted "81

At first (14 June 1676) they thought of improving matters by replacing their native agents Nārāin Shenvi at Rāigad by an Englishman "And wee are of opinion, had you sent an Englishman at first and expostulated the matter a little roughly with him, or had sent Girder, for whome they have a far greater respect than your Naran Sunay, they would sooner have complyed with you than now they are like to doe"82 But on 29 September 1676 they commissioned Captain Robert Fisher to threaten the coastal shipping unless the English were better treated 'for as wee doe noe injury nor offer any injustice or affront to any nation whatsoever, soe wee are resolved to suffer none from any, but to vindicate the Company's right and honour in the manner wee cann'83

"Wee had once great hopes that Sevajees country would have proved advantageous to the Hon'ble Companys trade," they mournfully declared, "and did believe he would have been soe wise and understand his own interest soe farr as to have kept a faire and just correspondence with us, but wee now find (17 Oct 1676) that soe long as that pirate and universall robber lives, that hath noe regard to friend nor foe, God nor man, there can be noe security in any trade in his country, wherefore wee have determined to dissolve the factory of Rajpore soe soon as wee can call in our debts Wee have not consigned them any goods this yeare nor shall wee, till wee can bring Sevagee to a better understanding with us intention wee have for Carwarr if it continues long under his jurisdiction, and wee would have you alsoe withdraw all trade and correspondence out of his country Were it not for our factors and the Company's estate yet remaining at Rajpore wee would take a more smart course with him and doe, ourselves justice on the first vessels wee could meet with all belonging to his ports, but for this wee must take some more convenient opportunity "84

Nevertheless, the Surat authorities climbed down only a week later (25 Oct 1676) when business considerations cooled their temper They wrote to Bombay "Revoking all former orders touching Brawts (Varrants or Bhatty), wee doe require you to receive the Hon'ble Companys debts due from Sevajee in plate, on as cheap terms as you can best agree" 87 But no consistent policy was arrived at The factors at Rājāpūr, Kārwār and Hubli, however, were instructed to get in as much as their outstanding debts as possible "before the coming downe of our Europe shipps, and what goods you have made provision of to be in readiness with yourselves, "86 also "we would have you deale plainely with Annagee Punditt, and press him home, either let him make us complete satisfaction or let him know the factory shall be withdrawne, and that you may be ready, we would have you soe dispose affairs that upon order you may without faile embark with what belongs to be Hon'ble Companys " 87

That the English could not get away so easily was revealed to them when Mr Everage escaped from Rājāpūr "The Soobedarr sent to us for the key of our warehouse the which we refused to doe [Then he took account of the stores and] sealed up the door with the Rajah seale,"88 Meanwhile hostilities had started between the English and the Marāthās over "the unhappy business of Hendry Kendry"

We have before alluded to Śivājī's capture and occupation of the island of Khanderi (Kennery), near Bombay Underi (Hendry) is only 12,00 yards from the mainland Together these two islets constituted the "Hendry Kendry" of, perhaps, the most melodramatic episode in Anglo-Marāṭhā history

Sivāiī had attempted to fortify Khanderi in 1672, but failed Owing to the combined opposition of the Mughals, the Siddis and the English, he was obliged to withdraw Swa-Digwiava Bakhar says 'Doulat Khan and Mai Naik Bhandari proceeded at the head of their squadrons to fortify the island of Khanderi They were going to build a fort, but the English ships came from Bombay, saw the extent of the projected fortifications and wrote to Yākut Khān at Janjirā. The Habshis laid siege to Khanderi, with the cooperation of the English, and demanded that no building should be constructed on their frontier The forces were not strong enough to fight the enemies, so the Bhandari concluded a treaty, came away amicably and informed the Mahārājā of what had happened '89

Sivājī took up this project more seriously in August 1679. The English once again protested saying that they had "allways supposed (Hendry Kendry) to belong to us". But the real reason was that they perceived it "little policy to suffer so potent and voracious a Prince to possess himself of soe considerable a post without disputing his title thereunto. His designes cannot be otherwise then to have check on the whole trade of this (Bombay) Island and adjacent parts, keeping there allwayes a fleett of small brigantines to cruse up and downe. If he is suffered to build, it will be hard disputing with him hereafter, but at present wee suppose standing on our

teatmes and owning it as ours, with a seeming resolution to obstruct him, may make him desist '90

This claim had never before been put forth in 1672 or 1674 Clause 18 of the Raigad treaty as drafted by the English themselves read 'That the English, and other inhabitants upon the Island Bombay, shall have free liberty to fetch firewood from the adjacent islands opposite to the main, without any obstruction from Sevagee's people, or any custom to be demanded or paid for the same, to whom strict prohibition to be given to prevent misunderstandings' 91 It is clear from this that the claim of Hendry Kendry as 'allwayes supposed to belong unto us' was only a pretext and after-thought Besides, when the Siddi occupied Hendry, as a counterpoise to Sivājī's occupation of Kendry, on 9 January 1680, the English-far from objecting-actually encouraged and assisted They simply wrote to London "The Syddy Admirall of the King of India's fleete hath taken and fortifyed another little Island "92 Indeed, the Siddi proved more obnoxious than the Marāthā His success "soe puft up the Syddy that ne now presumes to give laws in all that Bay (solely your Honrs' Royalty) requiring all vessells from your Island to take his passes, otherwise will seize on them, besides his men coming in great numbers ashore are so insolent and abusive that your Deputie Governour and Councill write us (Surat) that they are not able to bear it, and that if it be not suddenly remedyed, some dangerous consequences will ensue"93

The reason why the English put up with the Siddi is thus frankly stated "Our intention was to have complained to this Governor thereof, but he is soe exasperated at making a peace with Sevagee that he not only encourages but abets the Syddy in these abuses, which your affaires here will not suffer us at present otherwise to remedy, therefore it will highly concern your Honrs speedily to take some effectual course for redress of these growing evills (with divers others in your affaires here,—too many now to be repeated), otherwise you will suddenly lose your Island and all your Northern trade."

Despite the combined and most determined hostility of the

English and the Siddis, however, the Marathas continued to occupy Khanderi and went on with the work of fortifying it Successive attempts of the English, from 3 September 1679 to 28 January 1680, to frustrate their efforts were most valiantly withstood by them. Neither naval brow-beating nor dipio matic blandishments deflected them from their firm resolve to hold the island at all costs. The foolhardy attempt of Lieut Thorpe, on 19 September, to effect a forced landing ended in a tragedy. Thorpe himself got killed and his shibar was cap tured A blockade was organised from 20 September to 9 October, but proved equally futile. The naval engagements between the contemptible 'mosquito craft' of the Marathas and the better equipped ships of 'the Queen of the Ocean', during a whole month (18 Oct -18 Nov) brought no better result. On 31 October Sivaii threatened a counter-blockade of Bombav But on 5 November the English squadron (comprising the HUNTER. the Fortune, 2 machuās, and 5 shibars) drove the Marāthā fleet into Nagothna creek where it was bottled up until 10 November Then the Siddis joined the English and carried on a relentless war against the Marāthās, by land and sea They occupied Underi (Hendry) island, as a counterpoise to Khanderi, and soon made themselves an irksome nuisance to their English allies who made peace with Sivāji no

This sorry episode was communicated to London in the following terms "After exceeding trouble and difficulty wherein Mr Child, your new Deputy Governour, hath used great paines and industry, a peace is concluded with Sevagee wherein 1 (we) have been forced to permit his possession of the Island in the mouth of your port of Bombay, finding wee were not able with our present strength to force him from it, 2 what vessells taken from us, he is to make satisfaction for, and on which account wee have allready received 100 Candy of beetlenuts, 3 likewise, what men he tooke in them to returne back, which is performed, 4 liberty for your factors at Carwarr and Rajapore to come away at their owne converniencys, and 5 to cleare his former account "pr

No better commentary could be offered on the incident

than the remarks of the Court of Directors of the East India Company (London) "Now we come to treat of the business of Bombay, which by the hostilities lately entered into with Sevagee about Hendry Kendry, renews and aggravates our further charge and trouble when we hoped we had arrived to an undisturbed and prosperous posture of affaires, and that the Island Revenues would have quite eased us of further expenses and have yielded somewhat of retribution for those excessive charges we have laid out upon it But we are sorry to find it otherwise upon this unhappy quarrel we are fallen into, though upon what grounds began by Sevagee we know not, but however it be, the conduct of our men by Lieutenant Thorpe was very unhappy, who either through drunkenness or great unadvisedness ran himself into the loss of his life and his party into that mischief which befell them, so that foolishly if not madly they fell into blood before you used the medium of accommodation for peace, and the endeavouring it afterwards when Sevagee had obtained and maintained his post and could not be removed from it, we doubt will either be to noe purpose or noe wayes to our honour or advantage"98 So it turned out in the end As Dr Fryer observed "Amidst these Wars, and. rumours of Wars, we quietly laid down our Arms and leave Seva G1 and Syddy alone to contend for our stony piece of Ground on Henry Kenry, how much to our Honour or Reproach may be gathered from the language we have daily cast in our Teeth 'Why Vaunts your Nation? What Victories have you achieved? What has your Sword done? Who ever felt. your power? What do you possess? We see the Dutch outdo you, the Portugalls have behaved themselves like Men, every one runs you down, you can scarce keep Bombain, which you got (as we know) not by your Valour, but compact, And will you pretend to be Men of War or cope with our Princes? It's fitter for you to live on Merchandise and submit to us '"99

CHAPTER NINE

THE CRISIS

'This Kingdom was invaded by a powerful enemy in the person of Aurangzeb He used all his valour and resources, in wealth and materials, for the destruction and conquest of this Kingdom But all his efforts proved futile, by the grace of God'—Adnā-patra

The true test of a living organism is its capacity to survive a crisis The Marāthā State created by Śivājī, in the course of less than three decades, proved its vitality during the thirty years that followed his death on 4 April 1680 if the Darwinian test of survival is to be applied to the Mughal Empire and the Marātha Kingdom, both of which were strug gling for existence—not by the tame principle of 'live and let live', but by the militant method of exterminating the rival the Marathas proved their fitness to survive by the eternal and immutable law of evolution. While the grandiose structure of the Mughal imperial system was visibly tottering to its fall, the young and vigorous Maratha power was advancing in a crescendo of staggering success. In the words of their most vigilant critic, Sir Jadunath Sarkar, "The Marathas were no longer a tribe of banditti or local rebels, but the one dominating factor of Deccan politics, and an enemy all-pervasive throughout the Indian peninsula, elusive as the wind, the ally and rallying point of all the enemies of the Delhi empire and all disturbers of public peace and regular administration throughout the Deccan and even in Malwa, Gondwana and Bundelkhand" This is a very correct estimate of the Marāṭhā body politic at the death of Sivaji, as will be amply borne out by any impartial examination, howsoever searching, of the happenings from 1680 to 1707 and after

Aurangzeb was the most inveterate enemy of not merely the 'execrable wretch Siva', but also of the Marāthā power

which survived him With bitter chagrin Aurangzeb declared 'My armies were employed against him (Śivājī) for nineteen years, but nevertheless, his State has always been increasing' The English factors verily observed 'He is so inveterate against the Raja (Sambhājī) that he hath thrown off his pagri and sworn never to put it on again till he hath either killed, taken or routed him out of his country' But, by a strange irony of Fate, despite the destruction of Sambhājī, the rout of Rājārām, and the capture of Shāhū, it was Aurang zeb's empire and that of the Marāthās that was undermined by his ceaseless war of over forty years

Mahārāstra had met with her first crisis when she feil before the Khaljis and the Tughlags in the fourteenth century Until the rise of Sivaji her emergence as an independent political entity could not have been even predicted So long as Śivāji was alive, the only crisis she had to face was when he was virtually a captive at Agra with dire possibilities course earlier, anything might have happened at his fateful meeting with Afzal Khān But Sivājī appeared to have a charmed life Indeed, 'Sevagy hath dyed so often,' wrote the English in May 1680, 'that some begin to thinke him immor-The real crises, however, came with perturbing persistence when Śivājī was dead They were due partly to internal and partly to external causes. The former arose out of the exigencies to which the medieval monarchy was everywhere exposed, viz, the dual curse of succession disputes and the incalculable element of the personal character of the successor to sovereign authority To look no farther than the thirty years following Šivājī's death (1680-1710), Mahārāşţra was confronted with crises arising out of these two factors at least four times 1 During the succession dispute between the supporters of Sambhājī and Rājārām (1680-81), 2 in 1689, when Sambhājī fell and Rājārām had to seek refuge in Ginji, 3. in 1700, when Rājārām died leaving two sons (Sivājī and Sambhājī) by two different wives, and 4 in 1707, when Shāliū was released by the astute imperialists in order to confound the Marāthās who were already in the toils of a civil war

Kanakgırı, Harpanhallı, Rāidurg, etc , having been subdued, that country was formed into a regular province under Janārdan Pant Hanumanté So weak was Bījāpūr all this time that, finally, even Masūd had to acquiesce in Śivājī's Karnātak conquests in return for help received from him when Bījāpūr was besieged by Dilir Khān (Aug to Nov 1679) But for Śivājī's timely and effective assistance, Bījāpūr might have fallen then, instead of seven years afterwards. The 'rebel' Śivājī thus proved a truer saviour of the 'Ādilshāhī than its imperial ally from the North '

Sivājī was certainly not in love with either Bījāpūr oi Golkondā, but he had clearly foreseen that the Mughals would prove more dangerous. As it transpired, the conquest of Bījāpūr and Golkondā by Aurangzeb (1686-7) brought the Mughals into closer proximity to the Marāthās. The Muslim kingdoms could no longer be played off against one another. On the contrary Aurangzeb's prestige as their conqueror was considerably increased in the South. His resources as well as strategic advantages were also augmented. As successor to the 'Ādil Shāh and the Qutb Shāh he could now legitimately—claim hegemony over the Karnāṭak

Sivājī's failure in taming the Siddi had fateful repercuesions on the West Coast—It hardened the masters of Janjirā on the one side, and emboldened the Portuguese, on the other This was for Sambhājī a baffling inheritance—He could not be expected to succeed where his father had definitely failed Yet the irrascible son of Sivājī was desperately determined to suppress the Siddi—So another heroic attempt was made to educe Janjirā (1680-82) before the Bhoslé could feel convinced that his control must stop with the shore

Though the Siddis were much disturbed by the quantities of shot and shell incessantly fired into their island-fortress by the Marāthās, they stuck to the rock like the iguana 'Sambhaji is resolved,' wrote the English on 19 January 1682, 'not to raise the siege so long as he hath a rag to his back 'G He had drafted an army of 50,000 men, under Dādājī Deśpāndé, to build a causeway across the channel, 800 yards wide and 30

yards deep, to reach the island 20,000 troops with a vast train of artillery were also despatched to bombard Janjirā When sheer force failed, stratagem was tried, but with equally futile results A desperate attempt to effect a landing by sea 'had ill-success, for not above 500 escaped (out of 4,000), the rest being all killed by the Siddi and his men '7

The attitude of the English and the Portuguese towards Sambhājī was more helpful to the Siddi than to the Maiā thās. When Sambhājī invoked their assistance, the President and Council at Surat instructed Bombay "you must use all contrivances to keep fair with them, as we would by no means quarrel with Sambhaji Rajah, so upon no account can we with prudence fall out with the Siddi at present, it being a very unfit time" As a matter of fact they were "more afraid of the Mughal's displeasure than Sambhaji's (and) ordered the admittance of the Siddhi's fleet (in Bombay waters)"

This kind of complicity enraged Sambhājī against both the English and the Siddis, but he had not the power to punish them. His fleet was twice beaten by the Siddis—i in August 1681 at Underi, and ii in October the same year at Bombay. In the latter action Siddi Misri, the Muslim Captain of the Marāthā fleet, was mortally wounded and died in Bombay. An attempt to punish the English by setting the Arabs against them ended in a disaster to the latter. Before this trouble was over, Sambhājī had to face the Portuguese, and the Siddis consolidated their position.

After Sambhājī's death (1689), Siddi Khairiyat Khān captured several of the Marāṭhā strongholds in the Konkan, like Talé, Ghosālé, Rāigad, etc. Between 1696 and 1706 Siddi Qāsim ruled over Janjirā as his brother Khairiyat's successor, under the title of Yāqut Khān He fortified and garrisoned all the places conquered by his predecessor, as well as looted and devastated the Marāṭhā districts in the neighbourhood in All this was winked at or encouraged by Aurangzeb Siddi Yāqut died in 1706 But the Marāṭhās, being engrossed in their life and death struggle against the Mughals, could hardly

attend to the Siddi Not until a Sivājī of the Seas arose in Kānhojī Āngré could anything be done with their rivals

Turning to the Portuguese, we might characterise Marāthā relations with them at the close of Śivājī's life as 'peaceful but not friendly ' Under Sambhājī the position deteriorated. Prof Pissurlencar has deplored the imprudence of Sambhājī in this result and tried to show how friendly the Portuguese always were towards the Marāthās 12 But we have seen enough of their dealings, in the last chapter, to accept this criticism. With the Siddis still on his hands, Sambhājī could ill-afford to antagonise either the English or the Portuguese Pissurlencar has himself admitted that, to begin with, Sambhājī liad begun well with the Portuguese 13 Without overlooking the faults of Sambhājī, it is equally necessary to examine the conduct (1682-84) of the new Portuguese Viceroy, Francisco de Tavora Conde de Alvor 14

Aurangzeb was very anxious to win over the Portuguese to his side in order to open a second front against the Marā-thās from the sea-side. Manucci was at that time in Goa "When Aurangzeb's letter reached the Viceroy," he writes, "he had me sent for to translate it into Portuguese. On hearing the proposals I gave him advice as to what he should do. For this war could not be of any benefit to the Portuguese, seeing that the Mughal would never be content to leave the Portuguese to themselves after he had destroyed Sambhājī. In spite of this the Viceroy engaged in the war against that prince, and thereby all but lost Goa."15

Conde de Alvor, rather than Sambhājī, it appears to us, was responsible for the breach of friendship between the Portuguese and the Marāthās Sambhājī wanted to fortify Anjidiv, an island to the south of Kārwār, as a naval base (like Khanderi) to counterpoise Janjirā, but the Portuguese forestalled him by planting their flag there in April 1682 When Sambhājī protested against this as an unfriendly act, the Viceroy simply declared that he was his own master in his own territories 10 To make matters worse, he wrote to his Captain of the North (Don Manoel Lobo de Silveira) and the gover-

nors of Chaul, Bassein and Daman, asking them to allow free passage to the Mughal troops marching against Sambhājī ¹⁷ These were intolerable acts of unfriendliness in the eyes of Sambhājī The make-believe of a congratulatory letter (28 July 1682) over the birth of Shāhū, written by de Alvor, ¹⁸ could ill-conceal the real attitude of the Portuguese Viceroy Sambhājī, in his sober moments, was too realistic a man to be deceived by such political gestures. He, therefore, made up his mind that it was necessary to foil Aurangzeb's designs by the conquest of Goa. War thus became inevitable

Shāh Mahomed, Mughal envoy carrying Aurangzebs letter to the Viceroy (dated June 1682), was in Goa on 20 Ja-He left the place in April following 10 But nuary 1683 hostilities between the Portuguese and the Marāthās had already begun In December 1682 Mughal vessels carrying provisions to Ranmast Khān, who was ravaging Marāthā territory near Kalyan, had been allowed by the Portuguese to pass through Thana Sambhaji started his reprisals on 5 April 1683,—surprising patience considering his irrascible temper! He looted and destroyed Tārāpūr and other towns from Bassem to Daman The Portuguese retaliated by capturing Marāthā vessels and imprisoning (16 May) their ambassador (Essajī Gambhir Rão?) in Goa 20 The major actions of this war were fought at Chaul, Phonda (Fondem) and Estevao near Goa

Sir Jadunath Sarkar has tripped at many points connected with this struggle, both as regards dates and places. His account is both inaccurate and misleading ²¹ The Portuguese case does not at all bear extenuation or defence as Sarkar has attempted to do Conde de Alvor never "planned to make a diversion" for the Maratha he only fell a victim to Sambhajī's ruse. Sambhājī, as Manucci has unequivocally stated, sent to the Viceroy tutored spies who told him that in the fortress of Phonda there were great treasures. "His object was to get the Viceroy to leave Goa with a large force for the conquest of that fortress. Then he meant to cut off the Portu-

guese retreat and prevent their return, in this way making himself master of Goa "22

Manucci learnt of this design through the French at Rajāpūr The warning was conveyed to the Portuguese Viceroy "I told His Excellency, but he would not heed my words He issued forth with eight hundred white soldiers and eight He crossed with them to the other side thousand Canarese of the river and began his campaign With him went five pieces of heavy artillery "28 Far from being unopposed, as Sarkar has said, the Vicerov had a very hot reception at the hands of the Marathas "They attacked with great fury the Viceroy's army, and gave him as much to do as he could man-His best troops were killed, and, if he had not used wooden obstructions with which to impede the onset of the cavalry he would never have been able to get back to Goa, nor could he have made any defence The rainy weather impeded the discharge of his matchlocks, thus, coming on still closer, a trooper among the Rajputs21 dealt His Excellency a sword blow on the ribs Retreating slowly, he reached the river-bank with great difficulty, and once more entered Goa He recognised, although too late, that he had been misled" 25

This disastrous and disgraceful rout has been characterised by Sarkar as a retirement "bravely and skilfully conducted by the Viceroy in person" 126 All the field-pieces and ammunition are declared to have been brought away, and "the Portuguese had only a small skirmish which cost about 100 men on each side "Yet Manucci, who was in Goa at the time, noted that "great grief was caused in the city from the fruitless loss of so many lives "27 Well might this have been so mourned over, for the Portuguese as well as their native troops but in vain, for the "threw down their muskets and fled blacks rode over them, trampling most of our men All our men fled in utter disorder, each one trying to save himself Nearly a whole company of seamen were killed, the dead and wounded amounting to two hundred" 28

The Marāthās next seized the island of Santo Esievao (Juā, 2 ms N E of Goa) There was great consternation

in Goa, and on the following day (15 Nov 1683) the Viceroy, "against the judgement of Dom Rodrigo da Costa, wished to reoccupy the place He selected some 150 soldiers, shouting in a loud voice that any one who meant should follow He went as far as the castle walls and marched round them, during which Sambhājī's troops slew a great many Some reinforcements arrived, and by good luck the Viceroy and Dom Rodrigo were able to reach their boats and take to flight, otherwise they would certainly have been killed like the Sambhājī's soldiers retained the island and were very They gave so much trouble to the city that the near to Goa Viceroy resolved to send an embassy to that prince to see if he could obtain a peace, and I was obliged to go a second time But the fighting still went on with great to Sambhā1ī Well was it for the Portuguese that Sambhāñ never knew exactly how few men there were in the island If he had known, he could have carried out his scheme (of occupying Goa) in its entirety" 29

The old tragedy was once more enacted The Viceroy was himself wounded by a bullet in the arm, more than 150 men were killed, the rest either fled or got stuck in the mud never to escape alive The Marāthās left the island on 16 November 1683, 30 but continued to ravage the country round 'about Sambhājī quitted Goa in December

In the northern theatre of war, too, the fight was inconclusive The siege of Chaul (Aug 1683) cost the Marāthās dear On 22 December they occupied the island of Karinja (10 ms S E of Bombay) It was however, retaken by the Portuguese in September The two parties continued to 'snarl and snap at each other' for some time afterwards 31

Early in 1684 a truce was patched up between the Portuguese Viceroy and Sambhājī by which, among other things, it was settled that 'when Sambhājī on his part will have given over in the north all lands and fortresses, with all the artillery and arms which he had taken from us, and returned all the prisoners, then the same kind of restitution will be made to him

of all his men who are now in our hands, and the gão candil(?) of Bassein will be paid and the chouts of Daman, Sambhājī Rājé being obliged to defend those territories as he has pro mised '32 However on 24 January 1686 we find the Portu guese reporting to Lisbon that 'As Sambhājī did not keep the terms of peace it became necessary to continue the war with him '88

Whatsoever the cause of continued or fresh hostilities, the Portuguese secretly incited the Desāis of Concao (Konkan) to rebel against Sambhājī Consequently, Khem Sāvant, with Portuguese assistance, roamed over places belonging to the Marāthās, burning and 10bbing, north of Goa (Feb 1685) The Dalvis of Phonda did the same to the south of Goa, always finding safe refuge in Portuguese territory The Portuguese treaty with these chieftains (8 Feb 1685) makes interesting reading It was signed by 'Rama Dalvy Bounsullo and Deva Saunto Bounsullo, servants of Quema Saunto Sardesaı of Curallo, and two others' Its terms were That they should capture the lands from Banda to Ancolla, and, dividing them, into three parts, they should give two to the Portuguese, that the one who takes the lands from Cuddale to Chaul would be helped by a Portuguese fleet, to cow down opposition all along the coast, with their own crew, arms and ammunition, in return for which they were to receive a third of the lands, etc ,. taken Besides the fleet, they would be supplied with gunpowder and bullets, 'as much as could be spared,' without paying in kind or money The Vicerov also undertook to write to the King Mogor asking him to take the chiefs into his service, and to this end he would send his own men to accompany them to the Mogor Finally, if they came out victorious, the Portuguese would grant them the same liberty as they enjoyed under the Moors and under Sambhājī, to live in those territories according to their rites, having their own temples and other things, but they should not make peace with Sambhājī, as the Portuguese too would not, nor do harm to the factories of the English, the French and the Dutch in Sambhā-11's territories - The Portuguese agreed to lend them money

Government Sambhājī was losing against the Mughals, but the English felt that Bombay was safer than Surat 38

However, the negotiations with Sambhaii proved fruitless as he was not in a position to assist the English, nor were the English anxious to help Sambhājī 39 After the final catas trophe of Sambhaji, we find Child writing to the Directors in England on 12 Dec 1689 "At present there is no certain news where Raja Ram is, but on this part of India he does not appear, nor any force of his in the field to withstand the Moghul and his forces Rairee and most of his strongholds are fallen into the Moghul's hands All the country about us that was the Raja's is the Moghul's now, there only stands out for the Raja near us the little island Kenery, and another castle on the mainland called Padangarh to the They have been with us for assistance southward of Chaul and would feign borrow money, etc. We have given them all good words, may be, and keep them engaged what we can for the present, but in all appearance they will not hold out long. and should we trust them, they will certainly deceive us "40

The sad state of Mahārāstra alluded to in the above refer ence constituted the Major crisis of her history since the death of Sıvājī in 1680 The last days of that great monarch had indeed been clouded by anxieties such as Akbar had felt on his death-bed Sambhaji's character and conduct were somewhat analogous to Selim's in several respects Both were inheritors of a glory and responsibilities which their characters could illsustain, both were in revolt against their fathers who were forced to keep them under duress on account of grave misdemeanour, both alike were a prey to overpowering passions which neutralised virtues that might otherwise have enabled them to improve upon their heritage, both were looked upon by their fathers with grave apprehensions about the wisdom of their succession, both had junior rivals whose eligibility was considered more suitable, both allowed authority to slip out of their own hands into those of their favourites, though of very different characters and consequently with very different results There is no comparison between the noble

Nür Jahän and the criminal Kavi Kalas or Kalusi, the former proved the saviour of Jahängir, while the latter was the rung of Sambhāji. Both, however possessed acomplishments through which they could master their masters and hold them in a rice. The only redeeming feature of the two reigns was that there were very able State-officials who served their sovereigns out of regard for their great predecessors and a deep sense of personal responsibility. The tragedy of Sambhāji is without a parallel in history, a tragedy of high spirits self-poisoned of courage without character and scholarship without sagacity, unfortunately fortunate to have been the son and successor of Sivāji, whose incontinence and litful crueities eclipsed an otherwise loveable personality.

What perturbed sivaji more than any moral blemi h of Sambhaji was his defection to the Murhal camp on 13 Decem That unfilial, unpatriotic indiscreet delinquency seemed to jeopardise all the great and good work that Swaji had done during nearly half-a-century of his strenuous life Was all that he had so arduously achieved to be undone by his own son? But the destines of Maharastra were not to miscarry even under such a misfortune. Still, it terribly upset the anxious father. Sambhiji had not merely described to the enemy but also attacked Bhūpālead which was in the keeping of the veteran Firangi Narsala (the valuant hero of Chal an) Overwhelmed by conflicting sentiments (human though unsoldierly) the old warrior behaved like Tardi Beg Khin at Delhi on the eve of Akbar's entry into India, and met with the same fate. His error of judgment in yielding the fortress to the rebellious son of his master carned for him the extreme penalty of a delinquent soldier

Sambhājī, however, returned to his father in December next (1679) and was kept in confinement in Panhālā Sivājī died at Rāigad on 4 April 1680. Plans to supersede Sambhājī only provoked him, when he regained freedom and authority, into acts of insensate cruelty. Soyarā Bāi (Rājārām's mother) was inhumanly put to death, Annājī Datto and several other important officers of State were barbarously executed, and the

Sirkés were equally ruthlessly exterminated 48 Rājārām, Sambhājī's step-brother, hardly ten years of age, had been raised to the throne as a puppet only to be thrown into prison for no fault of his own

The accession of Sambhājī, on 20 July 1680, in the midst of the turmoil which followed the death of Sivaji, seemed to afford Aurangzeb the opportunity of his life The flight of Prince Akbar (Aurangzeb's rebellious son) into Mahārāstra, on 1 June 1681, lured him into the Deccan which was destined to be his grave. Things had not been moving satisfactorily there for quite a long time Shah 'Alam had been replaced by Khān-ı-Jahān Bahādur Khān as viceroy in May 1680 The old general laid siege to Ahivant in July 1680, but the defenders made good resistance As soon as the rains ceased, Sambhāji opened his campaign in Khāndesh Burhānpūr and Dharampur were sacked in January 1681. No resistance was offered, much harm was done, and the people threatened 'civil disobedience' if better protection was not afforded them by the imperial officers 44 So the Emperor hastened South and arrived at Aurangābād on 22 March 1682

'As soon as the peace negotiations with the Rānā (of Mewar) were completed, writes Manucci, "Aurangzeb left Ajmer, early in September of the year 1681 His object was now a war with Sambhaji, all unmindful of his fate—namely, that this departure was for ever, that there would be no return for him either to Agra or to Delhi, for it is now (in 1700) nineteen years that he has been in camp without effecting anything against that rebellious people, the Mahrattahs God only knows what will come to pass in the end! For the reports continually brought in to me are that he is in a very bad way, closely pressed by the aforesaid Mahrattahs Thus until this day he has not been able to accomplish the enterprise he intended (as he said) to finish in two years He marched carrying with him three sons, Shāh 'Alam, A'zam Tārā, and Kām Bakhsh, also his grandsons He had with him much treasure, which came to an end so thoroughly during this war that he was compelled to open the treasure-houses of Akbar, Nur

Jahān, Jahāngīr, and Shāh Jahān Besides this, finding himself with very little cash, owing to the immense expenditure forced upon him, and because the revenue-payers did not pay with the usual promptitude, he was obliged at Aurangābād to melt down all his household silver ware. In addition to all this, he wanted to empty the great store-houses filled with goods left by deceased persons or with property collected in Akbar's, Jahāngīr's and Shāh Jahān's time from the men, great and small, who had been servants of the State. But afterwards he ordered these store-houses not to be opened, for he rightly feared that, he being absent, the officials would embezzle more than half'15

While a Mughal fleet was cruising along the Konkan coast in order to intercept Prince Akbar, to prey upon Marāthā vessels, and to divert Sambhājī's attention generally, a Mughal army of 14,000 horse, under Hasan 'Ali Khān, descended upon Kalyān from Junnar, būrning and destroying villages ch route Prince Azam and Dilir Khān were sent towards Ahmednagar, while another division was despatched to Nāsik, under Shihab-u'd-Dīn Khān and Dalpat Rāi But the siege of Rāmsej (7 ms N of Nāsik), despite reinforcements sent under Khān-i-Jahān himself, very soon revealed to Aurangzeb the might and resourcefulness of the Marāthās "If we may believe Khafi Khan who was present at the siege," writes Sir Jadunath Sarkar, "the fort had no iron cannon, but the garrison hollowed out trunks of trees and fired leather missiles from them 'which did the work of ten pieces of artillery '"46

Aurangzeb's spirit was roused by this incident and he de cided upon extensive operations. Meanwhile the siege of Rāmsej dragged on and Khān-i-Jahān had to withdraw petulantly burning down the wooden tower constructed by him at great cost. The evultant Marathas crowded over the walls, beating their drums for joy and taunting the retreating Mughals in the foulest language. Likewise the imperialists felt obliged to decamp from Kalyān destroying its fortifications. Sambhājī attacked them from the rear, killing many and capturing a large number of horses. Thus we see," observes Sarkar, "that for

more than a year after his arrival at Aurangabad, from November 1681 to April 1683, the Emperor accomplished nothing notable in spite of his immense resources "48 The Surat factors wrote on 3 April 1682 that Aurangzeb 'hath with him a great army with which he sits still and attempts nothing, being under great jealousy and fears, thinks himself hardly secure'. He was 'continually wavering' being 'extraordinarily peevish and uneasy' To avoid the Emperor's wrath, it was suspected, Dilir Khān poisoned himself 40

In the Konkan, Shāh 'Ālam had crossed the Rāmghāt pass (26 ms W of Belgāum and 30 ms N E of Goa) and entered Sāvantvādī Hasan 'Alı Khān guarded his lines of communication over the Ghāts with 5,000 men It was on account of this move that Sambhājī had withdrawn suddenly from Goa after Estevao (Dec 1683) Yet, Shāh 'Ālam demanded from the Portuguese a large fee for having rescued them from Sambhājī! When they demurred, he plotted to seize Goa by treachery and ravaged the surrounding country when he was baulked of his prey This, says Saikar, was "the worst mistake the Prince could have committed, because ultimately it meant the annihilation of his army through famine" 50

The historic disaster of the worse than Zenophon retreat (more like Napoleon's from Moscow) of Shah 'Alam's army has been graphically described by Manucci who was an eye witness They were retreating over the Rämghät pass "a league and a half of ascent Here Sambhājī might have killed the whole of us, for it was a place difficult to climb, with narrow paths passing through jungle and thorny scrub But he did not choose to attempt it, and they said he was acting in collusion with Shāh 'Ālam But what Sambhājī did not do by attacking us, God carried out by the pestilence which raged in the army with such violence that in seven days of its prevalence everyone died who was attacked-that is about one-third of the army Of this disease there died every day five hundred men, nor was the mortality confined to men only-it extended to horses, elephants and camels This made the air pestilential, and it being a confined route, supplies also failed, and this was

like encountering another enemy. For although, as I said, wheat was abundant, from this time there were no animals to carry it. Thus the soldiers had more than enough to undergo Many of those whose horses died had no money to buy others, nor was there anyone in the camp ready to sell. They were thus forced to march on foot, and many died of the great heat and thirst they underwent '51. The miserable remnants of Shāh 'Ālam's army reached Ahmednagar on 18 May 1684, having accomplished nothing beyond burning and plundering a portion of the Konkan. "He hath taken no stronghold," observed the English, "but ruins the country, lays all waste and burns all towns he comes near "52

Aurangzeb then concentrated on the conquest of Bijāpūr and Golkonda which he accomplished in 1686 and 1687 respectively 53 Sambhājī sent some succour to the beleaguered cities but could do little more Aurangzeb also accused the Qutb Shah of having sent a lakh of pagodas to 'the wicked Sambha' When the two Sultanates were destroyed and their armies disbanded, Sambhājī found employment for most of them "God made use of this very expedient of Aurangzeb," writes Manucci, "to counteract his projects In disbanding the soldiers of those other kingdoms, he imagined he was making his future enterprises a certainty But Sambhājī was thereby only rendered the more powerful, for although he had no sufficient resources to entertain so many men, he welcomed all who resorted to him, and in place of pay allowed them to plunder wherever they pleased "54 All the same, flushed with his recent triumphs over Bījāpūr and Golkonda, Aurangzeb vowed that he would not return to the North 'until he had seen Sambhājī's bleeding head weltering at his feet'55

One of the windfalls of the Mughal offensive at a very critical stage was the death of Hambir Rāo Mohité, Śivājī's great generalissimo (Dec 1687) The Mughal general Sarja Khān indeed met with at Wāi 'the fate that had befallen Afzal Khan,'56 but it was a pyrrhic victory for the Marāṭhās Hambīr Rāo drew the enemy into a death-trap in the Mahā-baleśvar Hills—as the Śirkés had done with Malik-u't-Tujjār

—and slaughtered them. "The warworn cavalry leader," writes Kincaid, "added to skilful generalship an intimate knowledge of the Deccan and Konkan hills. On the battle-field the sound of the veteran's voice was worth fifty squadrons. In the council chamber he alone ventured to beard the infamous Kalasha or recall to his master a fitting sense of his exalted duties. Had Hambirrao lived, it is possible that with his hold firmly established on Jinji and with the resources of much of southern India at his command, Sambhaji would have repelled the Mughal offensive. But on Hambirrao Mohite's death Kalasha became all powerful and Sambhaji became more and more a slave to profligacy and intemperance, and the effects of the King's vice and sloth were soon visible in the disasters of his armies."

The sins of omission and commission were indeed beginning to bear fruit for Sambhājī 'When Bālājī Āvjī, his son Āvjī Ballāl and brother Sāmjī were trampled under the feet of elephants, Yesu Bāi, Sambhājī's queen, is stated (by Chitnis) to have declared to her erring husband "You have not acted properly in killing Bālājī Prabhu, he was a venerable and trustworthy servant Sivājī used to confide his secrets to him and say-'Chitnis is the very life of the kingdom and myself' On oath he had pledged that office to Bālājī and his family You have killed and alienated so many, the few remaining also you have treated so unfairly What will become of our kingdom?"58 Rāmdās had likewise admonished the Prince advising him to avoid excesses and to act always in the memory of his noble father 50 Raghunāth Pant Hanumanté (whom Sambhaii had displaced by his brother-in-law Hirji Mahādik, as viceroy of the Karnātak) equally candidly asked "Why is the kingdom shrinking daily?" Why is the Siddi still Why are Brahmans being beheaded instead of being imprisoned? Why are the enemies sought to be won over instead of executed? Why is the administration in Kalusha's hands instead of the King's ?"60 The one and only answer was that Sambhājī had gone too far down the primrose path to be redeemed

Writers have blamed Kayı Kalas for this Khwāfī Khān, describes Sambhājī's boon companion as a 'filthy dog' He also observes that 'Unlike his father, (Sambhājī was addicted to wine, and fond of the society of handsome women, and gave himself up to the pleasure pleasures which bring so many men of might to their ruin '01 Both Sambhājī and Kabjī were 'entirely unaware of the approach of the Falcon of Destiny,' as they were regaling themselves with the gifts of Bacchus and Venus, at Sangamesvar (22 ms N E of Ratnagiri) on the Ghats This was none other than Mugarrab Khān,62 Aurangzeb's emissary, who with 3,000 picked men came from Kolhāpūr 'with the speed of lightning' and pounced upon his prey on 1 February 1689 Two weeks later the unfortunate prisoners were presented to the Emperor in his camp at Bahadurgad A verdict of death was pronounced by the doctors of law for having 'slain, captured, and dishonoured Muslims, and plundered the cities of Islām' The captives then became legitimate targets of humiliation, ridicule and torture (at which the Inquisitors of Europe might have blushed) at the hands of the true believers Finally, on 11 March 1689, the infidels were put through a most barbarous execution at Korégām on the Bhīmā (12 ms N E of Poona) The place was renamed Fatehābād 63

Martin alleges that 'some of the leading Brahmans', dis gusted with Sambhājī's misconduct, conceived 'the design of destroying him'. They informed some imperial officers and got troops placed in ambush 'at a place which was convenient for their purpose'. Then luring Sambhājī into 'the diversion of hunting, caused him to be led into the trap where the Mughals enveloped him. His head was by order of the Emperor carried to various provinces and publicly exposed in many cities'61

"It has been said," writes Manucci, "that custom becomes nature, and a man accustomed to any vice cannot, even when he would, free himself from the tendency that by repeated acts he has contracted Thus was it with Sambhājī Habituated to interfering with other men's wives, now when it had become necessary to act the hero, he could not rid himself of his per-

verse inclinations This was the cause of his losing liberty and life. Kab Kalish availed himself of this evil propensity to deliver him into the hands of Aurangzeb." The traitor was the first to be punished, "so that he might be unable to state that this great treason had been plotted at Aurangzeg's instigation." Then Sambhajī was painfully paraded on a camel with the cap and bells of a clown, and when the humiliating and painful perambulation was completed, Aurangzeb "ordered his side to be cloven open with an axe and his heart to be extracted." The body was thrown to the dogs 65

Vain hatred! Mahārāstra could not be crushed that way. The murder of Sambhājī sent a thrill of horror through every Marāthā heart and made his hair stand on end The reaction revealed that every such hair was also turned into a spike. for Aurangzeb had unwittingly sown the dragon's teeth "It seemed as if the death of Sambhājī," Manucci observes, "was bound to secure Aurangzeb's lordship over all the lands of Hindustan down to the sea But the commanders of valorous Shivaji, father of this unfortunate man, were by this time practised in fighting the Mughals, and expert in the way of dealing with those foreigners who deserted from his side. They determined to continue the campaign and uphold the cause of Rām Rājā, younger brother of the deceased Therefore they took him out of the prison and made him their prince Thus in 1689 the war recommenced with great fury not enough for Aurangzeb to have made himself master of Bījāpūr and of Gulkandah, he must needs oppress a little prince who yet was strong enough to compel so potent a king to remain away from his kingdom (i e Hindustan) and dwell in camp merely to prevent the loss of his previous conquests '" 66

The period of eighteen years, from 1689 to 1707, was one of utmost trial for the Marāthās Their race had produced not only a Śivājī, but also a Sambhājī How could the future of such a people be confidently predicted? Rājārām was still in his teens and was not a man of genius, certainly not a leader of the qualities of his father, nor had he the drive or flare of Sambhājī Shāhū, son of Sambhājī, was a lad of seven.

THE CRISIS 253

summers Leadership that the situation demanded was not to be found within the royal family. This was indeed the crest of the crisis, but the nation produced other men of drive and decision, of courage and character, of brawn as well as brain. That is why, despite the resources and determination of Aurangzeb, the country was saved. As men of faith, indeed, as the Amātya put it, "all his efforts proved futile by the grace of God". Yet is it equally true that. Providence was acting through men like the Amātya himself. 'This object, just as it was conceived in the mind of His Majesty, was carried out on account of God's extreme kindness and your efforts '67

The saviours of the legacy of Sivaji and the heritage of Mahārāstra at this time—to name only the most prominent -were 1 Rāmachandrapant Bāvdékār Amātya, 2 Sankrājī Nārāyan, 3 Paraśurām Trimbak, 4 Santājī Ghorpadé, 5 Dhanājī Jādhav, 6 Khando Ballāļ Chiținis, and 7 Pralhād They were the seven sages (saptarși), the BRAIN TRUST of Mahārāştra whose courage, wisdom, resourcefulness, perseverance, patriotism, presence of mind, loyalty, selflessness and devotion to duty saved Mahārāstra But it is not to be forgotten at the same time that these great qualities were 'in the widest commonalty spread', without which little could have been achieved by leadership alone. The innumerable heroes and heroines of Mahārāstra in those dark days of sore strain—despite the blacksheep among them bore themselves up with courage and patience It was their 'blood, sweat, tears and toil' not less than the statesmanship of the Amātya and the valuant generalship of Dhanājī and Santājī that made instory for Mahārāṣṭra While 'His Majesty'-Rājārām-sup plied the sentimental and traditional tie, the wisdom and val our of these Pillars of State overcame all obstacles 'by the grace of God' Faith, indeed, is life-giving This Faith, which moves mountains, was the 'cumulative index' of the work done in Mahārāṣṭra by saints like Dnānésvar, Eknāth, Tukārām and Rāmdās, as well as by all the Pioneers—as political sappers and miners—which preceded the great nation-builder Śīvājī

Rājārām does not appear to have undergone a formal coronation. In his letter to the *Pant Sachiv* Sankrājī (25 Aug 1697) he says 'God will bring back Shāhū surely in course of time, he is the true master of the kingdom. All that I am doing is for his sake only. Ultimately all people have to look up to him. it is God's will'68. Yet the proclamation of Rājārām as King proved a wise step. For on 19 October 1689, when Rāigad was captured by Zulfiqār Khān, Shāhū and other members of the royal family were taken prisoners. Rājārām by his escape to Pratāpgad (5 April), thence to Panhālā, and finally to Ginjī, which he reached on 15th Nov 1689, had saved the monarchy. The 'flight' was as cleverly planned and as romantically executed as Śivājī's escape from Āgrā 69. It was part of the strategy which the Marāthā alone had the genius to carry out.

Rājārām remained in Ginjī for eight long years, until November 1697 The Mughals besieged that historic stronghold from September 1690 to 8 January 1698, though they were not seriously at it all that time. Still the presence of Rājārām there, most of the period, served to tie up vast forces and supplies in the South, which the imperialists could ill spare from Mahārāṣtra proper. Had Aurangzeb been able to concentrate all his attention and resources on his central target during this vital stage of his war, the result might have been fatal to the Marāthā cause. Nor was Ginjī captured finally along with Rājārām—the bird had flown before the nest was taken

Fort St George had noted on 14 Nov 1689 Rājārām's 'designe of comeing hither being reported to divert the Mugull's army from thence and joine with severall Gentue Naigues and raise a considerable army to retake the Gulcondah and Vizapore Kingdoms, with there is great probability of, both places being at present very weakly guarded '70 It is interesting to note that the Ādnāpatra also states ('After achieving so much success by favour of God, Rājārām divulged his inmost object of conquering the country occupied by the Yavanas, of destroying the Yavana conspiracy, and of beating down the

Yavana predominance which had taken root in the East, West and South, by sending large armies ?

There is confirmation of these objectives as well in the correspondence of the Marāthā generals and officers. A letter of 22 March 1690, written by Khando Ballāl *Chitnis* speaks of the rallying of the Poligārs of the South in these terms. The news here is Since Rājārām reached Karnātak 40,000 cavalry and 1,25,000 foot-soldiers have joined him, more are coming. The hereditary Poligārs of that province have all come over to him. It has become an impressive rally.

Aurangzeb, all this time, was hovering between Bijāpur and Brahmapuri (Islāmpūr) Up to 1699 he tried out all his best generals in both the principal theatres of war, namely, Karnātak and Mahārāṣṭra Thereafter (1699-1705), disgusted with their quarrels, corruptions, inefficiency, disloyalty, dishonesty and defeats, particularly in Maharastra—the Emperor desperately decided to direct the operations in person The result of this despairing adventure was that the imperial octagenarian suffered a physical break-down and felt constrained to retire to Ahmednagar, on 20 January 1706, where he died a year later one by one the old, able and independent officers and courtiers of his earlier years," writes Sarkar, "had passed away, and he was now surrounded only by tımıd sychophants and upstart nobles of his own creation, who could never venture to contradict him in his errors nor give him honest counsel The mutual jealousies of his generals-Nusrat Jang against Firuz Jang, Shujaet Khan against Md Murad, Tarbiyat Khan against Fathullah Khan,—ruined his affairs completely as the French cause in the Peninsular War was ruined by the jealousies of Napoleon's marshals"73

When Rājārām reached Ginjī he set up a Court there with all the paraphernalia of Marāthā government. As noticed above, he also rallied all the local forces around himself. In January 1690, even the Mughal feudatories and officers (newly brought under them) like Yāchappa Nāik, 'Ismā'il and Md Sādiq, rebelled against Aurangzeb and joined Rājārām. In April, the imperialists from Madras to Kunimedu were hone-

lessly outnumbered and defeated, and forced to flee to the European settlements on the coast The situation was slightly improved when Zulfigar Khan, the Mughal C-in-C arrived at Conjivaram in August and began the siege of Ginji the next For a time even Rajaram retired from Ginji, but he soon returned in February following Zulfiqar was baffled by the mocking fortress while the Marāthās, recovering from their first shock, began to harass him incessantly By April the deceptive superiority of the Mughals melted away and the Marāthās played havoc with their camp and supplies 74 Aurangzeb sent heavy reinforcements on 16 December 1691 under Zulfiqār's father Asad Khān (Imperial Wazīr) and Prince Kām Bakhsh (Yet nothing was achieved) and the Mughal officers preyed upon the zamindars of the surrounding country 'The rains fell with excessive severity Grain was dear The soldiers, naving to spend days and nights together in the trenches, suffered great hardship, the entire tract looked like one lake' To make matters worse, men of the garrison of Ginjī sallied out and slaughtered the drenched Mughal soldiers (Bad as he Mughal position was during the rainy season, says Sarkar, t became absolutely untenable in the winter 15

Early in December 1692, 30,000 Marāthā cavalry arrived, led by renowned generals like Santājī Ghorpadé and Dhanājī Jādhav. Their first success was the capture of the Mughal faujdār of Conjivaram, Ali Mardān Khān, along with 1500 horse and six elephants. All the property and equipment of the Mughals was plundered. The Khān was, however, released for a ransom of one lākh of hons. Several nobles and imperial officers fled for refuge to Madras where they were succoured by the English. The victorious Marāthās established their authority over Conjivaram and the Kadapa district.

At Ginjī the besiegers were themselves besieged So completely were they encircled that all communications with their base-camp were cut off Aurangzeb's favourite son, Kām Bakhsh, himself opened secret negotiations with Rājārām But he was arrested for his treason by the other generals and tumultuous scenes were enacted 'The audacity of the infidels'

exceeded all bounds and death stared the Muslims in the face '77 A desperate attempt was made by the imperialists to extricate themselves from the death-trap, but their ammunition was soon finished. However, timely reinforcements coming under Sarfarāz Khān and the heroism of Dalpat Rāi Bundela, saved the Mughals with the skin of their teeth. They were then allowed to withdraw (23 January 1693) to Wandiwash, but not until the Wazīr Asad Khān himself had made overtures to Rājārām to secure a pitiable truce '78')

The siege of Ginjī was not renewed in earnest until November 1697 During the interlude between January 1693 and November 1697 the Mughals diverted themselves over the rest of Karnātak They won over Yāchappa Nāik and 'Ismā'il Maka, subdued fortresses in the S Arcot district, invaded Tanjore and exacted tribute from Ekoji's son Shāhjī II (Towards the close of 1694 they turned to Ginji, but only to deceive the Emperor Bhimsen writes 'If he (Zulfiqar) had wished it he could have captured the fort on the very day he reached Jinji But it is the practice of generals to prolong operations (for their own profit and ease)' Manucci too observes The project did not suit Zulfiqar Khan's views Success in it would have ended the war, and with it his own power 7 Consequently, the offer of Yachappa Naik to take the fort within a short time was not merely turned down, but he was barbarously executed as a traitor 79 Sarfarāz Khān left the camp in utter disgust in April 1695, without even asking for Zulfiqār's permission Vellore was invested in October, but it held out for many years, and was not taken until 14 August Meanwhile, the arrival of Santājī and Dhanājī created such panic that many took fright and prepared to decamp sending their families to Madras 80 Zulfiqar himself took shelter in Arcot (1696) The soldiers were kept in arrears of pay He even threatened to levy blackmail from the English at Madras, as no money came from the Emperor 81 (The siege of Ginjī was resumed only when Santājī had been murdered by the agents of Dhanājī (June 1697) and Rājārām had left for Viśālgad ji To preserve appearances," writes Wilkes, "it was 17

necessary to report frequent attacks and repulses On the other side Dāud Khān (Panni), second in command of the Mughal army, drank largely of the best European liquors, and when full of the god would perpetually volunteer the extirpation of the infidels Zulfiqār necessarily assented to these enterprises, but always gave secret intelligence to the enemy of the time and place of attack, and the troops of Dāud Khān were often repulsed with slaughter "s2"

Zulfiqar and his coadjutors in the Karnatak may not be singled out for such comment. Elsewhere in the Mughal army things were no better In May 1690, when Rustam Khan was captured by the joint-action of Ramchandra Pant, Sankraji, Santaji and Dhanaji, near Satara, the tide appeared to be turning against Aurangzeb for the first time sy It was a signal triumph for the Marathas 1500 Mughals fell on the field and the Khān's family too was captured, together with 4,000 horses, 8 elephants, and the entire baggage of Rustam's camp. After sixteen days the Mughal general purchased his freedom for one lākh of rupees The Marāthās then captured in quick succession the fortresses of Pratapgad, Rohida, Rajgad and Tornā in the course of the same year Parasurām Pant took Panhālā in 1692, but the Mughals, under Prince Muizuddin could not wrest it from him even after a close investment from l'692-94 Then Prince Bidar Bakht tried his skill at it until 1696, followed by Firuz Jang, but all in vain (From 1693-95 he Marāthās, particularly under Santājī Ghorpade and Amrtão Nimbālkar, were actively harassing the Mughals while heir generals were quarrelling among themselves The period losed with the defeat and death of two first-rate imperial generals.—Qāsim Khān and Himmat Khān sī)

The former general had been sent against Santājī in November 1695. Finding that local zamīndārs like Barmappa Nāik had made common cause with the Marāṭhās, 'a very choice corps' was despatched to assist Qāim Khān, under Khanazād Khān and Murād Khān. But Santājī proved himself more than equal to this picked military talent of the Mughals. He entrapped the enemy in the citadel of Dodderi

in the Chitaldurg district of Mysore. There the rump of the beaten army had gathered for refuge, and such was their panic that the very officers (Khān azād Khān, Sāf Shikand and Md Murad) scrambled into safety before their men The rank and file were left in the lurch to starve and die, for provisions were scarce The transport animals are said to have eaten the thatch of neighbouring cottages 'They even chewed one another's tails mistaking them for straw!' The officers, once they sneaked into the safety of the stronghold, shamelessly declared "How gallantly have we brought ourselves here 1"83 Qasım Khan drugged himself to death out of despair The rest purchased their freedom at great cost. The terms of the capitulation were strictly observed by the Marathas, but not by the Mughals With a rare sense of chivalry Santājī supplied bread and water to the famished and woe-begone imperialists and nursed them back into life "On the third day Khanazad Khan started for the Court with a Maratha escort "86"

Within two months of this triumph, on 20 January 1696, Santājī scored another great victory over Himmat Khān, at Basavapatṭan. Here the general sent for the rescue of Qāsim Khān was killed in action, and his troops were caught in the citadel as at Dodderi. Finally, Hamid-u'd-dīn Khān followed with an army of 12,000 and retrieved the situation. "That is how a soldier fights!" so declared Aurangzeb, praising Hamid-Bīdar Bakht was sent to punish the rebellious zamīndārs of Mysore, while Santājī was away at Ginjī (end of January 1696)

Passing over the desultory fighting which continued in several places, and the murder of Santājī Ghorpadé in June 1697 (which we shall comment upon later), we must here refer to an abortive peace offer made in September 1698. It is not unlikely that Rājārām, who lacked the iron will of his father and brother in relation to the Mughals, and depressed over the tragic loss of the great general Santājī, might have desired a respite. But soon better counsels prevailed and a more vigorous policy was adopted. Early in 1699 Rājārām made a tour of inspection over Konkan visiting all the forts. In June

he returned to Sātārā which he contemplated making his capital)) In September he planned an extensive campaign into Khandesh and Berar In October he was actually out on what unfortunately proved his last expedition Broken in health he returned to Sımhagad within a few months and died there on 2 March 1700 88 He was but thirty years of age then 'At that time,' writes Chitnis, 'he called together the Amatya and other ministers and declared "Ever since the time of the Great King (Sivājī) you have been exerting yourselves in the cause of the Kingdom My end is near Hereafter you should all join together and continue the work as at present should not slacken your efforts to secure the return of Shāhū. when I am no more You will win if you concentrate on that objective,—you know it well What more shall I add?" So saying, he commended Ramchandra Pant and the rest to one Commanding all to act in obedience to the great another Amātya, with a prayerful heart, he went to his eternal rest'80

This illuminating record clearly reflects the soul of the dying Prince conscious of his own limitations, he_sincerely desired the return of Shāhū, while appreciative of the devotion of his ministers, he was apprehensive of the divisions among them The blood-feud between Dhanājī and Santāji, with its tragic result, was a portentous warning Personally too weak in mind and body to give a vigorous lead to his compatriots, he undoubtedly showed the greatest sagacity in entrusting tasks which were obviously beyond his own capacity to hands that were more capable and brains that were more resourceful like those of the Amātya' and his coadjutors By his last act of commendation, leaving the kingdom in the safe hands of Rāmchandra Pant Amātya Hukmatpanah, Rājārām redeemed at one stroke all his faults of omission and Historians have failed to appreciate the chacommission racter of this amiable Prince He might have been weak, but he was shrewd, sincere, patriotic, well-meaning and inclined to be magnanimous. His death undoubtedly deepened the crisis of his country, though his survivors had both the courage and power to tide over it

To follow the summary of the situation given by Chitnis Here Parasuram Pant, Sankraji Pant, and Hukmat-panah recovered the forts of Panhālā, Sātārā, etc Konkan had been assigned by Sambhājī to Sidojī Gujar, and Kānhōjī Āñgré was under him Considering Sidoji wise, brave, and virile, he was taken to Ginii; and Angré was placed in charge of Suvarna-durg With great vigilance he guarded that province and its strongholds When Rājārām returned, he was made Sarkhel on account of his meritorious services Rāmchandra Pant, by his great valour, had protected the kingdom during Rājārām's absence. therefore he was invested with all authority. and he continued to guide the destinies of the State '90 Sankrātī who was Sachiv until 1690 was given the title of Rājādnā, and put in charge of the territory covered by Raigad Amātya, personally looked after the region between Karhād and Gokarna The army was commanded by Ramchandra Pant and Sankrājī with Santājī and Dhanājī under them Paraśurām Pant, the captor of Panhālā, also conquered the lands and forts between Miraj and Rangna He earned the titles of Sūbā-lashkar and Samser-jang, and in course of time became Pratinidhi and Amātya He combined in himself the civi qualities of Rāmchandra Pant and the military qualities of Sankrājī, and earned the utmost confidence of Tārābāj after the death of Rājārām Pralhād Nirājī and Khando Ballāl were equally serviceable to Rājārām while he was at Ginjī

Santājī Ghorpaḍé belonged to the Kāpšī branch of the Bhoslā family He was pre-eminently a soldier, but too im petuous, almost ungovernable and imperious This characte brought him into conflict with Dhanājī Jādhav, which soor appeared to revive the ancient family feud of Bhoslā vs Jādhav Had Rājāram the tact or force of personality, he might have composed their differences, but he seemed to favour Dhanājī Consequently the quarrel culminated in the cowardly crime of murdering Santājī while he was bathing in a sequestered stream in a corner of the country 31 Dhanājī had already superseded Santājī as Senāpatī He had served with distinction under Pratāp Rāo Gujar and fought at Umrāni and Ne-

sari He came to be honoured as Jaising Rāo for his victories against the Mughals. Certainly he was a great general, though he lacked the fire and flash of his murdered rival

We need not follow Aurangzeb in his tale of woe in all detail. The dénouement of his life was an unspeakable tragedy During the last eight years (1699-1707), like a petty miser counting and recounting his coins, the senile Emperor was obsessed with taking and retaking forts "The rest of his life is a repetition of the same sickening tale," says Sarkar hill-fort captured by him after a vast expenditure of time, men and money, the fort recovered by the Marathas from the weak Mughal garrison after a few months and its siege begun again by the Mughals a year or two later! His soldiers and campsuffered unspeakable hardships in marching over flooded rivers, muddy roads, and broken hilly tracks, porters disappeared, transport beasts died of hunger and overwork; scarcity of grain was ever present in his camp. His officers wearied of this labour of Sisyphus, but Aurangzib would burst into wrath at any suggestion of return to Northern India and taunted the unlucky counsellor with cowardice and love of ease

Therefore, the Emperor must conduct every operation in person, or nothing would be done "92

Leaving Brahmapuri (Islāmpūr), which he had occupied continuously from 1695-99, Aurangzeb took Vasantgaḍ in November 1699, Sātārā occupied him from Dec 1699—April 1700, Pārlī, April—June 1700, Panhālā and Pavangaḍ, March—May 1701, Vardhan, Nandgīr, Chandan-Vandan, June—Oct, Viśālgaḍ, Dec —June 1702, Simhagaḍ, Dec —April 1703, Rājgaḍ, Dec —Feb 1704, Tornā, Feb —March, and Wāgingerā, Feb—April 1795 Halting at Khawāṣpūr (1700), Khatāw (1701), Bahādurgaḍ (1702), Poona (1703), Khed (1704), and Devapūr (1705), during the rains each year, destiny overtook the aged Emperor at last in October 1750 Breaking up his camp at Devapūr on the 23rd of that month he set out for the North in a pālkī He reached his 'journey's end' at Ahmednagar on the morning of Friday, 20 February 1707. Indeed, as he used to say,

'In a twinkle, in a minute, in a breath, The condition of the world changeth' 93

The Marāthās pursued him like Yama to the very verge of earthly existence. Like the rats of Bishop Hatto, helter skelter they poured in, at all times and places. When Autrangzeb commenced his fatal retreat, some fifty to sixty thousand? Marāthās pursued him, cutting off his supplies and stragglers, and even threatening to break into his very camp. The Emperor left annihilation and anarchy behind him 'Many mansabdārs in the Deccan,' writes Bhīmsen, 'starving and impoverished, have gone over to the Marāthās' bis In April or May 1706 a vast Marāthā force appeared within four miles of the imperial entourage. Khān-i-Alam was despatched to drive them away, but he was hopelessly overwhelmed. Strong reinforcements, however, kept the Marāthās at arm's length, but' not out of harm's way, after severe fighting.

In Gujarāt, Dhanājī Jādhav sacked Baroda in March 1706 Nazrat 'Alı, the imperial faujdār was taken prisoner, and the other Mughal officers fled to Broach Similar raids were carried into the outskirts of Ahmednagar in May In the South, the Marāthās captured Penukonda, 'the key of both the Karnāṭaks,' and attacked Sirā A hit-and-run campaign was kept up incessantly by the Marāthās, allowing no respite to the Mughals ⁹⁵

To sum up, from the campaign of Rājārām, 'As before, the Maratha army was formed into three divisions Dhanaji Jadhav, in addition to his supreme command, led one division Parashuram Trimbak led the second and Shankar Narayan the third Early in 1699 Rajaram took the field with the combined divisions, amounting at least to sixty thousand men, and as the army advanced northwards, it was joined by brigades under Parsoji Bhosle, the founder of the Bhosle house of Nagpur, Haibatrao Nimbalkar, Nemaji Sindia, and Atole This mighty force moved towards the Godavari valley The Moghul garrisons who tried to resist were overwhelmed Dhanaji Jadhav defeated one large body of imperial troops near

Pandharpur Shankar Narayan cleared another contingent under Sarza Khan out of the Puna district Entering the valley of the Godavari, Rajaram publicly proclaimed his right to levy from it the chauth and the sardeshmukhi From those villages that could not pay, bonds were taken From the Godaveri valley Rajaram reached into Khandesh and Bérar This time he came not as a mere raider, and to convince the inhabitants that he would give them protection, and exercise sovereignty, he divided the country into military districts and left in them strong detachments under distinguished generals Khanderao Dabhade took command in Baglan and northern Parson Bhosle was made governor of Berar, Nemajr Nasık Sindia governor of Khandesh, and Haibatrao Nimbalkar governor of the valley of the Godavari Rajaram himself led a large body of cavalry to plunder the rich city of Jalna, some miles south-east of Aurangabad After the departure of the regent (1 e Rajaram), Nemaji Sindia won an important success near Nandarbar, a large town some eighty miles east of Surat '96 It was while returning from Jalna that Rājārām had died at Simhagad in March 1700 The domestic quarrels which ensued and the civil war with Shāhū after his return from the imperial camp in 1707, will be dealt with in the next volume This indeed created another major crisis in the history of the Marāthās, but the manner in which they met it might be briefly characterised in the words of Khwafi Khan, the Mughal historian

'When Ram Raja (Rājārām) died, leaving only widows and infants, men thought that the power of the Marathas over the Dakhin was at an end But Tara Bai, the elder wife (of Rājārām), made her son of three years old successor to his father, and took the reigns of government into her own hands. She took vigorous measures for ravaging the Imperial territory; and sent armies to plunder the six subas of the Dakhin as far as Sironj, Mandisor, and the suba of Malwa She won the hearts of her o ficers, and for all the struggles and schemes, the campaigns and sieges of Aurangzeb up to the end of his reign, the powey of the Marathas increased day by day By

hard fighting, by the expenditure of the vast treasures accumulated by Shah Jahan, and by the sacrifice of many thousands of men, he had penetrated into their wretched country, had subdued their lofty forts, and had driven them from house and home, still the daring of the Marathas increased, and they penetrated into the old territories of the Imperial throne, plundering and destroying wherever they went In imitation of the Emperor, who with his armies and enterprising amirs was staying in those distant mountains, the commanders of Tara Baı cast the anchor of permanence wherever they penetrated, and having appointed kamaishdars (revenue collectors) they passed the years and months to their satisfaction with their wives and children, tents and elephants Their daring went beyond all bounds They divided all the paraganas (districts) among themselves, and following the practice of the Imperial rule they appointed their subadars (governors), kamaishdars (revenue officers) and rahdars (toll-collectors) They attacked and destroyed the country as far as the borders of Ahmedabad and the districts of Malwa, and spread their devastations through the provinces of the Dakhin to the environs of Ujjain They fell upon and plundered large caravans within ten or twelve kos of the Imperial camp, and even had the hardshood to attack the royal treasure It would be a troublesome and useless task,' concludes Khwafi Khan. 'to commit to writing all their misdeeds but it must suffice to record some few of the events which occurred in those days of steges which, after all, had no effect in suppressing the daring of the Marathas' 07)

Aurangzeb, at one moment, according to Bhīmsen, had attempted appeasement, but it proved too late and futile 'As the Marāthās had not been vanquished, and the entire Deccan had come into their possession like a deliciously cooked pudding, why should they make peace? The envoys of the Prince returned in disappointment and Rājā Shāhū was again placed under surveillance in the gulāl bār '98

CHAPTER TEN

THE ACHIEVEMENT

आहे तितुकें जतन करावें । पुढे आणिक मिळवावें । महाराष्ट्रराज्य करावे । जिकडे तिकडे ना

RĀMDĀS

'Whatsoever there is should be conserved: more should be acquired, the Mahā-rāstra kingdom should be extended, in all directions' The objectives of the Marāthās could not have been put more clearly than in these words of Swāmī Rāmdās We have in the preceding nine chapters examined the history of the Marathas from the advent of Islamic power in the Deccan to the death of the Mughal emperor Aurangzeb (1295-1707) During these four hundred odd years we have witnessed the rise of a new force which was to shape the destiny of India for a little over another hundred years eighteenth century was a great turning point in the history of It saw the catastrophe of the Mughal Empire, modern India the climax of the Maratha power, the fall of the French and the rise of the British dominion in our country The British really conquered India neither from the French nor from the Mughals, but from the Marathas The ultimate failure of the last named in building up a free and prosperous Hindusthan has prejudiced critics to such a degree that their rôle in Indian history has been greatly misjudged. Indeed, nothing succeeds like success, and historians are almost invariably partial However, truth demands an unbiased towards the successful assessment While the Marathas cannot escape from the just verdict of historians that they sadly missed a golden opportunity to create in the whole of India a Mahā-Rāstra or 'Great Dominion', we should not be blind to their great achievements There is, undoubtedly, a tide in the affairs of men, and the Marāthās were no exception They were not merely unfortunate, but they also blundered. They had their own faults and shortcomings. Yet, to judge a people in their total effort finally we should examine their entire history. We would therefore reserve this task for a later volume. At the present stage of our enquiry, we can do no better than tentatively focus the reader's attention on what the Marāthās achieved during the four centuries which elapsed between the invasion of 'Alā-u'd-Dīn Khaljī and the death of Aurangzeb. It will be admitted that this was by all tests an honest record and a proud achievement.

To begin with, we have witnessed how, for lack of leader-ship, during the earlier centuries of the Muslim advance into the Deccan, Mahārāṣtra was not merely over-run by the Yavana hordes but also all but totally overwhelmed. Without trying to recount in detail the nature of this calamity, we might roundly characterise the reaction in the words of Sewell, who wrote about Vijayanagar. Everything seemed to be leading up to one inevitable end—the ruin and devastation of the Hindu provinces, the annihilation of their old royal houses, the destruction of their religion, their temples, their cities. All that the dwellers in the South held most dear seemed tottering to its fall. But suddenly, about the year 1344 A.D., there was a check to this foreign invasion—a stop—a halt—then a solid wall of opposition, and for 250 years South India was saved

The success of the early kings was phenomenal'2

Despite this success of the Vijayanagar kings history was to repeat itself. Rakkastangadi, in 1565, appeared to have undone all the good work of the Rāyās. When the sun of the glories of Vijayanagar set with the red glow of destruction in that fateful year, the dark sky of the Hindus of the peninsula was studded only with innumerable orbs of a lesser magnitude. The Nāyaks and Poligārs, indeed, shed a baleful halo which boded no good to anybody in the South. Srī Ranga verily struggled heroically to renovate the vanished empire, but he was doomed to fail in that anarchical age. His people had lost the inspiration, and he lacked the genius and personality to ride the storm. Like the heroes of Rājasthān in North

India, after an epoch of glorious resistance to the foreign invaders, South India as well appeared to have succumbed to a spell of exhaustion But thanks to the character of the Marāthās, Hindu civilisation was again saved

The rise of the Marāthā power has hardly a parallel in the history of India Neither the Rapputs nor the Sikhs, with all their noble qualities, could ever rise to the great eminence reached by the Marathas Even the achievements of Vijaya nagar were confined to the south of the Tungabhadra, though its inspiration watered the roots of Maratha freedom uniqueness of the Marāthā movement lay in its national character? It was not the creation of any single individual, but it was born out of the sufferings of a great people,-a people with a number of virtues which gave rise to and sustained the Maratha effort to build up an independent state The hidden sources of its strength were not in the armories which fashioned the crude weapons of the rough Marāthā soldiers, but lay in the character of the people and their country How far the geography of Mahārāştra fashioned the history and fortunes of its people is too large a question to be discussed here But we are inclined to emphasise the human more than the natural (1 e geographical and physical) elements in the moulding of Marätha history Race and environments, -soil, climate, and the rivers, mountains and valleys-did ındeed play a very important rôle, but we are more interested in knowing what the Marāthās, so circumstanced, did in order to improve their lot From this point of view, even the much discussed ethnology of the people of Maharastra, and the Kşatrıya lıneage of the Bhoslés and other ruling families are of secondary and purely scholastic interest 4 The total achievement was the resultant of all these factors, no doubt, but it was the moral character and political genius of the people of Maharastra that brought about the result which alone concerns us here If race and physical environments alone decided the character of a people's history, we can hardly account for the rise and fall of nations and states Even this philosophical question need not divert us from our historical or factual

survey The Marāthās of our study were confronted with a very natural and human problem namely, the problem of survival They were threatened with cultural and political extinction, but they showed guts, moral fibre, and political tenacity By virtue of these they survived, achieved their freedom, and, what is of greater historical importance, also made creative contributions to the heritage of the Hindus To note these is our main business in this chapter

The collapse of the Hindus before the armies of the Khalis and the Tughlags revealed the hollowness of the Yādaya dominion In the matter of defence of the realmthe most fundamental duty of every government—the rulers of Maharastra had miserably failed The people had to pay for this delinquency by over three centuries of political subjection to the conquerors But the inherent character of the Marāthās-their will and courage never to submit or yield, their pertinacity-ultimately brought them victory and freedom This was a plant of slow growth, but its roots were deep down in the soil of Mahārāstra The nation was alive though fallen for a long while Its character is to be judged, not by its fall, but by its revival Dead wood does not revive, a corpse does not rise from its grave The Maratha revival showed that the heart of Mahārāstra was quite sound even while its limbs were paralysed. More than anything else, its faith had not been shaken by the Muslim arms or its vision dimmed by defeat on the battle-fields Through 'blood, sweat, tears and toil; the soul of Mahārāṣtra worked its way to triumph

If the preceding chapters have shown anything, it is that the triumph of the Marāthās was the triumph of a people, a nation, rather than that of a few men of genius. The rôle of the leaders is, no doubt, of very great importance, but no leader can succeed without a following worthy of his leadership. Marāthā history has revealed that the people were not merely worthy of their leaders, but that they showed their mettle even in the absence of them. Leadership means organisation, there was the absence of it under Rāmdev Rāo, and

the triumph of it under Śivājī But a people once awakened, and awakened properly, can never be put down This is the meaning of the struggle which ensued after the death of Śivājī and its culmination in the dynamic freedom of Mahārāṣtra

It is significant that, when 'Ala-u'd-Din Khalii invaded the country, it was Kānhā, a provincial governor, and two women who fought against the aggressor while the king himself was listless and apathetic Sankardev and Harpaldev, again, indicated the difference between the elder and the younger generations Janardan Swami. Ekanath and Ramdas bore testimony to the essentially pragmatic outlook of the people of Mahārāstra As we have explained in an earlier chapter, even pure saints like Dnanadev, Namadev, and Tukārām-may be non-politically-poured life into the atrophied limbs of Mahārāstra and filled them with a fresh outlook and energy A people must have faith before they can fight for it They must have something precious to preserve to make it worthwhile dying for it. The value of the work of the saints, therefore, lay in making the people conscious of the treasures of the great heritage of the Hindus How successful and widespread this leaven was, was indicated by the message being propagated by not merely a potter like Gorã, a tailor like Nāmadev, a gardener like Sāvtā, and a goldsmith like Naraharı, but also by a maid servant like Janā Bāi, a prostitute like Kānhopātrā, a mahār like Chokhā, and a barber like Senā. To avoid being misunderstood, it is necessary to emphasise that their mission was spiritual, not political, but Rāmdēs showed the bearing of the one upon the other If Tukārām was like St, Francis of Assissi, Rāmdās was like St Dominic, Peter the Hermit and Ignatius Loyola Sivajī was Mazzini, Cavour and Garibaldi rolled into one the Marāthā resorgimento was a compound of many elements and forces too complex and numerous to be simply analysed in terms of 'proteins and carbohydrates or vitamins a, b, c, etc' of nationhood

Next to the rôle of the saints that of the active resisters of aggression like Kānhā, Sankardev and Harpāldev, Mukund

Rāo, Nāg Nāk (of Kondānā), šankar Rāo (of Sangameśwar) and the Sirkés (of Khelna) ought to find honourable mention. They were followed by a numerous body of adventurers and soldiers, bargīrs and śilédars, karkūns and kamāvisdars, rahdars and rāsūds. sardārs and mansabdārs.—men of all conditions and ranks who, through the very channels of submission and service, gathered experience and merits that were to constitute the bedrock of self-government The Desais and Sardesais the Deśmukhs and Sardeśmukhs, and even the Kulkarms and Pātils were to be the pillars of the new State At first as rebels. then as mercenaries, later as adventurers and careerists,these people of Mahārāstra—Brāhmans, Ksatriyas, Marāthās, Kunbis, Kolis and even Rāmosīs-were, like pebbles in a running brook, being shaped into a mighty force by the stream of history To begin with, most of them were unconscious agents, but progressively evolving into conscious pioneers of a new order in Mahārāstra The historical process was transforming men of the type of Shāhjī into those of the character of Sivājī Peasants were being moulded into Tānājī's and Bājī's, and women were becoming inspirers like Jijā Bāi and Tārā Bāi Baser metal was occasionally found mixed with the gold, but the balance was on the whole favourable to Mahārāṣtra. Meticulous scholars have laboured to pick out the black-sheep from the white, and to show that "among the Marāthās not much union was seen" The evidence cited, however, is too poor to be convincing 5 Despite the defections pointed out (of some Jādhavs, Morés, Khopadés and Pısāls, etc.) it was the patriotic Marāthās that triumphed against the better equipped imperialists As the Amatya proudly declared 'This kingdom was invaded by a powerful enemy in the person of Aurangzeb He used all his valour and all his resources in wealth and other things for the destruction and conquest of this kingdom. But all his efforts proved futile by the grace of God 1'

In the following pages, therefore, we shall be citing evidence, or samples, of the total Marāthā achievement rather than illustrations of individual genius or accomplishments. It

cannot be too often emphasised that, as we have stated before, the creations of Sivājī were also the achievements of the race, because Sivājī himself was a creature of Mahārāṣṭra. He was undoubtedly a man of superb genius, but not less a Marāṭhā on that account. He was the embodiment of the spirit of his age and country and gave direction and shape to a power that had already come into existence. Sivājī was great because he understood his people—their needs, aspirations and character—thoroughly. He was great because he had the larger vision and capacity to exploit the situation fully for the ever lasting glory of Mahārāṣṭra. Marāṭhā Svarājya which was the combined product of all these forces—individual and national—bore distinct marks of the Marāṭhā genius

The title of *Chhatrapati* itself, as pointed out before, was unique. So was also the form and character of the administration which Sivājī brought into existence. Far from being a mere imitation of what prevailed in the neighbouring Muslim States and the Mughal Empire, the Marāthā creation was an improvement as much in matters of detail as of policy, as much in the civil government as in the military organisation. These have been very well described and discussed at length by other writers, and it is not necessary, in our scope, to repeat all that has been said by them ⁶ But a few outstanding features might be usefully stressed here

In the first stage of their recovery the Marāthās, as we have noted, gathered valuable experience as mere mercenaries and servants. Then came the stage of revolt. Sivājī in his earlier days was leader and organiser of this. But revolt is essentially negative, though to be successful and fruitful—as the Marāthā movement was—it must be inspired by positive ideals. Sivājī was a man of action and a statesman. His ideals were therefore embodied in his actions. We need recall only a few illustrations here to characterise them

The first illustration of his manner and spirit was his interview with Kānhojī Jedhe and the exchange of oaths which took place between them on the eve of the encounter with Afzal Khān The whole account of the incident, reproduced

earlier.7 bears authentic testimony to the spirit of dedication to patriotic service and sacrifice that manifested itself in the awakened Maharāstra of those days The second example is that of Śiyājī's letter to Mālojī Ghorpadé 8 There was a bitter feud between the two branches of the Bhoslé family Sivājī appealed to the need for unity and tried to bring about a combination of all the Deccani interests-Hindu as well as Muhammadan-against the foreigners 'The Pathans should be destroyed and steps should be taken to keep the Pādshāhī of the Deccan in the hands of the Deccanis' The third instance is that of เร็างลิาวิ's treatment his brother Vyankojī His warning to him าก classic terms of the Mahābhārata is at once an illustration of his intentions and outlook. The advice finally embodied in the Treaty between the two brotherso is a political document of rare value It clearly enunciates the principles on which Sivājī based his administration they were principles calculated to make the civil and military organisations efficient as well as just Lastly, we would refer here to the great charter of civil rights guaranteed by Sivājī in his proclamation of 28 January 1677 (quoted m extenso in the Appendix) his State was broadbased upon the goodwill and welfare of all his people, including his Muslim subjects, has been amply testified to by impartial observers 10 There was not another ruler like Śivājī in this respect, perhaps, with the singular exception of Akbar

The beginnings of his system have been outlined for us in the account given of it by Sabhāsad. Though it appears to be somewhat scrappy and unsystematic, it is none the less authentic and happens to be the earliest connected account available of Sivājī's embryo State organisation. We make therefore no excuse in reproducing it in extenso

"The Rājé," writes Sabhāsad,¹¹ "appointed officers and framed the following regulations for the management of the forts that had been captured" In every fort there were to be a havāldār, a sabnīs, and a sarnobat,—all three of equal status. They were to conjointly carry on the administration

There was to be a store of grain and war materiel in the fort to be looked after by a kārkhānīs The accounts of income and expenditure were also to be maintained by him. In larger and more important forts, there were to be five to seven Tot sarnobats who were to divide the ramparts among themselves and keep vigilance over their respective areas. Of every 10. men to be stationed in a garrison, one was to be a nāik, the other nine to be pārks "Men of good families should in this manner be recruited" Of the forces, the musketeers (bandukhī), the spearmen (atékarī), the archers (tirandāj), and the light-armed men $(\bar{a}d-haty\bar{a}r\bar{i})$, were to be personally selected by the Rājé himself to make sure that each man was "brave and shrewd" The havāldār and sarnobat were to be Marāṭhās of good family, whose integrity was to be assured by some hujrāt or officer of the royal staff A Brāhman was similarly chosen to be sabnīs, and a Prabhu to be Kār-"In this manner each officer appointed should be khānīs different (in caste) from the others" The fort was not to be left in the charge of a havāldār alone "No single individual could surrender the fort to any rebel or miscreant this manner was the administration of the fort carefully and newly organised"

Similarly, pāgās were organised in the army The śilédārs were placed under the jurisdiction of a $p\bar{a}g\bar{a}$ "To none was left independence to rebel" Marāthā troopers with horses were called bārgīrs, 25 bārgīrs were under a havāldār, a division of 5 havāldārs (with the bārgīrs under them) formed a jumlā, which was to be under a jumlādār The salary of a jumlādār. was fixed at 500 hons, with a palanquin His Majumdar was paid 100 to 125 hons. For every 25 horses there was to be a farrier (nālband) and a water-carrier (pakhāljī) Ten jumlādārs were placed under a Hāzārī whose salary was 1,000 hons, with a majumdār, a Marāithā kārbhān, and a Kāyastha Prabhu namnis attached 500 hons were allotted for these latter Salary and palanquin were given to each individual according to his rank Accounts of income and expenditure were to be made out in the presence of all the four Five

hāzārīs were to be under a Pānch hāzārī, whose salary was to be 2,000 hons (with a Majumdār, a kārbhārī and a jamnīs attached) Over five such officers was the Sarnobat or commander-in-chief The silédārs also being similarly organised were equally under the Sarnobat The higher grade officers (hazārī, pānch Lazārī and Sarnobat) were further served by vaknausses (news-writers), harkaris (couriers and spies), and jāsūds (messengers) appointed by the Sarnobat "Bahīrjī Jāchas, a very shrewd man, was appointed Nāik of the jāsūds under the Sarnobat This man was selected after great scrutiny"

The army regulations were conceived carefully and enforced strictly The armies were to come into cantonments in the home territories during the rainy season. Grains, fodder, medicines, thatched houses for men and stables for horses were to be provided. They were to march out after Dasarā. At the time of their departure an inventory was to be prepared of all things belonging to every person (high and low) While out campaigning in the foreign territories (midukhgiri), the troops were expected to subsist on their spoils. There were to be no women, female slaves or dancing-girls, in the army He who was found keeping them was to be beheaded enemy territories, women and children should not be captured Cows should not be taken Bullocks should be requisitioned for transport purposes only Brahmans should not be molested Where contributions are laid, no Brahman should be taken as a surety None should commit adultery"

For eight months during each year the army was to be out campaigning in foreign territories. On its way back, in the month of *Vaisākh*, it was to undergo a thorough search at the frontier. Whatever a trooper carried in excess of his pay was to be calculated, deducted or recovered from his salary, by comparison with the initial inventory. Articles of very great value were to be sent to the royal treasury. If any one was found hiding anything, the sardār (searching officer) was to punish him. After they returned to barracks the sardārs were to account for everything to the Rājé. "There all accounts

should be explained and the things should be delivered to His Majesty" An account of the expenditure incurred by the army was also to be submitted. If any surplus was due to the contingents "it should be asked for *in cash* from His Majesty". Then they were to return to the barracks

Saranjāms were granted to those who had worked hard during the late campaign. If any one had been guilty of violating the rules or of cowardice, an enquiry was to be instituted and "the truth to be ascertained by the consensus of many," and the offender dismissed. Investigations were not to be delayed. The army was then to rest for four months, until next Dasarā, when it would march out again according to the orders of the Rājé. "Such were the rules of the army"

Similarly, among the Māvalés, there was to be a Nāik for every ten men, and a havāldār over every five Nāiks (or 50 men) Over two or three Nāiks was a jumlādār, and a hazārī over ten jumlās The jumlādār was paid 100 hons per annum; with a sabnīs who was paid 40 hons The salary of a hazārī was 500 hons, that of his sabnīs, 100-125 hons Over seven hazārīs was a Sarnobat Yesājī Kank was the first to be appointed to this command. "Everybody was to abide by his orders"

To the Sarnobats, the Majumdārs, the Kārkūns, and the men on the personal staff of the Rājé, salary was paid by assignments on the land revenue. The lands cultivated by them were taxed like those of the ordinary rayats, and the dues credited as part of their salaries. The balance was paid by varāt or orders to pay on the Huzūr (Central Govt) or on the District treasuries. "In this manner were their accounts punctually settled." Mokāsā mahals or villages with absolute rights were on no account to be granted to the men on military service. Every payment was to be made by varāt or in cash. None but the Kārkūns had any jurisdiction over the lands. All payments to the army and the fort-establishments were made by them. "If mokāsās were granted, the rayats would grow unruly and wax strong, and the collection regulations would no longer be obeyed." If the rayats grew powerful, there would

be disturbances in various places. Those who were granted mokāsās would join with the zamīndārs and rebel. Therefore, mokāsās should not be assigned to anybody."

Kārkūns were also to be appointed for investigating into the conquered provinces. Thielligent and experienced men were to be appointed as daftardārs in the Majumdār's office in each mahal and sūbā to keep accounts and draft papers. Then, as matters progressed, intelligent and careful havāldārs were to be picked out and the sūbās conferred on them. The māmlā of each mahal was to be given to a clever Majumdār of the sūbā, "skilled in writing and conversant with accounts" One who had not served as a kamāvisdār or one who could not write was not to be put in charge of a district or province. "Such a man should be sent back on being told either to serve under the Bādśāhī (!) or to enlist as a śilédār with his own horse"

Of the kārkūns employed in the provinces, the havāldār. according to the size of his mahal, was to be paid from 4 or 5 to 300 hons, the Majumdar, from 3, 4, 5, 50 or 75 hons Over two mahals yielding a lakh, 11 lakh, and 3 lakh of hons (approximately) were to be a Sūbādār and a kārkūn was to be assigned a salary of 400 hons per man The Majumdar appointed to the sūbā got a salary of 100-125 hons The sūbādār was expected to maintain a palanquin for which he received an allowance of 400 hons The majumdar received a sunshade (ābdāgın) allowance All officers with a salary of 100 hons, while out on expedition, were required to maintain a sunshade. In the home dominions, a $s\bar{u}b\bar{a}$ was placed in charge of a tract yielding one lakh of rupees To the unsettled tracts on the frontiers, a force of infantry, cavalry, and militia, "as strong as each place might require," was sent with the kārkūn in charge of mulukhgiri -

Likewise all lands in the provinces were surveyed, including forest areas. The measurements were fixed as follows—
The length of a measuring rod was five cubits and five muths.
A cubit was fourteen tansus (ag) The length of the rod was to be 80 tansus. 20 kāthīs (rods) square made one bhīga, and 120 bhīgas made one chāvar. The area of every village was

ascertained according to these standards An estimate was made of the produce (grain) of each bhīga, and after dividing it into five shares, three were given to the rayats, two were taken by government New rayats were given cattle and seeds Money grants were also made, to be recovered in two to four years, according to the means of the rayat The kārkūn collected in kind, according to the assessment in each village, at the time of the harvest. In the provinces, the rayats were not to be under the jurisdiction of the zamindars, the Deśmukhs and the Desāis "If they attempted to plunder the rayats, by assuming authority, it does not lie in their power" Studying the defects and evils obtaining in the Badsahi provinces, the rājé demolished the strongholds of the Mirāsdārs in the conquered parts of the Des Where there were important forts, he garrisoned them with his own men, and nothing was left in the hands of the Mirāsdārs "This done, he prohibited all that the Mirāsdārs used to levy at their sweet will, by Inām right or revenue farming, and fixed the assessments in cash and grams; for the zamīndārs, as well as the Deśmukhs, the Deśkulkarnıs, the Pālıls, and the Kārkius, their rights and perquisites were defined according to the yield of the village" The zamīndārs were forbidden to build castles with bastions

Finally, grants were made to all the temples in the country, for the proper maintenance of lights (दिवाबर्सी), offerings (चेवेब) and other services (अभिषेक). Even the state-allowances to the shrines of the Muhammadan pirs and mosques were continued, according to the importance of each place Suitable allowances were also granted to pious and learned Brāhmans to unable them to carry on their sacred duties. The kārkūns were to convey to them annually the allowances and perquisites granted. "In this manner," writes Sabhāsad, "the Rājā ruled his kingdom, continuing his enquiries about the forts and the strongholds the army and the militia, the provinces and the personal staff."

The system founded by Sivājī not merely worked well under the guidance and supervision of his personal genius but also survived the tests of time and circumstances. The crises which followed the death of Sivājī in succession, and the vicis-

situdes of fortune which his nascent State and people experi enced during the thirty years which preceded the rise of the Peśvas, proved the wisdom of his arrangements. Though a very large part of the credit for this achievement belongs to the system which Sivājī brought into existence, we cannot, however, emphasise too often the role of the Maratha people at large Without their grit and sagacity Marāthā Svarājya might have erumbled into dust under the determined attacks of Aurangzeb There is no other instance in Indian history where the people withstood organised might on such a wide scale and over such a length of time successfully The sustained Maratha resistance, practically over the whole of the southern peninsula, is a unique and admirable achievement. Except by an assessment of the totality of the forces involved, the rise of the Maratha nation into all-Indian importance cannot be adequately explain-Sivājī was the brain of this mighty movement, its heart was represented by the saints of Mahārāstra, and its limbs, which translated ideas and emotions into facts of history, were spread out all over the country

Apart from the details of the Marāthā civil and military organisation which it is not our intention to describe here, the quintessence of their political genius is contained in what is known as the Ādnāpatra ascribed to the great Amātya Rāmachandrapant Bāvdékar. Nominally it was issued by Sambhājī of Kolhāpūr on 21 November 1716, but in reality composed by Rāmachandrapant who served under Sivājī, Sambhājī, Rājārām, and Sambhājī II 12 In effect it therefore embodies the collective experience of four generations of Marāthā rulers in the most momentous period of their history (1672-1716)

Born in 1650, Rāmachandrapant became Amātya in succession to his father (Nīlkanth) in 1672, and rose to the position of Hukumat Panha under Rājārām (1689-1700). We have already estimated his character and services to the Marāthā State, in the last chapter. In the words of Professor S V Puntambekar, "His Rājanīti is one of the greatest literary legacies relating to the War of Maratha Independence and the principles of state policy which the great Sivājī laid down" 13

Even in the literature of State-craft in India as a whole, it holds a place of unique interest and importance. It is not an academic book like Sukranīti or the Artha Sāstra, but a condensed record of the actual and tested political wisdom of the Marāthā race It breathes in every sentence the atmosphere in which it was conceived and reflects the empiricism of a most practical people A summary of its main principles ought to torm an important part of any survey of the early achievements of the Marathas

The first two sections of the Adnapatra deal with the troubles of the Kingdom during the War of Independence The remaining seven are of importance because they deal respectively with the General Principles of State Policy and Organisation, Administrative and Ministerial Policy and Organisation, Commercial Policy, Policy towards Watandars, Policy regarding Hereditary Vrttis and Ināms, Policy about Forts, and Navai Policy 14

Summing up the great work of Sivajī, the Adnapatra says 'In this manner he subdued every enemy in the way in which he should be conquered, and created and acquired a Kingdom free from thorns (enemies) and extending from Salheri-Ahivant to Chanji and the banks of the Kaveri, and he also acquired hundreds of hill-forts as well as sea-forts; several great places, forty thousand state cavalry and sixty to seventy thousand siledars, two lakhs of foot soldiers, innumerable treasures, simi-He regenerated larly the best jewellery and all kinds of articles the Marathas of the ninety-six noble families Having ascended the throne he held the royal umbrella and called himself He rescued the Dharma, established Gods and Chhatrapati Brāhmans in their due places and maintained the six-fold duties according to the division of the (four) varnas of sacrifice. He destroyed the existence of thieves and other criminals in He created a new type of administration for his the kingdom territories, forts and armies, and conducted the government without hindrance and brought it under one system of co-ordination and control He created wholly a new order of things '15 'In order that

The preamble closes with the observation

princes of long life, ornaments to the kingdom, should be well-versed in political affairs and that other governors and officers in various parts of the country should protect the State by conducting themselves according to principles of good government, His Majesty (Sambhājī II) has prepared this treatise in accordance with the Sāstras Remembering it well you should see that princes are educated according to its principles. Likewise the kingdom should be protected by making all the people do their duties in consonance with it and according to the functions allotted to them '16

The King being the highest functionary of the State, the $\bar{A}dn\bar{a}patra$ looks upon him as divinely appointed. If the people have no protector, who could make for them one common law, they would quarrel and fight with one another and be destroyed, this should not happen. All the people should be free from trouble and should follow the path of Dharma 'Out of compassion for the people God in his full favour has granted us this kingdom' 17

The sections dealing with the duties of kings, no doubt, read like counsels of perfection. But it is well to remember that the Marāthās, far from being bandits, worshipped high and noble ideals. 'Kings who lived in the past,' according to the Adnāpatra, 'succeeded in this world and acquired the next with the help of Dharma'. It therefore enjoins on the King 'believing with a firm faith in the practice of Dharma, the worship of God, the acquisition of the favour of saintly persons the attainment of the welfare of all, the prosperity of the dynasty and the kingdom should be uninterrupted and regulated. Holding universal compassion towards the blind, the crippled, the diseased, the helpless and those without any means of subsistence, he should arrange for their means of livelihood so lorg as they live'18

Appreciating the value and importance of servants, the treatise lays down 'By taking work from those according to the functions allotted to them and by treating all with equal regard by virtue of his authority, he should keep them contented and look after them so that none of them would feel

any want about their maintenance Everything should be done which would keep them ready and pleased in his service any doubt is felt at some time or other about their conduct, an immediate inquiry should be made in accordance with justice They should be paid well so that they should not find it necessary to look to others for their maintenance From amongst them every one should be promoted and encouraged according to the measure or importance of his work In this way, after appreciating the merit of every one according to the efforts made by him, he should be duly rewarded Otherwise, if he be given less, the fault of want of appreciation would fall to his credit, and, if he be given more, carelessness would be attributed to him, but when he knows the real nature of work, both these faults would not occur'19

The sense of proportion and seriousness of outlook about affairs of State is reflected in the instructions regarding entertainments, and the patronage of poets, bards and jesters 'The chief function of the King is the effective supervision of State affairs. There should be no break in this' Poets and bards should be entertained at the Court 'But hearing only self-praise is a very great fault. For this purpose one should not get wholly absorbed in their company by neglecting State affairs' They should not be invited at the time of conducting State business 'Kings should not at all indulge in the habit of making jokes. Friends are after all servants' Too great familiarity would breed contempt, slacken discipline and undermine dignity

The duty and wisdom of consultation is thus appropriately inculcated. The King should first think independently of any work to be done, then he should consult experts in the business. Whatever leads to the success of the work undertaken should be done by accepting the best possible advice given. If he insists on his own plan, his servants would not at all speak out the merits and defects of the work proposed. Hence the intelligence and initiative of servants does not get full scope for development, but rather they get atrophied and the work gets spoilt. Similarly, if he regards the glory achieved as satisfac-

tory, then he does not feel inclined for further exertion. As a result the enemy would find the occasion for an invasion and the kingdom would suffer. This should not be allowed to happen While protecting what is already acquired, new achievements should always be attempted, and this should continuously remain the aim of the King '20. It is interesting to note the identity of Rāmdās's words inscribed over this chapter with the last italicised sentence above

Intensely practical as the Marāthās were they recognised that 'Finance is the life of the State In times of need if there is money all the perils are averted. Therefore with this aim in view the State treasury should be filled' The advice regarding payment for work is equally shrewd and salutary 'Servants should be paid well and without any reluctance. If any special work is done by them or if they are burdened with a family they should be given something (in addition) by way of gifts But any more salary than what is attached to an office should not be paid for any special work done in the same office The reason is that if any one's salary is increased, other servants of the same rank ask for an additional salary, and if not paid they get discontented If any one's salary is increased owing to his influence, the salaries of all others who are of the same rank will have to be increased, because they are similar to one another Then the whole organisation will break down

For this reason salary should be paid according to rules, and rewards should be given according to special work done. But where the salary is fixed, no change should at all be made '21

If so much care and thought were bestowed on the rewards and remunerations of servants greater care and caution were also necessary in their selection and appointment. The principles and tests recommended for employment in the royal troops might be taken as typical of the standards aimed at in the entire administration for all practical purposes. Those persons should be employed in the body of royal troops, states the Edict, 'who are very brave, powerful, select, thoroughly obedient and the very mention of whose name will extort ad-

miration in the army and the country, and who on occasion will inspire terror. Those who are capricious, arrogant, urrestrained, childish, vicious, defaming, vilifying and have acted treacherously towards their previous master should not at all be kept in the body of royal troops. For on the strength and assurance of the royal army one can remain free from anxiety about all matters. At times life has to be hazarded, other soldiers have to be kept within bounds. If this method of organisation is kept up, all these things are attainable, otherwise not?

But the writer is realistic enough to note that men of good character are not easily available at any time 'Therefore while touring round in the country, in the army and in the small and big forts, the King should have an eye for proper men, and associating with him, in addition to his ministers, the best men wherever available, showing kindness to them and finding their worth, he should employ them in his body of royal troops' If a man commits any wrong deserving of punishment, he should be immediately punished 'There should be no weakness shown out of any consideration. If discipline is at all absent in the King's own troops, then how can it be expected to prevail outside?'

Further, the Edict adds 'If any new servant is to be engaged, full enquiry should be made about his family, place of residence, relations and first service, and if he is not found fraudulent, profligate, or a spy on behalf of others, murderous, drunkard, dissolute, very old, incapable of any work, he should be kept if found very brave But no servant should be engaged without taking a surety for him. If he runs away after committing robbery, murder and other lawless acts, then the surety must be held responsible for the offender's conduct. This matter should not be neglected. Then the servant remains attentive (to his work) and does not go out of control, and the allotted work is done rightly '22

Then follow detailed instructions as to the behaviour of kings and the education of princes, with a special emphasis on tolerance 'As the root of a tree makes the tree grow strong

in a well-watered place, so the King, who is the root of the kingdom and is endowed with virtues, causes the growth of the kingdom. The reason is that the ideal Hindu King is GoJ himself who is the teacher of the whole world and is the distributor of weal and woe to all. If the King is endowed with virtues, then the welfare of the greatest number is possible, if he is possessed of vices, the misery of the most is the result. Therefore it is said that the King is the maker of the Age '23

The essential functions of the King are thus succinctly 'In the kingdom the organisation of royal troops, of small and large forts, of cavalry and infantry, the removal of the afflictions of the people, the protection of the people, the inquiry into the prevalence of Dharma and adharma, timely charity, regular distributions of fixed salaries, timely taxation of the people, and the storing of acquired things, a regular inquiry into the State income and expenditure, a resolve to do works great and small according to their importance after knowing their past and with an eye to their future, the meting out of punishment after considering the justice and injustice of a thing, and then determining its penalty according to the Sāstras, the organisation of means for removing the calamities of foreign invasion, receipt of news by appointing spies in all countries, the proper consideration of the duty of alliance, war and neutrality towards another State upon any particular occasion, and the determination of action according to it, the protection of the existing kingdom and the acquisition of new territory, the proper observation of the rules relating to female apartments and others, an increase of respect towards respectable men and the control of low-minded persons, the gaining of the favour of gods and good Brāhmans devoted to the gods, and the destruction of irreligious tendencies, the spreading of the duties of religion, the acquisition of merit for the eternal world, and doing such other duties,-these are certainly the functions of a King'24

These ideals do not indicate that the Marāṭhā kingdom was a predatory State. No civilised State could have better ideals. But to carry them out it was realised that good and

capable ministers were as necessary as the King himself. Ministers are therefore described as the pillars of the kingdom 'A minister is one who spreads the King's power; he is a restraint on the sea of injustice born of the King's intoxication; he is like the goad of an elephant. Nay, a minister is the repose of the King in this world, because of his administration of State affairs, and the light for the next world on account of his protection of religion Kings have no other relations or things higher than ministers, of all the servants, ministers should have the highest respect Kings should appoint ministers possessed of good qualities, realising fully that ministers alone are the King's true arms, that ministers alone are his relatives The whole burden of the State should be placed on them' Yet the King is advised not to leave too much in the hands of the ministers, he should himself be active and vigilant. Two points are particularly noteworthy in these instructions (a) that it is very improper to entrust the whole burden of the State and the authority to punish, in all territories, to one man, (b) that the generals of the army should be made dependent on the minister 'In this way, if at times a general quarrels with a minister, there will be no difficulty about punishment, nay, in all kinds of work one will be a check on the other On this account, one feeling afraid of the other, carries out regularly the laws laid down '25

Nowhere else was the practical wisdom of Marāthā policy shown better than in the matter of the hereditary watandārs, ināmdārs and the vrtti holders. They are described as small but independent chiefs of territories and sharers in the kingdom 'They are not inclined to live on whatever watan they possess, or to always act loyally towards the King who is the lord of the whole country and to abstain from committing wrongs against any one. All the time they want to acquire new possessions bit by bit, and to become strong, and after becoming strong their ambition is to seize forcibly from some, and to create enmities and depredations against others. Knowing that royal punishment will fall on them, they first take refuge with others, fortify their places with their help, rob the travellers, loot the terri-

tones and fight desperately, not caring even for their lives. When a foreign invasion comes they make peace with the invader, with a desire to gain or keep a watan, meet personally the enemy, allow the enemy to enter the kingdom by divulging secrets of both sides, and then becoming harmful to the kingdom get to be difficult of control. For this reason the control of these people has to be very carefully devised.

The directions given for the liquidation of this feudal anarchy are a masterpiece of political sagacity 'Because these faults are found in them,' the Edict says, 'it would be a great mustice that they should be hated and that their watens should be discontinued, and on special occasions it would be a cause of calamity If, on the contrary, that is not done and these people are given freedom of movement, their natural (wild) spirit would immediately find play. Therefore both of these extreme attitudes cannot be useful in the interest of State policy, They have to be kept positively between conciliation and punish-Their existing watens should be continued, but their power over the people should be done away with They should not be allowed to have any privileges or watan rights without a State charter Whatever has come down to them from the past should not be allowed to increase nor to become less even by a little, and they should be made to obey the orders of the authorities of the territory A group, of kinsmen or agents should not be allowed to remain jointly on the watan After making inquiries, their kinsmen and agents should each be kept in distant provinces along with their families by giving them work according to their abilities. They should not be allowed to get absorbed in their watans Watandars should not be allowed to build even strong houses and castles
If by chance there is found anyone overbearing and unrestrained, he should be praised and sent to do that work which is difficult of achievement it if he succeeds or is ruined, both the events would be in the King's interest If he is saved he should be given even more Watandars should not be allowed to quarrel difficult work among themselves They should be well flattered But there are established usages for their behaviour and they should not

be allowed to transgress even a little If they are infringed, immediate punishment should be inflicted. Looking to the position of watandars and establishing, every year or two, proper relations with them, the King should weaken them by taking a tribute and other things from them When a watandar who has not infringed the duties of his station is near him, the King should speak about him to other servants that he is virtuous, honest and attached to him, and similarly those words which would give encouragement to him If among the watandars there are honest persons, it is difficult to get other servants of Firstly, if a watandar be a reliable person, and if their type in addition be honest, he is a veritable flower of gold which has Therefore such watandars should be gathered together with great care, favours should be bestowed on them, respect should be shown to them, royal service should be entrusted to them, nay, they should be reserved to do important work '26

The same is said about the holders of vittis and Inams. 'If they are found fit, they should be told to do higher service, but should not be given a new vrtti. for the reason that if a vrtti be given out of public revenue, then the revenue would get less hereditarily by so much Decrease of revenue leads to the decay of the kingdom, and to the loss of the wealth of the kingdom Similarly, it is a great injustice to give lands as māms to servants or vrtti-holders for the purpose of achieving a task A King if he be an enemy of his kingdom should be generous in granting lands The King is called the Protector of the land for the sake of preserving the land, but if the land be given away, over what would he rule? whose protector will he be? Even if a village or piece of land be given for then it would so happen every special service rendered, that, in course of time, the whole kingdom would be granted Therefore a King who wishes to rule a kingdom, to increase it and to acquire fame, as one who is skilled in politics, should not at all get infatuated and grant land to the extent of even a barley corn To say that servants who have rendered service which is useful from generation to generation should be given something which would continue hereditarily

rs not proper For, when he becomes a servant and accepts salary, then it is his duty to do his master's work by great exertion and daring,—putting his heart and soul into it. However, if one has done very meritorious service, which could not have been done by others, then he should be given a higher service with a *watan* or salary attached to it, so that there will be no infliction on the people nor any decrease in the public revenue '27

During the seventeenth century forts were of the utmost value to the struggle for freedom in Mahārāşţra Hence a whole chapter of considerable length has been devoted to this subject in the Adnapatra 28 'The essence of the whole kingdom,' it declares, 'is forts' If there are no forts, during a foreign invasion, the open country becomes supportless and is easily desolated, and the people are routed and broken up If the whole country is thus devastated, what else remains of the kingdom? Sivājī built this kingdom on the strength of He also built forts along the sea-shore With great exertion places suitable for forts should be captured in any new country which is to be conquered. The condition of a country without forts is like a land protected only by passing clouds 'Therefore those who want to create a kingdom should maintain forts in an efficient condition, realising that forts and strongholds alone mean the kingdom, the treasury, the strength of the army, the prosperity of the kingdom, our places of residence and resting places, nay, our very security of life'

The last two sections of the chapter on forts are devoted to the building, equipment, garrisoning, and administration of these vital points. Considering their importance and value, it is pointed out, their upkeep and organisation ought not to be neglected even in the slightest degree. On that account the life of the fort is the $Hav\bar{a}ld\bar{a}r$, so is the chief samobat. They must be chosen by the King himself, and must not be engaged on the recommendation or flattery of some one. They should be selected for their valour, self-respect, industry, honesty, wakefulness and appreciation of the fort as the dearest

treasure entrusted to them by their master 'Similarly, the Sabnīs and the Kārkhānīs, who are the promoters of the laws laid down by the King, and are the judges of all good and bad actions, and who are also high authorities like the Havāldārs and Sarnobats, should act like them by making all act in the same way' Tat-sarnobats, Bārgirs, Nāik-wādi, Rājpūts, etc should also be chosen with similar care 'Persons who are appointed for service in the forts should not be retained if they are addicted to intoxicating drugs or are unsteady, capricious, murderous and perfidious. Those who are to be appointed should be entertained only on assurance of their good character. Even then a Havāldār is to be transferred after three years, a Sarnobat after four years, a Sabnīs and Kārkhānīs after five years'

It is recognised that it is difficult to get reliable men to work in the forts. Yet, all kinds of precautions are recom mended If the workers are close relatives they should not be kept within the same fort Deśmukhs, Deśpāndes, Pātils, Kulkarnis, Chaugulés and other hereditary Watandars who occupy the territory round about a fort should not be given service in the forts near it. They should be employed five or ten villages away from their watans' If this precaution is not followed they might either prove idle or betray to the enemy If they are found guilty of any offence, they should be immediately punished without waiting for the termination of their term of office Even if there should be the slightest suspicion of betrayal, the officer concerned should be at once removed even before the investigation starts. When he has come into the royal presence, he should be judged justly, and if the charge is proved against him he should be immediately beheaded, without showing any mercy The punishment should be proclaimed by beat of drums as a deterrent. If, after proper and just investigation his innocence is established, he should be conciliated and care taken to see that no stigma attaches to him He should not, however, be sent back to the same post

The instructions in this behalf are clear, just, humane

and cautious The rule against employment of relatives in the same place is explained in a manner that appeals to common sense 'If they commit any offence one feels constrained in punishing them. If proper punishment is not given, others find excuse to petition on their own behalf, and thus influence leads to the increase of influence, and the established laws are broken. This very thing is the cause of the ruin of a kingdom. For this purpose the breach of laws should not at all be allowed. The chief means for the protection of the kingdom are the forts'

Equally detailed and interesting instructions are given about the choice of sites, materials, classes and modes of construction Despite the length of the passage one feels tempt ed to reproduce it as a whole because of its importance Besides, it is reflective of the practical character of the Marāthā people who have such a genius for details Forts should be built on sites carefully chosen in every part of the There should not be any point, in the country, it says neighbouring hills, higher than the fort. If there is one, it should be brought under the control of the fort by reducing it with mines If this were not possible such points should be occupied and strengthened 'The building of the fort should not be undertaken only to meet a temporary need Ramparts, towers, approaches by sap and mine, watches, outer walls, should be built wherever necessary Those places which are vulnerable should be made difficult by every effort with the help of mines, and the weakness of the fort should be reduced by the erection of strong edifices Gates should be constructed in such a way that they should escape bombardment from below, and they should have towers in front which would control egress and ingress To have one gate to the fort is a great drawback Therefore, according to the needs of the fort, one, two, or three gates and similarly small secret passages should be provided. Out of these only those that are always required for normal use should be kept open, and other doors and inlets should be built up

'There are several classes of forts which can be built on

every mountain If there is a plain in front of the gate or below the walls of the fort, a deep moat should be dug and a second wall built mounted with guns to prevent the enemy approaching the moat. The approaches to the fort should not be easy of access. Besides this, secret, paths should be maintained for escape in times of emergency. There should always be outposts round forts. There should be patrolling by sentinels of the environs of the fort. There should not at all be a strongly built house near below the fort, or a stone enclosure round any house.

Likewise the water-supply must be assured If there is no water, and if it becomes necessary to fortify the place, then by breaking the rock, reservoirs and tanks should be constructed if there is a spring. One reservoir alone should not be depended upon. For during fighting it might get dried up. Therefore for storing water two or three reservoirs ought to be constructed. Water from them should not be ordinarily spent. The water in the fort should be specially protected.

'Within the fort, excepting the royal residence, no wellbuilt house should be constructed The walls of the royal residence should be built of bricks thickly plastered with chunam No cracks in the house should be allowed to remain ' where rats, scorpions, insects and ants would find a place The compound should be thinly planted with nirgudi and other trees The officer in charge of the fort (Gadkarī) should not keep the house unoccupied because it is the royal residence No rubbish should be allowed to fall on the roads, in the market place, or near the walls of the fort By burning such rubbish, and by putting the burnt ashes in the backyard, vegetables should be made to grow in every house In order that all granaries and storehouses of military provisions in the fort should be free from troubles of fire, rats, insects, ants, white-ants, the floor should be paved with stones and chunam Tanks (cisterns) should be made on cliffs of forts in places If there is even where there is black rock having no cracks a small crack, it should be seen that, by applying chunam, no leakage takes place

'The powder magazine should not be near the house Rockets, grenades and other explosives should be kept in the middle portion of the house. They should not be allowed to get damp. After every eight or fifteen days the <code>Havāldār</code> should visit it, and taking out powder, rockets, grenades and other explosives and drying them, seal them again after storing them. Guards should always be kept to protect the powder magazine.

'On all the vulnerable places in the fort, big and small guns, charkyas and other machines suitable for those piaces and also for higher places should be mounted on platforms on every bastion and rampart wall at suitable intervals charaks and big guns should be kept on gun-carriages after testing the weight of the guns and by giving them strong ironrings as supports tools for repairing the touch-holes of guns and other things necessary for gun-firing should always be kept ready near the guns Grenades and rockets should be kept ready at every watch The officer in charge who says that there is no enemy in the country and that when he comes he would get ready by bringing things from the storehouses, is foolish and idle. Such an one should not be entrusted with the work He should act according to orders blindly and be alert even if there is no occasion, then when the real occasion comes there will be no danger

'In the rainy season, guns and doors should be besmeared with oil and wax, and by filling the touch-holes of guns with wax and by putting front-covers on guns sufficient for covering their mouths, they should be protected from being spoiled All kinds of trees should be planted in the fort. In time of need all of them would serve as wood. In every fort Brāhmans, astrologers, vaidiks, the learned, and physicians who are versed in mineral and herbal medicines, surgeons, evorcists, wound-dressers, blacksmiths, carpenters, stone-cutters, cobblers, etc should be engaged in sufficient numbers. When there is no special work for them they should not be allowed to remain idle. They should be asked to do other work. In every fort salary, treasury, military provisions, and other kinds

of articles necessary for forts should be collected and stored While remembering that forts would not at all be useful in the absence of these arrangements, the administration of the forts should be carried on as detailed above?

The navy was considered an independent limb of the State 29 'Just as the King's success on land depends on the strength of his cavalry, so the mastery of the sea belongs to him who possesses a navy Therefore a navy ought to be built

Whatever naval force is created should be fully and well equipped with brave and efficient fighters, guns, matchlocks, ammunition, grenades and other materials of naval use' Then follow instructions about organisation

'Every unit should contain five gurabs and fifteen galbats Over all of them must be a sar-subhā All should obey him For the expenses of the navy the revenue of a particular territory should be apportioned Commerce will be ruined if the expenses are defrayed out of the income derived from ports, and merchants will be troubled Harbours should be well protected, otherwise, in cases of need articles of necessity cannot be brought from abroad There would also be a loss of customs duties and other income Trade should be Kolis and merchants should not be troubled increased any one gives them trouble, it should be warded off Foreign ships without permits should be subjected to inspection taking them under control, by using conciliation and intimidation, without touching any of their goods, and by giving them an assurance of safety, they should be brought to the In many ways naval and territorial authorities should conciliate and encourage them to freely sell and purchase what they desire, after taking from something by way of customs If there is a great merchant he should be treated with special hospitality at government expense An effort should be made to see that the foreign merchant feels assured in every way and attracted to enter into commercial relations with the kingdom Hostile ships should be brought into port without any damage and the King should be informed about them'

Skipping over the instructions regarding naval fights and tactics, we might refer to the rules for sheltering the ships The navy should be sheltered every year in a different port which has a fort facing the sea Then also the whole fleet should not be kept in one place, but distributed in various places In the night patrolling, both by land and sea, should be done round about the fleet With royal permission useful parts of teak and other trees which are in the forests of the kingdom should be cut and collected Besides this, whatever is necessary should be purchased and brought from foreign territories Even when a tree is very old and not of much use, it should be cut only with the consent of its owner and after paying for it Force should not at all be used'

Lastly, we might consider the commercial policy as laid down in the Adnapatra 30 Merchants are described therein as the ornaments and glory of the kingdom. They are the cause of its prosperity They bring goods from other lands, and lend money in times of need There is a great advantage in the protection of merchants For this reason the respect duc to merchants should be maintained On no account should strong action be taken against them, nor should they be dis respected By making them establish shops and factories in market towns, trade should be fostered, in elephants, horses, rich silks, and cloths of wool etc., jewels, arms and all other kinds of goods In the capital market great merchants should be induced to come and settle They should be kept pleased with presents and gifts on special occasions
If they do not find the place favourable, they should be kept satisfied where they are, and by showing them kindness their agents should be brought and kept by giving them suitable places for their Similarly, by sending an assurance of safety to seafaring merchants at various ports, they should be given the freedom of intercourse in trade'

Very shrewd precautions about the Europeans are sounded 'These hat-wearers (दोपीसर) are ambitious of increasing their territories and establishing their religion Moreover this race of people is obstinate Where a place has fallen into their

hands they will not give it up even at the cost of their lives. Their intercourse should therefore be restricted to the extent only of their coming and going for purposes of trade should strictly be given no places to settle in They should not at all be allowed to visit sea-forts If some place has sometimes to be given for a factory, it should not be at the mouth of an inlet or on the sea-shore, they would establish new forts at those ports with the help of their navy to protect Their strength lies in their navy, guns and ammunition As a consequence so much territory would be lost to the kingdom Therefore, if any place is at all to be given to them, it should be in the midst of two oi four great towns, eight to sixteen miles distant from the sea,-just as the French were given lands at Rājāpūr The place must be such as to be low-lying and within the range of control of the neighbouring town, so as to avoid troubling the town Thus by fixing their place of habitation, factories might be permitted to be built They should not be allowed to erect strong and permanent. houses If they live in this way by accepting the above conditions, it is well, if not, there is no need of them. It is enough if they occasionally come and go, and do not trouble us, nor need we trouble them'

The character of the Marāthā achievement during the seventeenth century becomes clear from the above cited evid-It was both a cultural and a political triumph 100ts were in the moral character of the people trodden and long-suffering race had reasserted itself with vigour and liberated the land and culture from the throttling grip of the foreigners. In doing this they had also shaken the Mughal Empire to its foundations, they had made themselves the actual masters of their own homelands and the potential masters of the whole of India They had created a new State and a New Order superior to any that had hitherto existed Their idealism was noble and their organiın Hındu İndia sation sound It was spontaneous, healthy liberal, practical, and was the natural expression of the genius of Mahārāştra, -in short, the concrete manifestation of Mahārāstra-Dharma-

An ampler examination of all its phases and features must form the subject of an independent volume. It has exoked the admiration as well as criticism of scholars of repute in and outside Mahārāştra We might appropriately conclude this brief survey-based on objective and contemporary evidence-with the following observations of Sir Jadunath Sarkar who might never be accused of any uncritical admiration of the Marathas Though he speaks in terms of Sivāiî the individual, we have no hesitation in extending the application of his remarks to Sivājī's contemporaries whose contributions were not less important or less worthy of appre-Those who outlived him carried on his great work to its natural and grand culmination. The blunders of his successors should not blind us in the appreciation of the net achievements that stand indubitably to the credit of his people, especially during the seventeenth century

Speaking of Sivājī, Sarkai writes "But the indispens able bases of a sovereign State he did lay down, and the fact would have been established beyond question if his life had not been cut short only six years after his coronation gave to his own dominions in Mahārāştra peace and order, at least for a time Now, order is the beginning of all good things, as disorder is the enemy of civilisation, progress and popular happiness" Then he proceeds to point out that order is only a means to an end the next, duty of the State is to throw careers open to talents and to educate the people 'by creating and expanding through State effort the various fields for the exercise of their ability and energy-economic, administrative, diplomatic, military, financial and even mechaniall this was done by Sivājī The third feature was freedom in the exercise of religion 'though himself a pious Hindu he gave his State bounty to Muslim saints and Hindu sadhus without distinction, and respected the Quran no less than his own Scriptures' Sivājī's political ideals were such that we can almost accept them even today without any change He aimed at giving his people peace, universal toleration, equal opportunities for all castes and creeds, a beneficent, active and pure system of administration, a navy for promoting trade, and a trained militia for guarding the homeland. Above all, he sought for national development through action, and not by lonely meditation. Every worthy man,—not only the natives of Maharashtra, but also recruits from other parts of India,—who came to Shivaji, was sure of being given some task which would call forth his inner capacity and pave the way for his own rise to distinction, while serving the interests of the State. The activities of Shivaji's government spread in many directions and this enabled his people to aspire to a happy and varied development, such as all modern civilised States aim at "31

This, in brief, was the nature of the Marāthā achievement

EPILOGUE

If historical studies have any value and purpose it is to reveal the past with a view to instruct the present depends upon the discovery of the truth about the bygone times and its significance to the living generation obvious that 'the whole truth and nothing but the truth' is beyond recapturing and exact assessment Nonetheless, we need not be cynical like jesting Pilate or consider that 'history is fiction agreed upon' The best historical research, pursued with academic honesty, therefore, can recover only a partial view of the 'dead' past Inevitably this is bound to be not merely partial in the sense of being incomplete or fragmentary, but also 'partial' as meaning biased It is hardly to be expected that any writer, however much he might protest to the contrary, will be altogether free from preferences or preju-These inherent traits of the human mind are further case of the Marāthās, without necessarily being credulous about the native versions as absolutely correct, one has got to be very guarded in accepting the foreign evidence as more reliable or critical merely because it is contrary Difficult as the task of the historian is, he has, in the last resort, to depend upon his own judgment and discretion. I claim to have done

EPILOGUE 299

no better in the full consciousness of the above considerations I have consequently been less categorical or dogmatic in the presentation of my conclusions. I am aware that, in the final analysis, they must stand the dual tests of logic and authenticity of evidence

Facts are the bricks of which the edifice of History is built But the architecture is the work of the historian accounts for the difference in the presentation of the substance of history by different writers In the reconstruction and interpretation of periods and movements in history the attitude and approach of the historian are not a negligible factor To Grant Duff the rise of the Maratha power appeared to be as fortuitous as a forest fire in the Saihyadri mountains Sir Tadunath Sarkar has found in it no more than the manifestation of the genius of supermen "The cohesion of the peoples in the Maratha State," he says, "was not organic but artificial, accidental, and therefore precarious. It was solely dependent on the ruler's extraordinary personality and disappeared when the country ceased to produce supermen" (Shivan, pp 485-86) But to Ranadé belongs the credit of having pointed out the larger and deeper significance of Marāthā history which he tracked to its very roots This isl not to deny that there were accidental as well as personal elements in the shaping of the destiny of the Maratha people While these exist in all histories, it cannot also be gainsaid that there have been movements like the Renaissance in Europe which may not be explained purely in terms of accidents and personalities The Maratha resorgimento was one such complex historical phenomenon which, because of its uniqueness in Indian history, has not been correctly under-There have been religious movements in India, as well as creations of political states, like Buddhism and the Maurya empire, but the combination of the two in the rise of the Maratha nationality was more integral and powerful than any that transpired before Yet it was not a political movement intended for the propagation of Hindu religion, rather was it an upsurge of a virile people in defence of their

own way of living the Marāthās called it Svarājya and Mahārāstra Dharma Its best and greatest exponents were sīvājī and Rāmdās Whatever the degree of their mutual acquaintance or intimacy, they were together the true protagonists of all that the Marāthā movement stood for

It is absurd to characterise the Marāṭhā adventure as an attempt to establish a communal empire. Once the safety and integrity of Mahārāṣṭra Dharma was secured, it ceased to be merely or even mainly religious. It tended to become more and more political, but the original impulse indubitably came from religion. The equality of opportunity afforded to men of merit drawn from all castes and grades of society, including the Muslims, demonstrated the broad basis on which the Marāṭhā State in its prestine form was founded. Its later deterioration ought not to prejudice our judgment about its original character, which alone concerns us here

A recent writer has attempted to make out a case for the economic interpretation of Marāthā history * He has tried to show that Sivaji was the leader of the down-trodden peasants of Mahārāstra against the dominating landlord class this 'class-war' it was a matter of historical accident that the majority of the exploited class happened to be Hindus There were Hindu Deśmukhs and watandars who were as much opposed to Śivājī as the Muslim rulers themselves war of the exploited against the exploiters However, even he does not deny that there were other factors also at work in the milieu he only wants to emphasise that the economic incentive was an equally potent force which served to drive the masses into effective action While there is room for special interpretations, the nearest approximation to historical truth must necessarily be the total view based upon such sociological data as might be available. This difficult task must be reserved for a special volume

Finally, whatsoever the forces at work—and they were various, and whosoever the personalities—and they were

^{*} Laljı Pendsé वर्म की कांति

EPILOGUE 301

numerous—participating in the historical process, the total achievement—the building up of a rich, dynamic and creative new order out of an inert, spineless and chaotic mass of scattered ignorant supine peoples—a metamorphosis, the like of which had never been witnessed in India before, certainly merits the closest, dispassionate and respectful study at the hands of historians. Nothing more and nothing less has been attempted here

NOTES AND REFERENCES

Ch I-THE BACKGROUND

- 1 Briggs, Firishta, Vol I, p 304 gives A H 693 (1294 A D) The date given in the text is according to Amīr Khusrau Khazā 'mul-Futuh, J I H VIII, p 238, E D III, p 69 The latter being contemporary is obviously more reliable
 - 2 Firishta, I, p 310
- 3 Ibid, p 371, Ishwari Prasad, Hist of Med Ind, pp 228-29 (1940 ed)
- 4 Cf Fleet, Kanarese Dynasties, p 74, Elnot MS Collections, II, pp 513-30, P S & O C Inscriptions, Nos 125, 142 and 202-205, J R A S (O S), II, pp 388 ff and V, pp 178 & 183, I A, X, p 101
- 5 Venkataramanayya, The Early Mushm Expansion in South India, p 1
 - 6 Sardesai, Main Currents of Maratha History, pp 5-6
 - 7 E D III, p 69
 - 8 Ibid, p 40
 - 9 *Ibid*, pp 148-50
- 10 Futūh-us-Salātīn, pp 223-25 , Venkataramanyya, op $c^{*}t$, p 16
 - 11 E D III, p 598
 - 12 Ibid, pp 304-10
 - 13 Ibid
- 14 'The ditch of Dowlatabad, the scarp of which is, in many places, 100 feet, excavated out of the solid rock, is now one of the most remarkable objects of curiosity in the Deccan, but according to the author quoted, it must be a modern work, and executed subsequently to the first invasion of the Deccan by the Mahomedans'—Briggs, I, p 306 n
 - 15 Finishta, I, p 311
 - 16 Venkataramanyya, op cit, pp 17-19
 - 17 Cf Dnāneśvara Daršan, I, p 95

तेथ यदुवश विलास । जो सकळकळानिवास । न्यायाते पोषी क्षितीज । श्रीरामचद्र ।

18-19 Bhandarkar, Collected Works, III, p 161, I A XIV (Paithan Grant), p 317 Sisupāla-vadha by Bhāskara Kavisyara

and Lilacanta of Cakradhara In the last named work occurs the रामदेवो रावो राज्यी बैसला । आमणदेवो खाली उतरीला । देवगिरी पालटली । (725) , K A Pādhyé, Life of Hemādrī, pp 130-131

Thana copper-plate inscription of S 1194 cited by Padhyé, Life of Hemādrī, p 248

21-22 V B Kolté, Bhāskara Bhatta Borīkar, pp 151-52, 203-205

S R Sharma, Janusm and Karnatak Culture, pp 34-38 23

S D Pendsé, Mahārāstrācā Sāmskrtika Itihāsa, pp 100-101, 135-36, B A Saletore, 'Delhi Sultans' as Patrons of Jamas' in K H R IV, 1-2 (Jan to July 1937), Med Jamism, p 371

Pādhyé, op cit, p 143, Gaz of Bombay Presidency, I, n, pp 246-48 Rājwādé, Mahikāvatī Bakhar, pp 42-43

Gaz of Bombay Presidency, I, 11, p 248

27 Cf Rānadé, Mysticism in Mahārāstra, p. 20

Maisden and Wright, The Travels of Marco Polo, ch XX, 28 p 389

' जक्रह आपणा रावो भणवी । ते वलाळा न रुचे जीवी ॥ 29 अससित घात प्रसवी । पाडन वचे ॥ जाणोनि दद सधु ।

दोघा केला विभाग ' states

Bhāskara Kavīśvar in his Sisupāla-vadha-a contemporary work (c 1308 AD)

- Gaekwad's Oriental Series, No 5, p xv 30
- Yule, Marco Polo, II, pp 230, 302, Da Cunha, Chaul and Bassem, pp 14 and 131

Gaekwad's Oriental Series, No 5, pp xv-xvi

- 33. Yule's Cathay, I-cited in Gaz of Bom Presidency, I, 11, p 5 Early Muslim settlers in the Konkan are also referred to in 1b1d, p 7
- According to Firishta domestic servants and other riff-raff 34 elements were hurriedly mobilised for the defence, at the eleventh Bags of salt were mistaken for bags of grain, the discovery was made very late while the garrison was without other provisions Cf W H Wathen, 'Ten Ancient Inscriptions' in the J R A S (OS), II, p 389
- Futūh-us-Salātīn, p 274, Venkataramanyva, op cit, pp 35 Cf Khusrau, Khazā 'm-ul-Futūh, J I. H, VIII, p 374 26-29
 - Khusrau, op cit, p 374 Firishta, I, p 369

37 E D, III, pp 201-02

- Khazā 'm-ul-Futūh, J I H IX, pp 53-4, E D III, p 87
- Barant, E D III, p 203, Firishta, I, p 373 39

- 40 E D III, pp 87-88, Venkataramanyya op cit, pp 50-51
- 41 Futüh-us-Salātīn, pp 325-26
- 42 Briggs, I, pp 378-79
- 43-44 Futūh us-Salātīu, pp 326-27, Venkataramanyya, op cut, p 73
- 45 Tabaqūt-1-Al barī, Eng tr 1, p 194, Venkataramanyya, op cit, p 78, n 5, Briggs, I, p 381
 - 46 Ibid, pp 388-89
 - 47 Futüh-us-Salātīn, pp 340 41
 - 48 Nuh Sipihr, E D III Appendix, pp 557 58, 564
 - 49 E D III, pp 214-15
- 50 For a fuller discussion on the subject see Mahdi Husain, The Rise and Fall of Md bin Fughluq, pp 108 24
 - 51 *Ibid*, p 128
 - 52 Ibid, p 124, Briggs, I, p 427
 - 53 Nilkanta Sastri, Foreign Notices of S India, pp 226-28
- 54 Mahdi Husain, op cit, pp 142-45, Venkataramanvia, op cit, pp 130 33
 - 55 Firishta calls him nephew, Briggs I, p 418
 - 56 Nilkanta Sastri, op cit, pp 216-17
 - 57 Briggs, I, pp 418-19 Venkataramanyya op cit, pp 153 43
- 58 Briggs I, pp 419-20, Mahdi Husain, op cit, pp 144-45, cf Venkataramanyva, op cit, p 146, n 29
 - 59 Briggs I, p 420, Futüli-us-Salātin, p 418
- 60 The date of this revolt (742 H = 1341 AD) is wrongly given by Firishta, Briggs I, p 423 Cf Venkataramanyva, opcil, pp 192-96
 - 61 Briggs I, pp 423-24
 - 62 Mahdi Husain, op cit, pp 165 66
 - 63 *Ibid*, p 166
- 64 Briggs I, p 429, H K Sherwani, Mahmūd Gāwān, pp 61-3
- 65 Mahdi Husain, op cit, pp 166 67, Fulūh-us-Salātīu, p 480, cf Jour of the Aligarh Hist Res Soy, p 17
 - 66 Briggs I, pp 430-33
- 67 Malukāvatīcī Bakhar by Bhagawān Nanda Dutta (c 1578 AD) which embodies earlier traditions
- 68 Briggs II, pp 286-87, E D III, p 619, Venkata-ramanyya, op cit, pp 197-98 and n 14
 - 69 Jour of the Aligarh Hist Res Soy I, pp 24-26
 - 70 Briggs II, pp 290-91, tbid, I, pp 437-41, II, pp 284 92
 - 71 E D III, p 618, Nilkanta Sastri, op cit, p 219
 - 72 Ed by Harihara and Srinivasa Sastri, with an Introduc-20

tion by T A Gopinath Rao (Trivandram, 1916), cf R S Aiyar, Nayaks of Madura, pp 3-4

73 Cf Baranī, p 484, Ishwan Prasad, Qaraunah Turks, pp 200-202, Venkataramanyya, op cit, pp 169 ff

74 Bhāratī, XIX, p 311, cited by Venkataramanyya, op cil, pp 164-67

75 Madura Vijayam, see note 72 above

76 E C VIII, sb 375, pp 65-66 *Ibid* IV, yd 46, p 58, Introd p 23,

77 Ibid VI, sg 11

78-79 See note 73 above

Ch II—THE TUTELAGE

- 1 Ranade, Rise of The Maratha Power, p 38
- 2 Quoted by V A Smith, The Oxford History of India, p 281 (1923 ed)
 - 3 Ranade, op. cit, pp 27-38
- 4 Grant Duff, A Hist of the Mahrattas, I, p 45 (Rev ed 1921)
 - 5 Ranade, op cit, pp 30-31
 - 6 Ibid, pp 31-32
 - 7 H K Sherwani, Mahmūd Gāwēn, p 48
 - 8 Ibid, pp 158-59
 - 9 Ibid, pp 159-61
- 10 Ibid, pp 62-63 and n 50, cf Denison Ross, Arabic Hist of Gujarat, Introd pp xxxi-xxxii
 - 11 Briggs II, p 385
 - 12 Sherwani, op. cit, p 190
 - 13 I A XXVIII, 1899, pp 144-45
 - 14 Ishwari Prasad, Med India, pp 375-76
 - 15 Sewell, A Forgotten Empire, p 65
 - 16 Ishwari Prasad, Med India, p 382
 - 17 Nilkanta Sastri, Foreign Notices, p 232
 - 18 Briggs II, p 368, Sherwani, op cit, p 41
- 19 Iswari Prasad, op cit, pp 381-82, Sherwani, op cit,
 - 20 Ishwari Prasad, op cit, p 383
- 21 I A XXVIII, 1899, pp 239-40, cf Finishta, Briggs II, pp 436-46 Sherwani, op cit pp 69-71
 - 22 Briggs II, pp 436-40
 - 23 Sherwani, op cit, p 128
- 24 Ibid pp 129-33, Indian Hist Congress Proceedings 1935, pp 31-41

- 25 Briggs II, p 424
- 26 Riadhu'l-Insā, 79 and 83
- 27 Burhau-ul-Ma'sır, 86, Briggs II, pp 483 84
- 28 Riadhu'l-Insä, 61
- 29 Firishta II, pp 483 84
- 30 Ibid, p 486
- 31 Meadows Taylor, Hist of India p 186 (New ed.), V A. Smith, O H I pp 282 84
 - 32 Ibid, p 283
 - 33 Ibid
 - 34 Cf O H I, p 286, Ishwari Prasad, op cit, p 405
 - 35 O H I, p 294
 - 36 Ibid, p 295
- 37 Kincaid and Parasnis, 4 Hist of the Maratha People, p 413 (1931 ed)
 - 38 O H I, p 292
 - 39 Briggs III, p 31
 - 40 O H I, p 292
 - 41 Gribble, A Hist of the Deccan I, pp 205-7
 - 42-43 Cf Grant Duff, op cit, I, pp 64-68
 - 44 Rise of the Maratha Power, p 33
 - 45 D B Pārasnīs, Marāthe Sardār, pp 28-39
 - 46 A Hist of the Marathas I, p 69
 - 47 Ibid, pp 68-69
 - 48 *Ibid*, p 70
 - 49 Ibid, pp 71-72
 - 50 C D Dalai, Rashtraudhavanšakāvya, Introd, p avn
 - 51-52 Ibid, pp vini-ix, cf Sarkar, Aurangzib, I, pp 50 53.
 - 53 Balkrishna, Shivan the Great I, 1, p 39
 - 54 Ibid, pp 41-42
 - 55 Ibid p 43
 - 56 Ibid, pp 45-47
- 57 Patra Sāra Samgraha, Nos 26-29, 36, 92, Balkrishna, op cit.

Ch III—THE PIONEERS

- 1 आमा अकार्तुकाचे बोले करन्तु आपले जागिरीत नाहक गैरहिसाबी पादशाह । खलेल करविताती तरी आपण रजपूत लोक अजी तलग पेशजीहि दोघा चाँ पादशाहीत । खिदमत केली अमा गैरहिसाबी जाजती सोधुनु कमङजतीन व गैरमेहरवानीन पेशजीही खिदमत केली नाही व पुढेही न करु—
- -Shāhjī to 'Alī 'Ādıl Shāh, 6 July 1657
 - 2 Cf D V Apte, Siva Caritra Nibandhāi ali, I, pp 15-16

- 3 L Jādhav, along with Bābājī Kāyath Udā Rām, Adam Khān and Yākut Khān, appears to have gone over to the Mughals c 1616—Iqbal-nāma, pp 84-5, Tuzuk-1-Jahāngīrī R B I, pp 312-13 The final desertion is referred to in Sīva Bhārat, ch iv, sl 1-3, Iqbal-nāma, p 187, Tuzuk-1-Jahāngīrī, R B II, p 218 See Grant Daff, I, p 78, Balkrishna, I, 1, pp 62-3
 - 4 V A Smith, Akbar, pp 276-77 and 282
 - 5 E D VII, pp 51-61, cf Sarkar, House of Shivan, p 46
- 6 Chowdhuri, Malik Ambar, pp 118-22, Šiva Bhārai ch iv sl 10, 65
 - 7 V A Smith, Akbar, pp 248-9, 266
- 8 Gribble, A History of the Deccan, I, ch xix, pp 211-41, Ibid, ch xxi, pp 251-62, and J N Chowdhuri, Malik Ambar
- 9 Smith, Akbar, pp 276-77, 282, 313-16 Sarkar, House of Shivan, pp 34-6
 - 10 Ch Two, pp 43-44 above
 - 11 Chowdhun, op cit, pp 52-3
- 12 Ibid, pp 1,15-18 for events leading up to the battle of Bhātvadi
- 13 E D VI, pp 428-9 For a fuller appreciation of M Ambar -- Chowdhuri, op cit, pp 132-71
- 14 Ibid., pp 118-22, Siva Bhārat, ch iv, 10, 65 Cf n 10 a, Chowdhuri, p 119, Sarkar, op cit, p 35 for the part played by Shāhjī
 - 15 Jahangir, pp 256-59
 - 16 Chowdhuri, op cit, pp 40-47
 - 17 Balkrishna, op cit I, 1 pp 68-9
 - 18 Ch v, 18
- 19 Cf Ibid, vi, 8, P S S, 262, 274, 275, Balkrishna, I 1, p 76
- 20 Beni Prasad, *Jahangir*, pp 330-33, Saksena, *Shahjahan*, pp 34-5
- 21 Beni Prasad, op cit, pp 343-68, 383-86, Saksena, op cit, pp 47-8 Cf Surat letter of 29 Nov 1626—oc 1241—Surat to the Company
- 22 Beni Prasad, op cit, ch xx, pp 387-411, E D VI, pp 420-28
 - 23 Ibid
 - 24 Saksena, op cit, pp 66-79
 - 25 Sarkar, House of Shivan, pp 37-38, 55
 - 26 Ibid, p 36
 - 27 Saksena, op cit, pp 152-159
- 28 Mustafa Khān was the son-ın-law of Mulla M Lan who had been cruelly done to death by Malık Ambar after the battle of

Bhātvadı He was a deadly enemy of the Nizāmshāhi — Siva Caritta Nibandhāvalī I, p 23

- 29 Balkrishna, I, 1, pp 76-7 (n 1), p 80 Y K Deshpande in Hist Rec Com Report, 1942 (Mysore), p 233 Sarkar, House of Shivap, pp 38 9
- 30~ Cf ~Ibid , 39-40 , Balkrishna, I, 1, pp ~80-3 , E ~ D ~ VII, pp ~7-22
- 31 Sarkar, op cil, pp 41-42 The error in Sarkar's calculation of "two months" (July 1631—Feb 1632) is obvious
- 32 Sharma, Mughal Empire, pp 460 66, Saksena, op cil, pp 66-79
 - 33 E D VII, p 24
 - 34 Sarkar, op cit, pp 40, 42-3, 45 6
 - 35 *Ibid*, pp 46-7
 - 36 Ibid, p 47
- 37 Jedhé Sakāvalī gives Bhādrapad 1554 s as the date of this coronation at 'Pemgini' Cf Sarkar, op cit, p 46, E D VII, p 51
 - 38 Balkrishna, I, 1, p 84
 - 39 Sarkar, op cit, pp 47-8
 - 40 Cf *Ibid*, p 48
 - 41 *Ibid*, p 42
 - 42 Ibid, pp 445, Smith, Akbar, pp 276-77 and 282
 - 43 Sarkar, op cit, pp 45-6
 - 44 Saksena, Shahjahan, pp 159-63
 - 45 Cf Sarkar, op cit, p 49
 - 46 *Ibid*, p 54
- 47 For details of the campaign read Saksena, op cit., pp 145-47, Sarkar, Aurangzib, I, pp 55 48 (the terms of the treaty are given on pp 38-40)
 - 48 Ibid, pp 44-48
 - 49 Siva Bhārat 1x, 5-7
 - 50 Pissurlencar in Sardesai Coni Vol, pp 45 6
 - 51 E D VII, pp 51-61
- 52 R S Aiyar, Hist of the Nayaks of Madura, Appendix A, La Mission du Madure, in 42 (Sarkar, House of Shivan, p 7)
 - 53 Verma, Muhammad Nāma, p 24
 - 54 BS, p 317
- 55 R S Aiyar, op cit Introd, pp 18-28, S K Aiyangar, Baroda Lectures, pp 2-24
- 56 Dagh Register (1631-34) pp 145, 241, 364, Cf Balkrishna, op cit, I, 1, p 109 n
 - 57 Balkrishna, I, 1, pp 110-13 Verma, Muhammad Nāma,
- p 25 Cf S K Aıyangar, Baroda Lectures, p 44
 - 58 Verma, of cit pp 25-6 Keladi was the capital up to 1560.

Il keri up to 1639, Bidnür thereafter Read, Vij Com Vol, pp 255-69 Cf Sewell, A Forgotten Empre, p 220 n, Rice, Mysore and Coorg, p 158, Balkrishna, I, i pp 105-8, ii p 95

- 60 E C VII, Sh 2
- 61 Balkrishna, I, 1, p 111
- 62 B A Saletore, 'Kannada Sources' in Sardesai Com Vol (1938)
 - 63 Verma, M N, pp 27-8
 - 64 Siva Bhārat, 12, 37-39
 - 65 Cf Verma, M N, pp 28-30
 - 66 Ibid, pp 30-31, S K Aiyangar, Baroda Lectures, pp 47-8
 - 67 Verma, M N, pp 33-40
- 68 E F (1646-50), pp 25-6, Cf S K Ayangar, Baroda Lectures, pp 47-8
 - 69 Sarkar, House of Shivaji, pp 17-19
 - 70 Verma, Muhammad Nāma, pp 36-7
- 7,1 Ibid, pp 48-9 Siva Bhārat, xii, 18-47 Cf Dr S K Aiyangar, op cit, p 50 The date (1646) given by the last as well as his reference to 'Yeshopant Bharve' are obviously erroneous
- 72 For a fuller account of the part played by Tırumala read R S Aıyar, Nayaks of Madura, pp 110-49, and Jesuit records in *ibid*, pp 264-69 Sarkar, op cit, p 21
- 73 and 74 Verma, M N, p 52, R S Aiyar, op cit, pp 265-66; Sarkar, op cit
- 75 Verma, M N, pp 52-3 Cf 5 B λn for others associated with the arrest
- 76 Sarkar, op cit, pp 22-3, Shivaji, pp 35-38, Modern Review XLVI, p 12 Cf Diskalkar, Vijayanagar Com. Vol, pp 120, 122-23
 - 77 Sarkar, House of Shivan, p 23
 - 78 Verma, M N, p 60
 - 79 Sarkar, op cit, p 25
- Basatm-e-Sālātīn, pp 327-29 Verma, M N, pp 52-3 The fall of Ginji is thus described by the Jesuits The fortress, protected by its advantageous position, was besides defended by good fortifications, furnished with a strong artillery and by a numerous army provisioned for a considerable time, it could accordingly defy all the efforts of the besiegers But soon disagreements and divisions sprang up among these men (the besieged) so diversified in nationality and manners. A revolt broke out, in the midst of the general confusion, the gates of the citadel were thrown open to the enemy who rushed into it and delivered the town, the richest in all these countries, to pillage. The booty was immense, consisting of silver, gold, pearls, and precious stones of inestimable value. R. S. Aivar, op cit, p. 266
 - 81 Sarkar, op cit, p 24

- 82 Ibid, p 25
- 83 Ibid, p 24, Verma, M N, pp 556
- 84 Sarkar, I H Q VII, pp 362-64 "The present firmān is of great importance as throwing contemporary light on the activities of Dādājī Kond-dev and giving the exact dates of the Marāṭhā acquisition of Kondāna (Simhagarh) and Shāhjī's rupture with Bījāpūr"—ibid, p 363
- 85 Shwaji Souvemr, pp 6-7 The date of Shājī's release given therein is 15 Jyeştha, 1571 Virodhi = 16 May 1649 For a full discussion of the causes of Shāhjī's arrest and release also read Balkrishna, Shivaji I, 1, pp 127-35
 - 86 Sarkar, House of Shivan, p 56
 - 87 E F (1650-55) p axviv
 - 88 Sharma, Mughal Empire, pp 472-73
 - 89 Pissurlencar, Shivaji, p 33
 - 90 91 R S Aiyar, op cit, pp 266-67
 - 92 Cited by S K Aiyangar, Baroda Lectures, p 57
 - 93 R S Aivar, op cu, pp 269-70
 - 91 Balkrishna, op cit, p 144
 - 95 E F (1651-54), p 111 Jan 27, 1652
 - 96 Ibid (1661-64), p 174
- 97 R S Aiyar, op cit, p 267 Also read ibid pp 150-161 and 269 77
- 98 Cf Sarkar, House of Shivaji, p 30 R S Aiyar, op cit, p 272
 - 99 Ibid, p 279
 - 100 Ibid p 276
 - 101 Ibid., p 272, Sarkar, op cit. p 29
 - 102 Shivan Souvenir
- 103 E F (1655-59), pp 219-51—Revington to Co d 10 Dec ,1659 Balkrishna, op cit, p 155
 - 101 Dagh Register, 1661, p 126 (16 May 1661)
 - 105 Balkrishna, op cit p 156, also pp 151-52
 - 106 D F (1661-64) p 242, Balkrishna, I, n, p 95
 - 107 Sura Caritra Sālutya, IV, p 21
- 108 Balkrishna I 1, p 157, S K Aiyangar, Baroda Lectures, p 57

Ch IV-THE INSPIRATION

राजे श्रष्ट यवन झाले । ठायीं ठायी दोष घडले । मग इहीं अवतार घेतले । कलिदोष हरावया ॥ नामा म्हणे पुढें हे जन । यवन ससों कठीण । होता गाती हरीचे गुण । ते उद्धरती सर्वथा ॥

- 2 'Abode of Infidelity' to be converted into 'Abode of Islām'
- 3 Belvalkar and Rānadé, Mysticism in Mahārāshtra, p 31
- 4 Ibid, pp 19-20
- 5 Rājwādé, Itihāsāchi Sādhané, vol VIII, p 46
- 6 The Mahānubhavic conceits are like the conceits of the early Elizabethan writers, and we may say that Jñānadeva stands to the Mahānubhavas just in the same relation in which Shakespeare stood to the early Elizabethans"—B & R, op cit, p 27
 - 7 Paramāmrta XIV, 18 and 25
 - 8 B & R, op cit, pp 52 ft
 - 9 श्री ज्ञानराजे केला उपकार । मार्ग हा निर्धार दाखिवला । उदार तुम्ही सत । मायबाप कृपावत । केवढा केला उपकार । काय वानू मी पामर ॥ जड जीवा उद्धार केला । मार्ग सुपंथ दाविला । सेना म्हणे उतराई । होतां न दिसे काही ॥
 - 10 B & R op cat, p 138
 - 11 *Ibid*, p 168
 - 12 आम्हा सांपडले वर्म । करू भागवत धर्म ॥ काय करूनि तीर्थाटने । मन भरले अवगुणे ॥
 - 13 See Macnicol, Psalms of the Marāthā Samts pp 12-13
 - 14 B & R, op cit, pp 183-191
 - 15 Ibid
 - 16 Abhanga 94
 - 17 Abhanga 95
 - 18 Abhanga, 102
 - 19 Abhanga 106
 - 20 Abhanga 115
 - 21 Abhanga 140
 - 22 Psalms of the Marāthā Samts, Introd 22, 26
 - 23 Ibid, p 12
 - 24 Abhangas 1-2
 - 25 Abhanga 5
 - 26 Abhanga 5
 - 27 Abhanga 11
 - 28 Abhanga 3
 - 29 W B Patwardhan quoted by B & R, op cit, p 209
 - 30 सस्कृत वाणी देवे केली । प्राकृत तरी चोरापासुनी झाली । असोत या अभिमान भुली । वृथा वोली काय काज ॥

आता सस्कृता अथवा प्राकृता । भाषा झाली जे हरिकथा । ते पावनहि तत्त्वता । सत्य सर्वेथा मानली ॥ देवासि नाही वाचाभिमान । सस्कृत प्राकृत तया समान, ज्या वाणी झाले ब्रह्मकथन । त्या भाषा श्रीकृष्ण सतीष ॥ माझी मराठी भाषा चोखडी । परब्रह्मी फळली गाढी ॥

- 31 Eknath, pp 255-58 Cf B & R, op cit, pp 256-57
- 32 Abbot, sbid
- 33 Psalms of the Maratha Samts, pp 18-19
- 34 Tukārām, pp x-xi
- 35 Ibid
- 36 Ibid
- 37 Abhanga 1188
- 38 Abhanga 1091
- 39 Abhanga 859
- 40 Abhanga 2386
- 41 Abhanga 3946
- 42 Abhanga 1445
- 13 Abhanga 1585
- 44 Abhanga 2012
- 45 Abhanga 176
- 46 Abhanga 221
- 47 साह्य आम्हासी हनुमत । आराध्य दैवत श्रीरघुनाथ ॥
 गुरु श्रीराम समर्थ । काय उणे दासासी ॥
 दाता एक रघुनदन । वरकड लडी टेईल कोण ॥
 ते मोडोनि आम्ही जन । कोणाश्रति मागावे ॥
 महणोनि आम्ही रामदास । राम चरणी आमचा विश्वास ॥
 कोसळोनि पडो रे आकाश । आणिकाची वास न पाह ॥
- 48 B & R op cit, pp 363 69
- 19 Ibid, pp 368 69
- 50 Ibid, p 422
- 51 म्लेच्छ दुर्जन उदड । बहुता दिवसाचे माजलें बड । या कारणे अखड । सावधान अमावे ॥ Dāsabodh XVIII, 12

चरें ईश्वर आहे साभिमानी । विञेष तुळजा भवानी । परत विचार पाहोनी । कार्य करणे ॥ *Ibid* , 9

- 52 Inandor and blue and 27-13
- 53 Ibid

- 54 तीर्थक्षेत्रं मोडिलीं । व्राह्मण स्थानें अष्ट झाली । सकळ पृथ्वी आदोळली । धर्म गेला ॥ मराठा तितुका मेळवावा । आपुला महाराष्ट्र धर्म वाढवावा ॥ बहुतलोक मेळवावे । एक विचारे भरावे । कष्टे कह्न धसरावें । म्लेंच्छावरी ॥
- 55 तेव्हा नीच ते राजे होती । प्रजा नागिवती चोर प्रय ॥ ७६ ॥ श्रूहाहूनि अति किनष्ठ । ते राजे होती परमश्रेष्ठ । वर्णावर्ण करिती श्रष्ट । अति पापिष्ट अधर्मी । अपराधावीण वितड । मले त्यासी करिती दड । मार्गस्थां करिती कोड । करिती उदड सर्वापहरण ॥ स्वमुखे ब्राह्मण न करिती अध्ययन । होती श्रष्ट जाण मद्यपी ते । नीचाचे सेवन करिती । घरोघरी । श्रानाचियेपरी पोटभरिती ॥ दावलमलकाची पूजिती गदा । वर्षात्म फकीर होती एकदा । मग डोला होता थडा । खाती मलिदा हिंदु तुर्काचें खरकटे ॥ (गा. प. २५७८, ३८९२).

Read S D Pandsé, Mahārāstrācā Sāmskrtika Itihāsa, p 160

- 56 अति सर्वत्र वर्जावे । प्रसग पाहोन चालावे । हटनिग्रही न पडावे । विवेकी पुरुषे ॥ ७ ॥ समया सारिखा समय येईना । नेम सहसा चालेना । नेम धरितां राजकारणा । अतर पडे ॥ ६ ॥ Dāsabodh XVIII, 6
- 57 Rise of the Maratha Power, pp 10-11
- 58 Bhakta Vijaya, 140
- 59 Ibid, 239-245
- 60-64 Pp 125 ff
- 65 Vij Com Vol, pp 122-23

Ch V-THE GRAND STRATEGIST

- 1 F R Surat Vol 86, p 102-of 26 June 1664
- 2 Shwaji and His Times, p 20 n Cf D V Apte, Šuājīcī Vasatī-sthānén in Vividha Dnāna Vistāra, Sept 1937
- 3. Read p 118 and relevant notes below For a brief statement read Har Bilas Sarda, Sivāji a Sisodia Rajput a copy of

Swājī's horoscope is also given therein. Dr. Balkrishna discusses the ancestry of Swājī in ch. 11 of his Shivaji the Great, I, 1, pp. 35-56

- 4 The date of Sıvājī's birth assumed by me as correct is the new one, viz 19 Feb 1630
- 56 For the controversy on the old and new dates of Sivāji's birth (6th April 1627 and 19 Feb 1630) read D V Apte in Siva Charitra Pradipa, V S Vāka-kar in Sahavichāra (Tercentinary special no ed by C V Vaidya and D N Apte), pp 187-293, and G D Tamaskar s series of articles in the Educational Review of Madras June 1936—April 1938 Cf Sarkar, Shivan, p 22 D V Apte and M R Paranjpe, Birth Date of Shivan (Poona, 1927) Shivan Souveur, pp 95-110 (Marāthī section)
 - 7 See note 3 above
- 8 For an account of Sivaneri read C G Gogaté, महाराष्ट्र देशा-तील किले, I, pp 64-71 Bom Gaz (Poona), XVIII, 111, pp 153-63
 - 9 Grant Duff, Hist o' the Mahrattas I, p 87
- 10 According to Chitnis the marriage of Shāhjī with Tukābāī took place one year after the birth of Sivājī, i e in ś 1550 (Sānés ed 1924, p 27) Cf Tanjore Inscription, p 6, Grant Duff, op cit, pp 96-7
 - 11 Balkrishna, Shivaji, I, 1 p 144
- 12-16 Sarkar writes "It is a fair inference from the known facts that by the year 1630 or thereabout Jija Bai lost her husband's love, probably with the loss of her youth, and Shahji forsook her and her little son Shivaji and took a younger and more beautiful wife, Tuka Bai Mohite, on whom and whose son Vyankoji he henceforth lavished his society and all his gains' (Shirap, p 23-Italics mine) Shāhjī was round about 30 yrs of age in 1630 (See Balkrishna, op cit, p 58) Presumably Jijā Bāī could not have been older than her husband According to the 91 Kalmi Bakhar she was two years younger (Vākaskar's ed Baroda 1930 pp 6-7) Considering the hard life led by Jijā Bāī along with her husband and all the known details of her vigorous living, Sarkar's inference regarding her "loss of youth" appears curious Tukā Bai might indeed have been younger, but ergo 'more beautiful" seems to be Sarkar's own embellishment Sivājī and his mother were at Bangalore until the boy was 12 years of age according to Sabhāsad If he had been neglected by his father, as alleged, he need not have moved his little finger for the release of his father The entire relations sketched by me in the text constitute a refutation of Sarkar's misreading of Shahji's attitude towards Jijā Bāi and Sivāji Cf Sen, Siva Chhatrapati pp 164, 174-75, Sardesaı, Marāthī Riyāsat, I, p 82, and Siva Bhārat IX, 60

- 17 Sarkar, Shivaji, p 37 Randulla Khān who died in 1643, could not have been the cause of Shāhjī's release in 1649! See P S S 488 and Kincaid, I, p 143
 - 18 Read pp 79-80 ante
- 19 Sabhāsad, pp 3 and 164 Cf Grant Duff, op cit, 97 and 102 The traditional view of Dādājī, recorded by Grant Duff, the editor (S M Edwards) notes, may have to be modified in the light of further research
- 20 Sabhāsad, p 4 The 12 Māvals referred to by Sabhāsad were 1 Rohidkhor, 11 Velvand, 111 Muse, 1v Muțhe, v Jor, vi Kanad, vii Sivthar, viii Murum, 1x Paud, x Gunjan, xi Bhor, and xii Pavan Sen, Siva Chhatrapati pp 3-4, cf Sarkar, Shivaji, pp 24-30
 - 21 Sarkar, House of Shivaji, pp 85-6
 - 22 See note 18 above
- 23 Verma, M N, pp 36-7, Cf Basātīn-e-Sālātīn, pp 328-29 'The country which had nothing but idol-worship and infidelity for centuries was illumined with the light of Islām through the endeavours and good-wishes of the King Mosques were erected in the cities which were full of temples and preachers and criers were appointed in order to propagate Islām'
- 24 See p 61 and note 29 Ch III above for the murder of the Jādhavas Khelojī Bhonslé is referred to by Sarkar as Sivajīs grand uncle, Shivaji, p 31 For an account of Bajājī Nimbalkar, see Shivaji Souvenir, Marathi section, pp 165-86
 - 25 Siva Bhārat, viii, 52-54, V S Bendrey, Dandantti p 63
 - 26 Watters' Yuan Chwang 11, p 239
 - 27 Hist of the Mar I, p 103
 - 28 Ibid
 - 29 Sarkar, Shivaji, pp 25-6
- 30-31 For the importance of the part played by geography in the rise of the Marāṭhā power read Sivāṇ Nibandhāvali, pp 330-33 The Ādnā-patra states

सपूर्ण राज्याचे सार ते दुर्ग । गड कोट हेच राज्य, गड कोट म्हणजे राज्याचे मूळ, गड कोट म्हणजे खजीना, गड कोट म्हणजे सैन्याचें बल, गड कोट म्हणजे राज्यलक्ष्मी...॥

- See S N Banhatti article in Rāmdās and Rāmdāsi, Vol 50, pp 375 ff, also read Shivaji Souvenir, pp 48-94 (Marāthī section)
 - 32 See note 20 above
- 33-35 Sambhājī Mohite was a brother of Tukā Bāi the seconds wife of Shāhjī Balkrishna, Shīvajī, I, 11, pp 25-6
 - 36 Sarkar op cit, pp 39-40
 - 37 Sharma, Mughal Empire pp 90-93 138

- 38 Ibid, pp 285 86 Cf Smith, Akbar, p 287
- 39 Balkrishna, op cit, pp 24-5
- 40 Siva Bhārat, Chs XII-XV, Rawlinson, Source Bk of Mar, Hist, pp 13-19 Cf Balkrishna op cit, pp 27-8
 - 41 Sarkar, op cit p 44
 - 42 Ibid, pp 42-43
 - 43 Ibid, p 43

44-46 The relevant passage in Sabhāsad is reproduced in full here for reference

' कोंकणात चढरराव मोरे म्हणून राज्य करीत होते व ज्ञारपुरी सुर्वे राज्य करीत होते. असे जबरदस्त गड कोठ दहा बारा हजार छम्कर, हाशम समेत राज्य करीत असत त्याजकडे रघुनाय वल्लाळ सबनीस वोलावृन पाठविले. विचारकरिता, '' चढरराव मोरे यास मारल्या विरहित राज्य साधत नाही त्यास तुम्हावाचून है कर्म कोणास न होय. तुम्ही त्याजकडे हेजवीस जाणे. " ऐसे सागितले. वरावर निवडक धारकरी हो सवाहो माणूस निवहून दिलें ते स्वार होऊन जावली नजीक जाऊन पुढे चदररायास सागून पाठविलें कीं, "आपण राजियाकडून आलों आहो कित्येक बोलेणे तहरह कर्तव्य आहे " असे सागून पाठविलें उपरि त्यानी त्यास आपणाजवळ वोलावून भेट घेतली बाह्यात्कारी बोलपें जालें बिराड दिलें तेथे जाऊन राहिले. दूसरे दिवशी -मागती गेले एकाती भेट घेतली बोलणे जहार्ले प्रसग पाइन चटरराव व सूर्याजीराव दोघाभावास कटारीचे वार चालविले. जमातीशी निघृन चालिले. पाठीवर लाग जहाला त्यास मारून निघोन चालिले खासाच पडलियावरी लोक काय चालून येतात 2 असें कर्म करून परतोन, राजियाकडे भेटीस आले तेच खासा राजा चालोन जाऊन जावली सर केली मावळे लोकास कौल देऊन सचणी केली प्रतापगड म्हणवून नवाच चसविला हणमतराव म्हणवून चदररायाचा भाऊ चतुर्वेट म्हणून जागा जावलीचा होता, तेथे वळ थरून राहिला यास मारिल्याविना जावलीचें शैल्य तुटत नाही असे जाणून सभाजी कावजी म्हणून महालदार राजियाचा होता त्यास हणमतराव याजकडे राज्यकारणास पाठवून, सोईरिकीचें नातें लावून, एकांती वोलीचालीस जाऊन, सभाजी कावजी याने हणमतरायासि कटारीचे वार चालवून जिवे मारिलें कावीज केली

47 Sarkar, op cit, p' 43 Cf Rawlinson, Source Bk, pp 54-5
48-49 Ibid, p 44 Cf 91 Kalmi Bakhar, para 29 B' B
Misra "The Incident of Javli" in the Journ of Ind Hist (April
1936), pp 54-70, S N Sen, Sardesai Com Vol, pp 197-201, CV
Vaidya, Shivan, pp 64-71, and Balkrishna, op cit pp 31-39

- 50 Shivan Souvenir, p 7 This finds remarkable confirmation in contemporary letters P S S 553, 557 (June-July 1649)
 - 51 Shivan Souvenir, p 52
 - 52-53 Rawlinson, Source Bk, pp 55-57
- 54 Rawlinson, Source Bk p 56, Balkrishna, op cit, p 32. Siva Bhārat, xviii, 8-9
- 55-57 P S S 557, 615, 627 Balkrishna, op cit, p 33 Misra, J I H, April 1936, pp 64-7 The rebellion of Hanmant Rao is referred to by Subhāsad and the Jedhe $Karīn\bar{a}$, as well as, in P S S 564 and 567
- 58-59 Sarkar, op cit, p 43 Cf Misra, op cit, pp 64-65, Rawlinson, op cit, p 56
 - 60 62 Texts cited (Sen and Sāné)
 - 63 Sarkar, op cit, p 33
 - 64, Ibid, 45-47
- 65-66 Ibid, 51-54 Cf Rawlinson, op cit, p 60, P S S, 739
- 67-68 Shivaji Souvenir, pp 52-3, Sarkar, op cit, p 56, Pissurlencar, Shivaji, p 4
- 69 Sarkar, op ctt, p 56 Māhuli referred to here (my friend Prof Oturkar points out to me) is in the Thāna Dist, not that in Sātārā Dist
 - 70 Shwan Souvenir, pp 53-6
 - 71 Sarkar, *op cit*, p 73
 - 72 Sabhāsad, Sen, Siva Chhatrapati, p 9
 - 73 E F R V, 1, p 3, Sarkar, op cit, p 60
 - 74 *Ibid*, p 63
 - 75-76 Cf Ibid, p 68 Balkrishna, op cit, pp 49-58
- 77 Sarkar, op cit, pp 75-77, particularly n on p 77 Bal-krishna, op cit., pp 53-54, p 58
- 78 Pārasnīs MS letter no 5, Sarkar, op cut, p 49 Sabhāsad; Sen, op cut, p 4
 - 79 Sarkar, op c1t, pp 34, 81
 - 80 *Ibid*, p 88
- 81 F R Surat Vol 103, E F vol 1661-64, p 236—Balkrishna, op cit, pp 80 ff For career of $\underline{Sh}\bar{a}$ 'ista $\underline{Kh}\bar{a}n$, ibid, p 76
 - 82-83 F R Surat, 86 to Karwar and to Co
 - 84 D R pp 543-45, Balkrishna op cit, p 92
 - 85 D R, p 455 (20 July 1663)
 - 86 Sabhāsad, Sen, op cit, pp 8-9
- 87 For Bījāpūr diplomacy and the desperate efforts to tackle Sīvājī through the Desāis of Sāwantwādi and the Portuguese read Balkrishna, op cit, pp 96-7

88 Thomas Browne, Vol I, pp 426-37, Balkrishna, op cit, pp 210-11

89-90 Ibid, pp 230-31, also pp 210-11

91 Ibid, pp 213 21

92 Ibid, p 232

93 E D VII, pp 88-89, Sharma, Mughal Empire, pp 792-93

94 Br Museum Sloane MSS No 1861, I A, Dec 1921 Bal-krishna, op cit, p 220

95 Ibid, pp 226-27, Thevenot, III, ch with

96 Sen, Foreign Bibliographies of Shinap, pp 73-6

97 Balkrishna, op cit, p 232

98-100 J C De, Indian Culture, VI-VII, articles on the Surat raids

101 Sen, Foreign Biographies, pp 360-62

102 Ibid, pp 73 ff, cf other foreign accounts in Balkrishna, Shivan, I, 11, pp 190 ff

105 Ibid, pp 79-80

104 The Dutch losses amounted to f 20,000 (£1,700) Ibid, pp 371-72 It is obvious that the compensation granted was not uniform in all cases

105 Shivan, pp 178-79

106 Ibid, p 103

107 Ibid, pp 106 07

108 Sen, op cit, pp 82-84 40, 49

,109 Sarkar, op cst, p 125

110 Ibid, pp 121-22

111 *Ibid*, p 131

112-113 E D VII, pp 272-75

114-115 Sarkar, House of Shivan, 143

116 Ibid, pp 129-47

117-118 Ibid, pp 130 31

119 Shivaji and His Times, p 158

Ch VI—THE CHHATRAPATI

- 1 The crown quotation is from Nischalapuri who was a Tantric who brought about a second coronation ceremony of Sivājī in accordance with his cult, after the Vedic rites had already been performed. His work entitled Srī Siva-rājyābhišeka-kalpataru has been edited by D V Apte (B I S M Quarterly, Vol X, 1—March-June 1929). See V S Benarey, Dandanīti, p 66 and p 34, n 57
 - 2 Sarkar, Shivan, p 141
 - 3 Ibid, pp 130-31, House of Shirap, pp 124 27
 - 4 Sarkar, Shwan, p 134

- 5 Ibid, p 137
- 6 Ibid, p 160
- 7 Pārasnīs MS letter No 11
- 8-9 Shivan Souvents, p 16
- 10 E F, Surat vol 105, 1668-69, p 269
- 11 Sen, Siva Chhatrapati, pp 72-73
- 12 Acworth, Ballads of the Marathas, p 55
- 13 Sen, op cit , p 75
- 14 Shivaji, p 166
- 15 Ibid, p 167
- 16 E F 1668-69, pp 256-57—23 Jan 1670 Cf Sharma, Mughal Empire, pp 523-39 for Aurangzeb's religious policy
 - 17 Sarkar, op cit, 166-67
 - 18 Sarkar, Aurangzib, III, pp 319-20
 - 19 E D VII, pp 183-85
 - 20 Ibid, p 293, Sarkar, op cit, pp 318, 323
 - 21 Ibid, p 166
 - 22 Sharma, Mughal Empire, p 526
 - 23 Sarkar, House of Shivan, pp 98-100
 - 24 Sarkar, Shivaji, pp 170-73 Cf Manucci, II, pp 162-67,
- F. R., Surat, vol 105 pt 11 (fl 20-21), Bombay, 5 Sept 1670
 - 25 F R, Bombay, vol 19, p 27 (Surat to Bombay)
- 26 Consultations, Surat, 16 Mar 1670, and Swally Marine, 2 Oct 1670
 - 27 Sarkar, Shivan, p 178
 - 28 Balkrishna, op cit, I, 11, p 328
 - 29 *Ibid*, pp 328-31
 - 30 O C 3515,—20 Nov 1670
 - 31 Sabhāsad, 65 Cf Sarkar, op cit, pp 180 82
 - 32-33 *Ibid*, p 189
- 34-36 Sabhāsad, 75-76 English records confirm this victory, though the Persian records are silent about it—0 C 3,633, Surat to Co. 6 April 1672
 - 37 Surat, vol 3, fl 25-Surat Cons, 26 Oct 1672
 - 38 Sarkar, op c1t, pp 192-94
- 39 Bombay to Surat, Oct 1673, Balkrishna, op cit., pp 343-344
 - 40 F R, Surat, 106
 - 41 Sabhāsad, 79, Jedhe Sakāvalı gives the date
 - 42 E D VII, p 288
 - 43 Balkrishna, op cit, pp 1-2
 - 44 Sabhāsad, Sen, Siva Chhatrapati, pp 113-18
 - 45 Sen Foreign Biographies, pp 456-61
 - 46 Ibid, pp 461-62-O C vol 35, No 3965

- 47 Foreign Biographies, pp 467-68
- 48 Travels in India, pp 263-64
- 49 Dutch Records, XXXIV, No 841, 13 Oct 1674

50-52 Ibid—The controversy regarding the ritualistic details of the coronation are of secondary interest. The political importance of the ceremony has been brought out in the text. [P 181, 1 21—For dhwaya read dhwaya]

53 स्विस्ति श्रीराज्यामिषेक शके ३ नल नाम सवत्सरे माघ शुध ५ क्षेत्रीय कुला-चतस श्री राजा विवाजी छत्रपती स्वामी याणी समस्त ब्राम्हण वेदपाठी व प्रहस्थान व क्षेत्रीय महळी तथा प्रभुप्रहस्थान व वैक्यजाती व श्रुदादि लोकान तथा जमेदार व चतनदार व रयेत वगैरे सर्व जाती हिंदु महाराष्ट्रान तथा महालानि व देश व तालुके च प्रातानिहाय वगैरे यास आजा केली ऐसिजे. हिंदू जातींत आनादि परपरागत धर्मेगास्त्राप्रमाणे धर्म चालत आले असता अलीकडे काही दिवसात येवनी आमल जाहल्यामळे काहीं जातीतील लोकास बलात्कारे धरून भ्रष्ट केले व कितेक जागीची दैवते जबरीने छिन्नभिन्न केळी हिंदु जातीत हाहाकार जाहाला. गाय न्नाम्हणसह धर्म उत्छद होण्याचा समय प्राप्त जाहाला त्याजवरून श्री ईश्वरीकृपेने धामचे हाते श्री साबाजीने यवन वगैरे दृष्टास जासन करऊन पराभवाते गेले व राहिले ते शत्र पादाकात होतील. परतु लिहिण्याचे कारण की या सरकारात राज्याभिषेक समई क्षेत्रक्षेत्रादि क्षेत्रस्य ब्राम्हण वहत प्रथ अनादि सर्व जमा करून धर्मस्यापना जाहाली त्यास श्रीकासी क्षेत्रस्य बाम्हणात काही तट पडून हाली त्रय पाहाता भटजीकडून तफावत जाहली आहे ठरले त्याजवरून हल्ली प्रन्हा शास्त्रीपडित व सदसदी व कारकून यास आज्ञा होऊन ज्ञाति विवेक व स्कद पुराणातरगत स्यादीखड अदी महान प्रथी निरणय सर्व ज्ञातिविसी जाहरे आहेत ते वगैरे सर्व प्रथानुमते व जसे ज्याचे वर्म अनादि चालत आले त्याप्रमाणे निरवेघ चालावे अगर ज्या ज्या जातीस वेदकर्माचा अधिकार असून येवनी जाहल्यामुळे आयवा ब्राम्हणानी काही द्वेषवृद्धीने शास्त्रानुरूप कर्में न चालविता मलीन जाहली असतील ती त्या जातीचे महळीनी पुरी पाइन ज्याची त्याची नीट वहिवाट आचरणे. ज्या ज्ञातीत जशी परपरा चालत आली त्या प्रोा चालवावी. जो कोणी द्वेषवुद्धीने द्रव्ये लोभास्तव ब्राम्हण शास्त्रविरहित नवीन तटे करून खळेल करील येविसी त्या ज्ञातीवाले यानी सरकारात अर्ज करावा म्हणजे शास्त्राचे समते व रूढीपरपरा व त्रथ पाहून निरतर निरमत्छरपणे धर्मस्थापना कोणाचा उजुर न बरीता परिनष्ट जेन्हाचे तेन्हाच त्वरीत बदावस्त होईल हली यवन उत्तर देशीहून येत आहे तरी सर्व ज्ञातीने एक दिल राहून कस्त मेहनत करून सेव। करून गत्रू पराभवाते न्यावा यात कल्याण तुमचे सरकारचे 21

ईश्वर करील जाणेजे. " (K S Thackerey, Grāmanyacā Sādyanta Itihāsa, 154-55, Bombay, 1919)

54 See note 49 above

Ch VII—THE PATRIMONY

- 1 Vyankojī was the son of Tukā Bāī Mohite the second wife of Shāhjī Sivājī born of Jijā Bāī was elder in years as well as by the seniority of his mother as the first wife of Shāhjī
- 2 Until the subjugation of Karnāṭak by Sivājī in 1677 Vyan kojī, not only technically, but also by personal choice and inclination preferred his subordination to Bījāpūr Read text p 188 ante
- 3 Rawlinson, Source Bk of Mar Hist, pp 129-31, Balkrishna op cit, pp 284-86
 - 4 Sen, Foreign Biographies, pp 302-04
 - 5 Sen, Šiva Chhatrapati, pp 231-32
 - 6 Sarkar, Shivan, pp 285-86
 - 7 Sen, op. cit, pp 119-20
 - 8 Sarkar, op cit, p 290
 - 9 Ibid, pp 294-95
 - 10 Balkrishna, op cit, pp 281-84
- 11 See R S Aiyar, Nayaks of Madura, p 280, La Mission du Madure, 111, p 273
 - 12 Balkrishna, of cit, pp 227-28
 - 13 Dagh Register, p 319-2 Oct 1677
 - 14 Ft St George, vol 18, p 42 (24 Aug 1677)
 - 15 La Mission du Madure, m, p 271
 - 16 Sen, Foreign Biographies, p 317
 - 17 F R Surat vol 107, pt 11, fl 24
 - 18 La Mission du Madure in, p 271
 - 19 *Ibid* pp 281-82
 - 20 Ft St George Records, vol 27, pp 17-18 (16 July 1677)
 - 21 La Mission du Madure, iii, p 273
 - 22 Ft St George, Diary and Consultation Bk (1678-79) p 67
 - 23 Dagli Register, 28 Aug , 1678, p 458 , 10 Nov 1678, p 642
 - 24 La Massion du Madure, 111, p 249
 - 25 Sen, Siva Clihatrapati, p 129
 - 26 Ibid, pp 232-36, Cf Balkrishna, op cit pp 286-289
- 27 H Gary's Report to the Co dated Bombay 16 Jan 1678—o c 4314
 - 28 Sabhāsad, 90
- 29 English Diary and Consultations (1678-79), pp 105-06 Cf Sarkar, Shivaji, pp 308-9
 - 30 Shivaji, pp 366 67 n (1919 ed)

- 31 Sarkar, Shivan (1929 ed), p 280
- 32 Ibid, pp 286-87
- 33 Ibid, p 309 '
- 34 Ibid, pp 311-12 and 312 n
- , 35 Ibid pp 308 9
 - 36 Dagh Register 2 Oct 1677, p 319
- 37 Cited by Sen, Śwājī Nibandhāvali, I (Eng Section), pp 58-9
 - 38 Ft St George Records, 1672-78, pp 112-13
 - 39 Ibid, 18 June 1677, p 115
- 40 (This ref no is erroneously printed in the text as '30) Ibid. Vol 27, p 28—19 June 1677
 - 41 O C 4266, Sen, Toreign Biographies, pp 472-74
- 42 R S Aiyar, Navaks of Madura, pp 176-77—ed note by Dr. S K Aiyangar Cf B I S M Quart 1937, No 68, pp 136-44

Ch VIII-THE SEA FRONT

- 1 Sabhāsad (65-66) also states that Sıvājī 'protected and properly maintained those who accepted his Kaul'
- 2 Eg read my Mughal Empire, pp 410-418, 601-618 and 853-859 depicting the relations with the Europeans
- 3 Janjira island is 45 miles s of Bombay ½ mile to the e on the mainland are Danda and Rājāpuri on opposite sides of the Rājāpuri creek. These three places were of very great commercial and strategic value, as will be clear from their history dealt with in the text. Read B K Bhonsle, Janjira Samsthāncā Itihās for a fuller account, E D VII, p 256
- 4 F R Surat vol 88, Letters and Memorial, Rairy, 21 May 1674
- 5 The Siddis being Abyssinians were as much foreigners as the Portuguese, French, Dutch and the English Their attitude towards Sivājī was more persistently hostile
 - 6 P 143 ante
 - 7 Pissurlencar, Shivaji, p 4
 - 8 Surat, vol 86—Surat to Co, 26 Nov 1664
 - 8A Fryer, Travels II, p 66
- 9 Read Shejwalkar's art on the Basrur expedition in the Bulletin of the Deccan College Post-graduate Research Institute, vol. IV, No 2, pp 135 144
 - 10-11 See text pp 211-12 ante
- 12 Hubh was sacked in 1664-5 and 1673 F R Surat vol 86, p 102—26 June 1664, Surat to Carwar speaks of 'that mart of our Carwar factors where we sell and buy most of the goods that post

affords us', Hubli, a great inroad town and a mart of very considerable trade'—*Ibid* vol 87, p 54, 1 Nov 1673, OC No 3779 of 31 May 1673 Also E F India 1665-67, pp 75-76, Surat vol 104, p 212 of 6 Jan 1665, and F R Surat, vol 106, pp 145-6 of 2 Sept 1673, 1bid, fl 109-110 of 14 May 1673, OC vol 34 No 3786 of 17 May 1673

- 13 Cf Dagh Register, Bl 445, 7 Feb 1676
- 14 F R Surat, 103, Gyffard to Surat, 24 May 1663 and 22 June 1663
- 15 Sarkar, Shivan, p 235 We cannot say how far Sıvānī was provoked into this "massacre of the Ghorpadés" by the memory of Bānī's rôle in the arrest of Shāhnī in 1648 Cf Sabhāsad p 54 (Sāné's ed)

It is important to note that this passage mentions neither the Mudhol "massacre" nor the "popular tradition" about the motive of revenge (for Bāji's rôle in the arrest of Shāhjī in 1648) The only other authority cited for this enbellishment is the Jedhé Sakāvali The entry therein, however, simply reads

शके १५८६ कोध संवछरे : कार्तीक मासी येदिल शाहाची व राजेश्री स्वामीचा विघाड होऊन नि खवासखान कुडाळास आले. राजेश्री स्वामी शेनेसहवर्तमान जाऊन घोरपडे मारिले खवासखानासी युद्ध केले तो पळोन घाटावरी गेला समागमे सर्ज्योराऊ जेधे होते त्याणी युध्याची शर्त केली. (Swa-cartra-Pradipa, p 23) It means 'Saka 1586 Krodha Samvatsara, in the month of Kārtika, 'Adılshāhī and Rāje Srī Swāmi having fallen out, and Khawās Khān having come to Kudal, Rāje Srī Swāmi, with his army, went and struck down Ghorpadé, fought with Khawās Khān, and he fled over the Ghats Sarjé Rão Jedhé who was in that action, fought valiantly'

The distinguished part played by Sarjé Rão Jedhé in this engagement having attracted the special attention of the chronicler, this entry in the Sakāvalī acquires a particular authenticity. The month Kārtīka of the Krodha year (śaka 1586) corresponds to 10th Oct—7th Nov 1664 (Ephimeris). The destruction of the Ghorpadés took place while Khawās Khān was in Kudal; and his fight with Śīvājī and flight over the Ghāts followed after the Ghorpade incident. These happenings are recounted in this sequence in an undated letter (c 1664) supposed to have been written by Śīvājī, and opening with the terms of address विद्याचे श्रेवेसी. These words mean 'In the service of the Parent' (either father or mother), and it has been argued, that this letter must have been written by Śīvājī to his mother, since Shāhjī had died on 23rd January 1664. The letter recounts incidents that took place at the end of that year, but quotes

the purport of a letter received to which it was presumably a reply The translation of this letter given in the Shivan Souvenir (pp 145-46) is not idiomatic. It opens with—'At the service of Father'. and the recounted wording is rendered as 'You are aware of the critical situation in which I found myself a few years ago in the Buapur Darbar ' (referring to Sahiji's imprisonment in 1648). Stricter adherence to the conventions of the language and society would warrant its being put only in indirect speech वि ज्या प्र रा स येण चंडले 'the visit to Bijapur came about' No one who is familiar with the charming indirectness in which a Hindu wife refers to her husband or his actions will miss the correct import of this expression Hence it does not mean "what happened to me," as crudely implied by the English translation in the Souvenir There is therefore nothing to preclude, if the letter is genuine, its having been addressed to Inābāi Cf Balkrishna, I. ii pp 539-40, C V Vaidya, Shivan, pp 164-70 But C V Vaidya has strenuously argued for the greater plausibility of its being addressed to Shāhiī, and has consequently found it necessary to antedate the events referred to therein. rejecting the date recorded by the Sakāvali No one has questioned the authenticity of the letter Though it may not be possible to fix its address or date beyond dispute, its contents serve to confirm the two important facts recorded in the Sakavali. The destruction of Mudhol and its motive are also referred to therein. We are further informed that Mudhol aggr was annexed by Sivan

पुन्हा आपलेसे करून सुधोळ पचमहाल आपला करून स्थापिले

Ali Adilshāh, however, regranted Bājī's jāgīrs to his son Mālojī, in perpetuity, in view of his father's "martyrdom" in the service of his Sarkār. It is important to note that, in the royal firmān, there is not even an allusion to any barbarity committed by Sivāji. "And a dispute and fight also took place between the supreme (and) most holy Sarkār and Shivājī Rājāh Bhonsle, in the fight your father having displayed gallantry and heroism and self-sacrifice, and having (thus proved himself) useful in every respect to the most holy Sarkār, died like a martyr"—Balkrishna, op cit, I, i Firman dated 20th Oct 1670 (end of the vol.)

The "massacre of the Ghorpades" finds no support anywhere Sivāji's letter says "ৰাজী মাটিল ব কিনক আই লাই কাৰ্ড ' Bājī was killed and many of his own men (troops) fell. A Dutch record as well speaks in very similar terms. "The victory gained by the rebel in taking Captain Gorpora by surprise was far from what Chaveschan expected, as that person was certainly one of the most excellent commanders. He got so severely wounded that he soon died and lost 200 men besides all the cash. Gorpara's men who escaped though

no more than 300 horsemen, made it so hot for Sivasi near Carrapatam and Waim above the Ballagatta, that the same is said to have hastened the breaking up of his camp from here." Dagh-Register, Balkrishna, op cit, II, ii p 533. In a very frank letter addressed to Mālojī, in 1677, Sīvājī writes. "From time to time enmity began to grow between your and our families. In several contests you killed our persons and we yours. As a prominent instance, our people killed, in the contest, your father Bājī Ghorpade. Mutual enmity continued in this way." He then invites Mālojī's co-operation in what he explains as their common interest, namely, to see that Deccan is in the hands of the Deccanees—Ibid. II, i. pp. 282-83

16 Sabhāsad, 69

17-18 Balkrishna, op cit., I, 11, p 527

19-20 F R, Karwar to Surat, 29 Aug 1665

We should point out here Sir Jadunath Sarkar's confusing reference to Bahlol Khān in the context of the suppositious victory of Khawās Khān over Sivāji He gives a common Index reference (p 234) to both Khawā Khān and Bahlol Khān The latter name, however, does not appear on that page, though in the ft n that of Md Ikhlas Khān does But this was a brother of Khawās, and his second defeat and expulsion from S Konkan (Nov 1665) are referred to therein Who then was Bahlol? In the third edition of his Shivan and His Times, this Bijapuri general is stated to have died in June or July 1665, (P 240 and Index) But, like a cat with nine lives he reappears, time and again later and wins victories over the Marāthās in several encounters On or about 15th April 1673 Bahlol Khān is supposed to have been allowed to withdraw at Umrani "probably for a bribe" (Shivan, p 201) In June, the same year, he "held Kolhapur and defeated the Marathas in several encounters, fercing all their roving bands to leave the Karwar country He also talked of invading South Konkan and recovering Rajapur and other towns next autumn In August he is still spoken of as 'pressing hard upon Shivan, who supplicates for peace, being fearful of his own condition' But soon afterwards Bahlol Khan, his irreconcilable enemy, fell ill at Miraj and Shivaji's help was solicited by the Bijapur and Golkonda Governments to defend them from a threatened Mughal invasion under Bahadur Khan (September) ' (Ibid, pp 216-47) The difference in identity (though obvious) between the Bahlol Klaan who died in ,1665 and his latter namesake is nowhere explicitly indicated Secondly, it passes our comprehension to see how Sivājī who 'supplicated for peace being fearful of his own condition' in August could, in September have been 'solicited by Bijapur and Golkonda Governments to defend them from a threatened Mughal anvasion' The illness of Bahlol Khan could not certainly have tilted

the balance so miraculously The same Bahlol Khan (we presume) was defeated by Anand Rão, at Bankāpūr, in the following March (1674), when, after a desperate battle, he and Khizr Khān were "put to flight with the loss of a brother of Khizr Khan" They had an army of '2000 cavalry and many foot-soldiers' "Anand Rao robbed the entire Bijapuri army, captured 500 horses, 2 elephants, and much other prize" (Ibid p 204) We do not know why these catagorical statements, as to the places and personalities, in the text should be neutralised in the footnote by references which leave the Sabhāsad's account, quoted verbatim, reader utterly bewildered relates to Hambir Rão's deseat of Husain Khan Miana, which Sarkar has himself located at Yelburga and dated January 1679 n 320) A comparison of the two ft notes (pp 204 and 320) would show that Sarkar does not accept. Sabhāsad's concatination of the place of action and the generals named. The reference therefore, vith all its wealth of details, is more confusing than helpful is as distinct from Husain Khān, as Anand Rão is different from The two actions were equally distinct from one Hambir Rão another, though both of them were decisive victories for the Marāthās They took place at two different times, though the places might have been very near each other. We do not see why, if other particulars given by Jedhe are to be accepted as true, (Nagoji Rao Jedhe was killed in action on that occasion and his wife Godubai (of the Ghorpadé family) died sati Because of this close family interest the Jedhés could have made no mistake about the place According to their Karīna, Sivāji commiserated with Nāgoji's mother Tuljābāi and assigned to her one ser of gold yearly, there should be any emendation of Yelgedla into Yelburga 'Yelagi' (20 m s of Belgaum) sounds nearer to Yclgedla than 'Yelburga' (30 m n-e of Gadag) Sampgaon of Sabhasad is 19 m s e of Belgaum, while Yelagi is 20 miles south of Belgaum. This accounts for the genesis of Sabhāsad's error in confusing the two incidents. But the modern reader need make no mistake about them

Sir Jadunath Sarkar has, in his recent work (House of Shivan, Calcutta, 1940), independently elucidated the Bahlol Khān mystery of his Shivan and His Times, though in this new work there is no indication whatsoever of the fresh information being an emendation of the earlier, perhaps unconscious, confusion. We now learn from him that Bahlol Khān I, who was a contemporary of Shahn, had two sons both of whom inherited the title, as BK II and BK III On the death of the latter (BK III) in July 1665, his son, Abdul Karim, was created Bahlol Khān IV. At this, Abdul Md, son of BK II, took umbrage and went over to the Mughals (Nov 1665) who conferred upon him (c. 1669) the title of Ikhlas Khān. But Sarkar

cautions us against confusing this title in the Mughal peerage with the same title borne by other Bījāpūrī nobles. This Ikhlas Khān was wounded by Sivājī in the battle of Dindori on 17th Oct. 1670, and again wounded and captured by Pratāp Rāo at Salher in Feb. 1672. It is not clear, even now, how the Ikhlas Khān, brother of Khawās Khān, defeated and put to flight by Sivājī in 1665 could be confused with the Bahlol Khān of Index reference (p. 234). However, it is well to bear in mind that Bahlol Khān 'III died in July 1665, and that the BK of all later incidents was the IV of that name, who was the Bījāpūrī Wazīr from 1675-77. It was he who usurped all authority as the new Regent of the infant Sikandar 'Ādilshāh on 11 Nov. 1675, and also before that, "being certain of heavy loss, and even utter repulse," at the hands of Sivājī, wisely withdrew after having proceeded to the succour of Phonda in May 1675. Sarkar, Shīvajī, p. 250.

To complete the Bahlol epic, we might also add that the corpulent Husain Khān Miana captured at Koppal by the Marāṭhās (January 1677) was a 'fellow-clansman' though 'no near relative' of Bahlol Khān III (died July 1665) He escaped to the Mughals in 1683, was made a 5-hazārī by Aurangzeb with the pompous title of Fath Jang Khān (House of Shīvajī, pp 62-3) but was again captured by Marāṭhās and honourably lodged, by Sambhājī, at Rāigaḍ' where he died

- 21 F R, Surat vol 106 (2nd set), Carwar, (17 Feb 1673), p 100, 1b1d, vol 88, pt 11, vol 37, Carwar, 14 April, 1675 Sivāji Nibandhāvah, pp 323-24
 - 22 O C 3881, 31 Oct 1673
 - 23 Chitnis, 70
 - 24 Shivaji, pp 252-53
 - 25 S F Outward L B No 2—Surat to Karwar, pp 181-83
 - 26 Dagh Register, Bl 445, 7 Feb 1676
- 27-28 O C vol 34, No 3786, 17 May 1673, Orme Mss vol 114 Section 2, p 87—21 June 1673
 - 29 Sarkar, Aurangzib, IV Ch 42, House of Shivan, p 58
 - 30 Sarkar, Shivan, pp 250-53
 - 31 F R Surat vol 88 58-Karwar
 - 32 Ibid, Vols 62-3-Rajapore
 - 33 Balkrishna, op cit, II, 1, p 373
 - 34 C V Vaidya, Shivaji, p 330
 - 35-37 Sen, Siva Clihatrapati, pp 89-95
- 38 F R Surat, vol 2, 86, 105, Sarkar, Shu an, p 260 Sarkar's estimate of the total strength of Sivājī's fleet is erroneous "The Maratha chronicles," he states (ib p 258), speak of Shivaji's fleet as consisting at its best of four hundred revels of various sizes and

classes" Ib, p 267 he has himself referred to the destruction of above 500 of Sman's vessels by Aurangzeb's fleet. Sabhasad speaks of 700 vessels

- 39 .F R Surat, 82
- 40 Sarkar, Shuaji, pp 266 68
- 41 O C 3760 cited by Sarkar, op cit, pp 267-68
- 42 Indian Hist Rec Com Proceedings, vol IX p 110
- 43 Ibid, pp 110 11
- 44 *Ibid*, p 112
- 45 *Ibid*, pp 112-13
- 46 Ibid, pp 114-15
- 47 48 Shwan Souvenir, pp 121-26
- 49 Ibid, p 128
- 50 Pissurlencar, Shiran p 31
- 51-52 Shivan Sourchir, pp ,130 33
- 53 Pissurlencar, op cit, pp 389, Sarkar, Shiran, p 250
- 54 *Ibid* pp 363 65
- 55 Ibid p 362
- 56 Heras, The Decay of Portuguese Power in India pp 35 6
- 57 Letters Received by the E I Co, III, p xxvi
- 58 O C 4115, B P Unav, vol VI p 152 Bombay 28 Sept 1675
 - 59 F R, Ft St George, vol I, p 17-3 Oct 1677
- 60 B P Unav VIII, p 62-London to Surit, L B 6, 502-15 Mar 1681
 - 61 Sarkar, op cit, p 311
- 62 Ibid (This no has been erroneously repeated in the text (p 223) The reference for this is I R Surat, vol 85--10 Mar 1662 |
 - 63 F R Surat, 103 (6 Feb ,1663) and 2 (9 Oct 1663)
 - 64 65 *Ibid*, vol 88, p 227
 - 66 F R Bombay, vol I, pp 30 31 Orme, vol 114, p 185
 - 67 F R Surat, vol 107—(Fol 6), 9 Nov 1674
 - 68 Ibid, vol 88 (Fol 244), 13 Nov 1674
 - 69 Ibid, vol 89 (Fol 90), 5 Dec 1676
 - 70 Ibid, (Fol 30)
 - 71 Ibid, vol 107 (Fol 6), 9 Nov 1671
 - 72 Ibid, (Fol 60), Bombay, 6 Fcb 1675
- 73 O C vol 36, No 4175-2 Feb 1676, Forrest, II S I, Swally Marine to Co, p 81
 - 74 S F Letter Book No 2, p 33-17 Jan (out) 1676
 - 75 Ibid, p 120-Surat to Rajapore
 - 76 F R, Surat, vol 86, p 102, Surat to Karwar, 26 June 1664

- 77 E F India, 1665-67, pp 75-6, Surat, vol 104, p 212-6 Jan 1665
 - 78 F R, Surat, vol 106, pp 145-46-2 Sept 1673
 - 79 Orme Mss vol 114, sec 2, p 87-21 June 1673
- 80 F R Surat, vol 106, Fols 109-110—14 May 1673, vol 3 (3rd set), p 22—19 July 1673
- 81 S F Outward Letter Book No 2, pp 123-24, Surat to Rajapore, 13 May, 1676
 - 82 Ibid, p 140, Surat to Bombay 14 June 1676
- 83 O C vol 37, No 4225, S F Outward L B No 2, pp 181-83—Surat to Karwar, 24 Aug 1676
- 84 F R, Surat, vol 89 (Fols 69-70)—Swally Marine, 17 Oct 1676
- 85 S F Outward L B No 2, p 237, F B Surat, vol 89, p 72
- 86 F R Surat vol 89 (Fol 112), Surat to Rajapore, 12 July 1678
 - 87 Ibid, p 67, Surat to Rajapore, 25 Feb 1678
 - 88 Ibid, Surat vol 108, (Fol 52) Rajapore, 28 Feb 1679 80
- 89 Forrest, Home Series p 66, F R, Surat, vol 106, pp 94, 114
 - 90 F R Bombay vol 8, p 28
 - 91 *Ibid*, vol 6, pp 124-28
 - 92-94 O C, 4699
 - 95-96 Cf Sarkar, Shivan, pp 270-76
- 97 B P Unav VIII, pp 29-30 O C Vol 40, No 4699, 8 April 1680
 - 98 L B vol 6, 302, London 15 Mar 1681
 - 99 John Fryer, East India, vol III, pp 163-65

Ch IX—THE CRISIS

- 1 *C H I*, IV, p 290
- 2 Ibid, pp 281-82 C(Kincaid and Parasnis, A Hist of 'ne Mai People, p 144 (1931 ed)
 - 3 F R, Bombay vol 19, p 6 (2nd set), 7 May 1680
 - Ibid, vol 107, Sarkar, Shivan, pp 317-18
- 5 Sarkar, A Short Hist of Aur, pp 241-67 Cf Kincaid and P, op cit, pp. 104-108
 - 6 F R, Surat, 108—Bombay to Surat, 19 Jan 1682
- 7 B K Bonsle, Janura, pp 46-54, K and P, op cit p 21, F R Surat, 108, Carwar, 30 July 1682
 - 8 Orme, 112, F R Surat, 90, to Sir John Child, 8 May 1682
 - 9 Ibid 107, K & P, op cit, p 122

- 10 F R, Surat, 91, Surat to Co, 10 April 1683 Siddi Misri was the son of S Sambul who had joined Sivaji in 1677—Bhonsle, op cit, pp 42-3, 51
- 11 For a list of the 22 forts owned by the Siddis at this time, see *ibid*, p 58
 - 12 Portuguese e Marathas II, Sambhājī, pp 68 73
 - 13 Ibid, pp 2-4, eg letter of 5 May 1680
- 14 He arrived in Goa on 11 Sept 1681 and left India on 15 Dec 1686—Danvers, u, 361, 370
- 15 Manucci, Storia do Mogor, 11, p 261, Pissurlinear, op cit, p 12
 - 16 Ibid, pp 9-10
- 17 Ibid pp 13-14, reported to Portugal in a letter dated 24 Jan 1683
 - 18 Ibid, p 11
 - 19 Ibid, pp 14-20
 - 20 Ibid, p 26
 - 21 Sarkar, A Short Hist of Iter p 297 See note 31 below
- 22-23 Storia, 11, pp 262-63 See n 3 on Ponda, Orme dates the incident in Sept. 1683 (1b, n 2) Cf Sarkar, 4 Short Hist of Aur, p 297 "The Viceroy planned to make a diversion by laying siege to Phonda he arrived (on 22nd Oct) in the vicinity of Phonda and opened fire on that fort immediately." In his Aur, IV, pp 273-74 he has stated "On 27th Oct he set out from the town and arrived in the vicinity of Ponda without opposition, on 1st Nov apened fire immediately." Note the discrepancies in dates as well as place names "Ponda," he points out (Aur IV, p 273 n) is 10 miles s s e of Goa town, it must not be confused with "Phonda" in the extreme s of Ratnagiri Dist

According to his Short Hist (p 297) 'Next day they (Portuguese began to retreat and on Ist Nov reached Durbata where they were to embark for Goa.' In Aur IV, pp 274-75 the date given by him is "11th Nov"

If the viceroy 'set out' on the '27th Oct' he could not have 'arrived' on the "22nd Oct"

- 24 Sambhājī's auxiliaries brought by Prince Akbar
- 25 Storia, 11, p 263
- 26 Hist of Aur IV, p 275
- 27 Storia, 11, p 263
- 28 Sarkar, A Short Hist of Aut, pp 297-298
- 29 Storia, 11, pp 268-71
- 30 Cf Kincaid & P, op cit, p 124, the date of the capture of St Estavao is given as 25 Nov 1683

- 31 Pissurlencar, Sambhan, pp 65-67 The details of this incident are very confusing Sarkar in his Short Hist p 297 says that Sambhaji s Peshwa laid siege to Chaul with an army of 6,000 infantry and 2,000 cavalry on 31st July In his larger work (Aur., IV, p 271) he has stated that Moro Trimbak Pingle laid siege to Chaul on 10th August According to Kincaid and P (p 123) it was in June 1683 Grant Duff (p 242) also gives the same date Sardesai in his earlier (1915) ed of the Riyāsat had vaguely referred to the siege of Chaul as having taken place during the monsoon of 1683 (p. 570), now, on the basis of the Jedhe Sakāvali, he gives 10th June 1683, adding that 8th Aug (night) as the probable time of the final assault (Ibid 3 प्रकृति सभाजी. pp 46-47—1935 ed) For the opening of Sambhaji's campaign, Sarkar gives 15th April 1683 in Aur, IV, p 270 and 5th April 1683 in his Snort Hist of Aur, p 297
- 32 Pissurlencar, Sambhan, pp 56-7, letter of Conde de Alvor to the General of the North, dated 4 Feb 1684
- 33-34 Pissurlencar, A Liga dos Portuguese com o Bounsulo Contra Sambhaji
 - 35 O C 5005
- 36 Letter Bk vol 7 dated 7 April 1684, also F R Surat, 90 d 8 May 1682 reflecting the same attitude
 - 37 L B vol 8 London to Surat, 28 Oct 1685
 - 38 O C 5641 of 24 Dec 1687
- 39 W S Desai in Ind Hist Cong, Proceedings, p 605 (Allahabad, 1938)
 - 40 O C 5691 of 12 Dec 1689
- 41-43 Chitnis, (Sane's ed 1930), pp 3, 7, 15 Formal coronation of Sambhaji, 16 Jan 1681 Cf Character of Sambhājī in Sardesai, ভয় সক্লবি ম্বমাজী, and of Jahāngīr in my Mughal Empre Ibid p 206 for the Tardi Beg incident Cf Kincaid & P pp 106-07 [Ref No 42 has been omitted in the text through oversight]
 - 44 Sarkar, Aur IV, pp 244-46
 - 45 Storia, 11, p 255
 - 46 Sarkar, op cit, pp 256-58
 - 47 Ibid, p 259 and n
- 48 *Ibid*, p 261, earlier Sarkar (p 256) has stated that Aurarrived at Aurangabad on 22 Mar 1682—not Nov 1681 as here Surat to Co 10 April 1683
 - 49 Sarkar, op cit, pp 263-64
- 50 Ibid, p 291 For Portuguese negotiations through Manuccu e see Storia, ii, pp 277-79
 - 51-52 *Ibid*, p 287 and n
 - 53 Sharma, Mughal Empire, pp 553-65

- 54 Storia, 11, p 309
- 55 Orme, p 201, Kincaid & P, p 144
- 56 Ibid, Sarja Khān was originally in Bījāpūr service
- 57 Ibid, pp 144-45
- 58 Chitnis, Sambhan, 7
- 59 Ibd, 3, 'काहीं उम्र स्थिती सोडावी। काही सौम्यता धरावी।' 'शिवराजाचे कैसें वोलणे। शिवराजाचे कैसें चालणें। शिवराजाची सलगीं देणें, कैसी असे।
 - 60 Kıncaıd & P, p 140 'On 25 Dec 1683 Sambhājī returned to Raigad, there he gave full authority to Kavı Kalash'—Jedhe Sakāvalī
 - 61 E D VII, p 338
- 62 Originally Shaikh Nizām, who deserted Golkonda during its siege (28 May 1687) and was created 6 hazārī by Aurangzeb with his new title and a cash reward of one lakh of rupees, etc
- 63 Sarkar, Aur IV, pp 398-404 Cf Chitnis, 20 and Jedhe Sakāvali speak of Tulāpūr, Sardesai identifies the place with Vadu —Sambhan, 99 (new ed)
 - 64 Martin's Memoirs, 11, 454, Sarkar, House of Shivan, p 204 65-66 Storia, II, pp 310-12
 - 67 Ādnāpatra
- 68 चिरजीव शाहू कार्लेकरून तरी श्री देशी आणील ..शाहू सर्व राज्यास अधिकारी, आम्ही करतो ते तरी त्याचेसाठींच आहे. प्रसंगास सर्व लोकास त्याजकडेच पाहणें आहे हैं कारण ईश्वरेच नेमिले आहे.

Sardesai, Rājāram, p 41' (new ed) Rājwādé, vol XV, p 296

Rājārām was only মন্দাভুৱ but not 'crowned' like Sambhājī Cí Sarkar, Aur IV, p 404

- 69 Rājārāma-carītam by Keshav Pandit, ed by V S Bendrey (Poona 1931) Cf Sarkar gives the date as 1st Nov Aut V, p 25 Sardesai, Rājārām, gives 15 Nov
 - 70-71 J I Hist, Vol VII (1928) p 92
 - 72 Sardesai, Rājārām, p 49, Rājwādé, vol 15, Nos 347-348
- 73 Sarkar, Aur V, p 14 For fuller details, ibid, pp 1-254, Sardesai, Rājārām, pp 164 ff (1936 ed)
 - 74 Chitnis, Rājārām, 34
 - 75 Sarkar, op cit, p 78
 - 76 Ibid, p 79
 - 77-78 Ibid, p 84, M A, 357
 - 79 Manucci, Storia, 111, pp 271-72
 - 80 Sarkar, op cit, p 103
 - 81 Ibid, pp 103-4

- 82 Mysore, 1, p 133, Sarkar, op cit, V, p. 106 Ginji capitulated finally on 8 Jan 1698
- 83-86 Sarkar, Aur V, p 118, E, D VII, pp 356-57 Khwāfi Khān estimates the Mughal losses at 50-60 lācs of rupees
- 87 [Marked '89' in the text (p 259) by mistake] Sarkar, of cit, p. 122
- 88 Kincaid (p 176) gives 5th March (Falgun Vadya 9, Sake 1621 Ac to the Ephimeris, this should be 15th (Wednesday) Chitnis gives अष्टमीसह नवमी = 2nd March, Sarkar makes it 3rd March in Jedhé Sakāvali (For details of Rājārām's last campaign read Sarkar, Aur V, pp 132-35, Kincaid, pp 17076
 - 89-90 Chitnis, 54, 63
- 91 Dhanājī was a Jādhav, being a grandson of Santājī Jādhav i a brother of Jijābāi)
- 92 See Sarkar, Aur V, pp 14-15 for Aurangzeb's campaigns, Nov 1699—Oct 1705
 - 93-94 *Ibid*, pp. 236 40
 - 95 *Ibid*, pp 234-55
 - 96 Kincaid & P, op cit, pp 172-73
 - 97 E D VII, pp 373-75
 - 98 Sarkar, Short Hist of Aur, p 358

Ch X-THE ACHIEVEMENT

- 1 Das Bodh
- 2 A Forgotten Empire, Introd pp 5 and 7
- 3 This is to be understood in a relative, not absolute, sense. No other people in India have displayed the peculiar traits of nation hood, good as well as bad, as the Marāṭhās during the period of their ascendancy
- 4 Read Grant Duff's *Hist of the Marathas*, Introd by S M Edwardes (1921 ed O U P), and C V Vaidya's 'Are the Bhonsles Kshatriyas?' in the *Shivan Sonvenn* (Dhawale, Bombay, 1927)
 - 5 Sarkar, Aurangzib, V, pp 207-13
- 6 S N Sen, Administrative System of the Marathas Military System of the Marathas Balkrishna, Shivaji the Great, Pt IV.
 - 7 Inte 136-37
 - 8 Ante p 190
 - 9 Ante pp 197-99
- 10 Read Balkrishna, Shivaji the Great, Pt IV, ch Sarkar, Shivaji and His Times, p 381 and House of Shivaji, pp 80 82
 - 11 Sen, Shiva Chhatrapati, pp 29-39
 - 12 Journal of Indian History, Vol VIII, pt 1, pp 81-82

- 13 Ibid, p 82
- 14 Ibid, p 81
- 15 Ibid, p 89
- 16 Ibid, p 94
- 17 Ibid, p 95
- 18 Ibid, pp 95-96
- 19 Ibid, pp 96 97
- 20 Ibid, p 99
- 21 Ibid, pp 99-100
- 22 Ibid, pp 100-103
- 23 Ibid, pp 104-5
- 24 Ibid, p 207
- 25 Ibid, pp 208-211
- 26 Ibid, pp 214-16
- 27 Ibid, pp. 217-18
- 28 Ibid, pp 219-29
- 29 Ibid, pp 229-33
- 30 Ibid, pp 212-14
- 31 Sarkar, House of Shivan, pp 79 and 82

BIBLIOGRAPHY

An exhaustive Bibliography is a desideration for the writing of scientific history in modern times. It is obvious, therefore, that Maratha History cannot be properly studied except with the help of an adequate guide to the sources and literature on the subject attempt has been made in the Introduction to acquaint the reader with the general works hitherto available, particularly in English, to the students of Maratha History It is the purpose of this note to briefly indicate the wealth of materials that must be consulted by those who would like to form their own independent judgment on the topics discussed in the body of this work Attention is confined here to the period covered in the text. Ala-u'd-din's invasion of the Deccan to the death of Aurangzeb-only For obvious reasons no reference is made to unpublished materials. The more ambitious student will find additional aids in the references and bibliographies cited by writers like Sir Jadunath Sarkar, Dr Surendranath Sen. Mr S M Edwardes Dr Bal Krishna Kincaid, and others from the mere lists of authors and works given by them, it is helpful to go through the critical comments made by some of them

To mention only a few specific instances we might refer the reader to Sir Jadunath Sarkar's Shiran and His Times pp 107-18 (3rd ed 1929) and his lectures on 'Sources of Maratha History delivered in Bombay in 1941 (Journal of the University of Bombas Vol V. Part I. pp 1-22) Dr S N Sen's Introduction to his Idministratue System of the Marathas (2nd ed 1925). Porcign Biographics of Shivan and his Siva Chhatrapati pp 251-59 (1920), and Dr Bil Krishna's Shivan the Great, Vol I, Part I, Introduction, pp 1731 (1932) The Historical Miscellary, Serial No 31 (B I S M, Poona, 1928) also contains an article on 'A Brief Survey of Perturues Sources of Maratha History, by Dr S N Sen tracts from the unpublished Dutch records in the Hague Colonial Archives are also to be found in the Suan Nibanal avall I, Eng see pp. 61-88 (Siva Chantra Karvalava, Poona, 1930)

For the sake of brevity, and to avoid needless repetition. I have thought it superfluous to include here materials referred to in the here voils, as well as in my Notes. A cere almost bibliography of published works in Marithi up to 1943 is not invalable to the reders in Mr. S. G. Dites excellent complation. Marith. Grantly Siels Vol. I pp. 978-95 (People 1941). A thorough foing public from in all lineurces must take more time to compile than I can command and more prove than War controls permit. Out of the first 1-1 is a finited. I about a few relations, shell might be to some in the transfer of the processing of th

RECORDS

- Bruce, John-Annals of the East India Company, 1600-1708, London, 1810
- Burnell, A C-Books and Mss relating to the Portuguese in India, Mangalore, 1880
- Grunt, Sir A Catalogue of native publications in the Bombay Presidency upto 1684, Bombay, 1867
- Joshi, V C—Records of the East India Company 1600-1677, Ind Hist Cong., 1941
- Kālé, D V English records on Shwap, Poona, 1931, इतिहाससाधन अंथांचा प्रपंच, 'Lokshikshana', Poona, 1930, इतिहासक्षांचा मेळावा व महाराष्ट्रांतील संशोधनकार्य, 'Sahyādri,' Poona, 1938
- Kāté, R G.— भूषणकवीचे ऐतिहासिक प्रामाण्य, 'Lokslukshana', Poona, 1929
- Notes on the extracts from the Government Records in the Fort St George, Madras. 1670-81, India Office.
- Oaten, E F-European travellers in India during 15th, 16th, & 17th centuries, London, 1909
- Pissurlencar, P—Article in the Historical Records Commission Report, XVI, Calcutta, 1939
- Potdār D V जदुनाथ सरकार आणि महाराष्ट्रीय संशोधन, 'Sahyādrı', Poona, 1937, Historical Miscellany, Madras, 1928
- Raghunāthjī, K—A brief account of the Governors of Bombay 1662-1877, Bombay, 1878
- Randıvé, R. K Marāṭhā History Records, Hist Records Com. VI, 1924
- Sardesai, G S-Present needs of Marāthā History, Hist Records Com XII, Gwalior, 1929, Extant family records in Mahārāshtra, Hist Records Com XV, Poona, 1938
- Sarkai, Sir J—Tiue sources of Marāthā History, Mod Review XLVII, 1930

GENERAL ASPECTS

Abbott, J E-Ramdas, 1932

1943

- Ahmed, S S-Alauddin Khalji's policy in the Deccan, Ind Hist Cong 1941
- Basu, K K—the Bijapur Court Culture, Ind Hist Cong, 1941 Bendrey, V S—Dandanīti (Kešava Pandit), B I S M Poona,
- Betham, R M-Marāthās and the Deccam Musalmans, Simla, 1908

Birje, W L - Il ho are the Marathas? Baroda, 1896

Broughton, T D-The Marāthās, London, 1813

Dändekar, S V—The Bhāgwat Movement in Mahārāshtra, Philoso-phical Quarterly, 1939

Deming, W S-El nāth, Bombay, 1931

Hanumanta Rao—Hindu religious movements in Mediaeval Deccan, Jour Ind Hist XV, 1936, Some mediaeval mystics of the Deccan, Ind. Hist Cong., 1941

Kālé, D V — The national character of the historical Marāthās, Ind Hist Cong 1938

Kanetkar, Y G-Some political aphorisms and views of the Marathas, Ind Hist Quar XV, 1939

Kincaid, C A - Saints of Pandharpur, O U P

Macricol, N -- Psalms of the Maratha Saints, O U P. 1915

Mahājani, V M - Tukārām, Mod Review, 1908

Mahdi Hussain—The Hindus in Mediaeval India, Ind Hist Cong, 1939

Mir Mahmood Ali-Contributions of Bahmani Kings to Indian Civilization, Ind., Hist Cong. 1941

Mookerji, R K—Hindu conceptions of the Motherland, Ind Culture, 1935

Puntāmbékar, S V—The old feudal nobility of Mahārāstra, Ind Hist Cong, 1941, Marāthā Pohty, Minerva, Lahore, 1942

Ranadé, M. G.—Introduction to the Satara Rajas and the Peshiva Diary, Satara, 1902

Saksena, B P-Early life of Malik Ambar, Ind Hist Cong 1941

Saletore, B A-Note on Chauthai, New Ind Ant, 1939

Sāletore, R N —Significance of Chauthāi in Marāthā history, Jour Bom Uni Vol VII, 1938

Sardesai, G S—Achievements and failures of the Marathas, Mod Review, 1939

Sarkar, B K—Hindu trad tion in Maiāthā politics, Hindusthan Review, 1936

Tone, W H—Illustrations of some institutions of Marāthā people, 1818

PLACES

Banerji, A - Sivenéri, Mod Review, 1937

Da Cunha, J. G-Origin of Bombay, Bombay, 1900

Hawthorne, R-Picturesque Poona, Madras, 1903

Jervis, T B—Geographical and statistical Memoir of the Konkan, Calcutta, 1840

Lethbridge, E -Topography of the Mogul Empire, Calcutta, 1871

- Loch, W W-Historical account of Poona, Satara and Sholapur districts, Bombay, 1877
- Säletore, B A—the antiquity of Pandharpur, Ind Hist Quar. 1935 Shakespeare, L W—Local history of Poona and its battlefields, London, 1916
- Sinha, H N —History of Surat, Ind Hist, Records Com, 1940 Verma, B D —Viśälgarh inscriptions, Bom. Uni Jour 1933
- West, E W —Historical sketch of the Southern Marāthā Country, Bombay, 1878

SHÄHJI

- Sarkai, Sir J —Rise of Shāhjī, Mod. Review, 1917, Shāhjī in Mysore, Mod Review, 1929
- Srınıvāsāchārı, C S—Shāhjī in Karnātak, Oriental Conference proceedings, Trivandrum
- Verma, B D—History in Muhammad Nāmah achievements of Shāhjī, B I S Mandal—Jubilee Number Shāhjī's letter to a minister of Bijapur, Ind Hist Cong., Hyd 1941

SHIVAJI

- Apté, D V -Birth-date of Shivājī, Poona, 1927, when did Shivaji start his career of independence, Ind Hist Records Com. 1940
- Altékar, A S-Shivājī's visit to Benares, Jour Bom Hist Society, 1930
- Bālkrishna—The nature of Sardeshmukhi during Shivāji's time, Ind Hist Cong 1939
- Banhattı, S. N.—The social and political significance of the state—craft of Shivājī, Ind. Hist. Cong. 1939
- Dé. J C-Marāthā attack on Surat, New Ind Anti 1940
- Deming-Rāmdās and Rāmdāsis
- Godé, P K -Harı kavı and Shıvājī's sword, New Ind Anti. 1940
- Kāmdār, K H—The year of Shivājī's birth, Jour Bom Hist Society, 1929
- Khan, T D Sayeed-I he Real Shivaji, Allahabad, 1935
- Lachit Barphukan—a great Assam contemporary of Shivaji, Ind Hist Cong., 1935
- Misra, B B-The Incident of Jawli, Jour Ind Hist 1956
- Māwjee, P W Shivāji's Swarājya, J B B R A S, 1903
- Munb-a-Rahman—Shivājī and Afzal Khān, Ind Culture XII
- Mukadam, V S—Chhatrapati Shivājī Charitra, Godhra 1934 (Gujarāthi)
- Nılakanta Sāstri, K A Shivājī's charter to the Dutch on the Coromandal Coast, Ind Hist Cong., 1939

- Pissurlencar, P.—Attitude of the Porturuese towards shiving during the campaign of Shaista Khan and Jan Singh, Hist Records Com-IX, 1926. A Portugue c embassy to Raisad in 1684 Proceedings, All India II et Cons. 1935.
- Potdar, D. V.—Afzal Khan's invision affects Vishalgad fort, Hist Records Com., XVI. 1939
- Pudumjee B D -Notes on Shu ap, Bombay, 1020
- Sarkar, B. K.—The political philosophy of Rämdis, Cel. Review 1935, the Phiralistic world of Shivāji, Hand istān Review, 1955
- Surkar, Sir J. Shiviji in the Midris Kurnutch, Hist, Records Com-VI, 1924 - Tankhu Shiviji, Mod. Record 1907 and 1910 - Unc of Shivey from the Person. Mod. Record. 1907
- Sarma, R A contemporary record of Shivin's birth Join Bilar and Oriesa Royal Socy, 1931
- Surdesai, G. S.-Kavindra Pirminand Hist Records Com, Calcutta 1939
- Sen S. N.—The receive policy of Shwaji Calcutty 1921, A notion the annexation of Jawli, Sardises Com. Vol., 1938
- Tamaskar, B G -Plunder of Surat, Ind Hist Con., 1941, the policy of Shwaji and the English Vew Ind. 1nt. IV, 67, 1941

SAMBHAJI AND RAJARAM

- Desai, W. S. The relations of Bombry with the Marith's during the Company's war with Automoreb 1685 1690, Ind. Hest. Cong. 1938.
- Gode, P. K. Pārijāta dhi aja of the Marīthī ling Sarbhīji Ina. Hist Quar 1940, Fragments of poems pertaining to Sambli in Annals of the Blandariar O. R. Irstitute, Peon i, 1937, the Samayanasa of Giefibhatta, composed by the Marātā ling Sambhīji in 1680 81, Ind. Hist. Cong., 1939
- Passurlenear P—Rigirim and the Portugue e Ind Hat Records Com. 1910. A Portuguese embassy to Raurid in 1684. Ind Hist Cong. 1935
- Potdar, D V—Two lines in Raji Sambhijis own hand Ind Hist
- Powar, A G-A note on the date of Rimchandrapant Amitya's death, Ind Hist Cong., 1910
- Puntambekar, S. V.—Rāmachandrapant Amātsa's Rājnīti Madra, 1929
- Srinivisachari, C S—The Marithi occupation of Jinji and its significance, Ind Hist Cong 1910
- Thakur, V V-Life and achievements of Ramchandrapant Amaty.

 Ind Hist Records Com 1940

KARNĀTAK

- Anyangar, S K—Rise of the Marāṭhā power in the South, Jour Ind Hist, 1930
- Bhonslé, R K—A note on the Tanjore palace Library, Hist. Records
 Com Patna, 1930
- Diskalkar, D B—Shahaji's relations with Vijayanagar, Vijayanagar Sex-Centi Com Vol., 1936
- A dissertation on Tanjore Marāthā History, Madras, 1902
- Edwardes, S M—A Mss history of the ruler of Jinji in the India Office Library, main facts set out in *Ind Anti*, 1926
- Godé, P K -- A drama in Tamil, Bhandarkar Annals, XX
- Hickey, W-The Tanjore Maratha Principality, Madras, 1873
- Linganna—Keladi Nrpa Vijaya (Kannada), University of Mysore, 1921
- Nilkant Sāstri, K A Some Dutch documents on the siege of Jinji, etc., Ind Hist Records Com 1940
- Ramānujaiyangar, M A—Mahākavi Tirumalarāya's Chikadeva Rāja Vamsāvali (Kannada), Mysore, 1919
- Sāletore, B A—The value of Kannada sources for the history of the Marāthās, Sardesai Com Vol 1938, Tutelage of Mahārāshtra under Karnātak, Hist Records Com Poora 1938, Some unknown events in Venkoji's career, Ind Hist Records Com 1940
- Sāletore, R N—The beginnings of Marāthā revenue system in Karnātak, J B. B R A S, 1939, Sambhaji in Karnātak, Jour Oriental Research, 1939
- Sāmbamurtī Row—The Marāthi historical Inscription at Tanjore, 1907
- Sāstri, P P S Tanjore Mss and their value, Jour. Bom Hist Socy, 1940
- Sathianathier, R—A vindication of Venkoji Bhonslé, Ind. Hist. Cong. 1938
- Shejwalkar, T S—What Shivājī and the Marāthā state owed to Vijayanagar, Vijay Sex-centi Com Vol 1936
- Srinivāsāchāri, C S—A great Marāthā service in South India in the pre-Shivaji epoch, Sardesai Com Vol 1938, Histoire de Ginji, Pondicherry, 1940
- Subramanyan, K R—The Marāthā Rājās of Tanjore, Madias, 1928 Vaidya Govind—Kanthiravanarasarājéndravijaya (Kannada), University of Mysore, 1926
- Vridhagirisan, V—The Nāyaks of Tanjore, Annamalai University, 1942

KONKAN

Āpté, B K—The early beginnings of Marāthā Navy, Bulletin of the Deccan College Research Inst., 1941

- Abstract of proceedings of the House of Commons in relation to the E I Co and Trade, London, 1698
- Desai, W S-Relations of Bombay with the Marāthās 1685-1690, Ind Hist Cong 1938
- Dighé, V G-Kanhoji Angria, Sardesai Com Vol 1938
- Gode, P K —Studies in the history of the Angrias, Jour Uni Bom 1937
- Historical account of the settlement and possession of Bombay by the English E I Co etc, London, 1781
- Low, C R-History of the Indian Navy, London, 1877
- Moraes, G M—Kanhoji Angria's relations with the Portuguese, Jour Um Bom X, July 1941, Causes of the Marāthā Portuguese war 1914, 1943
- Phipps, J—A collection of papers relative to shipbuilding in India, Calcutta, 1840
- Sen, S. N.—Half a century of the Marāthā navy, Jour Ind Hist, 1932, Early career of Kanhon Angria, Caicutta Review, 1935, Khanderi expedition of Charles Boone, Aiyangar Com Vol, 1936, Early career of Kanhon Angria and other papers, University of Calcutta, 1941

FAMILIES

- Belel ar, N L—The importance of the Bhonslés in Indian history, Hist Records Com, Nagpur, 1928
- Betham R M—Marāthās and Dekham Musulmans, Calcutta, 1908 Burton and Wills—A sketch of the history of the Bhonslé family, Nagpur, 1920
- Puntambékar, S V—Old feudal nobility of Maliarashtra, Ind Hist Cong 1941
- Sardesai G S-Rôle of the Ghorpades in Marāthā history, Mod Review, 1940

REVIEWS

- Gupté, B A -Notes on Grant Duff's history of the Marāthās, Calcutta, 1912
- Limayé, H G—'Review of a history of the Maratha people', Ind Review, 1918
- kāle, D V Bālkrishna's Shivājī the Great, Sahvādri, Sept. 1944
- 'P'-Review of C V Vaidya's 'Shivājī-the founder of Marāthā Swarājya,' Modern Review, 1933
- Puntambekar S V—A review of 'Shiva Bharat' Jour Ind Hist, 1928
- Sardesai, G S—The earliest Marāthā Chronicle, Mod Review 1924 Review of Bālkrishna's 'Shivaji the Great part I,' Mod Review 1933

INDEX

'Ādīlshāhī-40-42 , in battle of Tālikota (Rakkastangadı), 42-43, Treaty with Mughal Emperor, 44-45, Farmans to Ghorpade's and Bhosle's, 54, 57 Afzal Khān—72, 73, 78, 136-139, 140-141, 209
Agrā—156-157, 209, 246
Ahmadnagar—41, 43, 58-59, 61, 66, 116, 140-41, 149, 162, 166, 246, 248, 262-63 Akbar-52, 57, 126, 245-46 'Ala-u'd-Din Khalii—Significance of his 1295 expedition to Devgiri, 1-3, details discussed, 4-7. repercussions of his death. 13-14 Amīr Khusrau-4, 12, 14-15 Annāji Datto—245 Aurangzeb-44, 81, 135, 139-40, 150, 156-57, 159, 163-64, 166, 233-34, 236-39, 246-47, 251-53 255, 262, 265 Avii Ballal- 250

Bāguls of Bāglān—51-52 Bahmani—Foundation of kingdom, 23, extent of dominions, 29, Hindus in administration 30-32, policy towards infidels, 32, break up of, 27, 40-41 Bāji Prabhu Deshpāndé—140, 153 Bālājī Āvjī—250 Bangalore-72-73 78, 89, 119 Basrur—210 Beläl Deo-Foundation of the kıngdom of Vıjayanagar, 25-26 Bhanudas—Bhakta-vijaya, 101-2, 110-11 Bhätvadı—Battle of, 58 Bhavānī (Tulajā B)—92, 134, 139, 176, 178 Bhosle's—51-54, Mal 180, Parson, 263 64 Bıdnūr, 72-74, 209 Maloji, 66. Bījāpür—29, 41-43 tottering, 55, combines with Mughals, 44, 58, Shāhjī goes to, 59 60 joins Ahmadnagar against Mu

ghals, 61, 64, 68 9, and Golkonda join in Karnātak campaign, 70, 71, 113 Morés and, 127, 132, concentrates on de feat of Sivājī, 139, sends Fazl Khān against Sivājī, 140, 156, 185, 188, 197, 206-7, 209, 220, 235, 249 Bombay—176, 206, 215, 221, 232, 237, 243-44 Burhānpūr—60, 63-65

Chākan—39, 126, 132, 141 Chokha—100-101

Dādāji Kond-dev—79, 115, 120
123, 125
Daulatābād—(See Devgiri)—17, 18, 20-24, 29, 57, 62-67, 102
Devgiri—Condition at invasion, 6, 7-9, base of operations, 11-12, in revolt, 16, centre of Muslim power, 17
Dhanājī Jāchav—253, 261, 263
Dnāneśvar—his works and importance, 93-97, condition of Mahārāstra, 93-7, Dnāneśvarī, 95
Dutch—143, 145-6, 150, 182, 207, 211-12, 216, 220, 242

Eknāth—102-3 English—145, 148, 161, 167-70, 174, 178-80, 207, 213, 221-32, 236 37, 242-44

Fırangıı Narsala—141, 245 Fırıshta—1, 6, 7, 12, 14, 29, 34, 59, 45, 53 French—148, 206, 216, 240, 242

Ghātgés—28, 50-51, 68 Ghorpadé—28, 53-54, 86, Bājī G, 76-77, 210, Mālojī G, 190-91, Santajī G, 193-94, Vyankojī G, 131-2 Ginjī—70-71, 75-79, 85, 186, 192, 194-95, 198, 200, 205, 234, 250, 254, 259, 261 Goa—29, 124, 213, 218-19, 238 241 Hambir Rao Mohité—175, 201. 244, 250 Harpāldev (last successor of Yādavas)—Revolt of, 14-16

Hemādri (Yādava Minister)—8. his chaturvarga-chintāmani, 9, 94

Hindu—reactions to Muslim impact, 90-91, traditions, 182-183

Hindus—of the South, 3-4, sectarianism, 8-9, virile, 19, revolt of, 24-26, importance of, 30-31 , massacre Muslims, 33 , suffer under Muslims, 24-25, of Konkan ac to Ranadé, 28, in Bijāpūr service, 45-50, cultural resistance to Islam, 90 91, taxed, 173

Ibn-1-Batuta—impression of Mahārāstra, 18-19, 23, 33 'Iṣāmy—5, 10, 12-13, 15 Islām (Mu∜lım)—challenge of 1, imperialism, 2, triumph of, 3-4, invited by factions, 8, character of administration, 13, absence of Maratha resistance to, 19, 22, independence of Deccan Muslims, 23 smallness of population, 30, kingdoms in Deccan 41-42, character of rule ac to Gribble, 46-47, ac to Gr Duff, 48-49. ac to Ranadé, 49-50

Jādhavs—28, 50, 54, 56-57, 61-62 121, 173 Jai Singh—151-158, 160, 217 Janābāi--97 Janura (see nura (see Siddis)—124, 207, 213-14 216, 235-38 Jaswant Singh-142, 149, 166 Jāvlı (see Morés)—127-134, 137 *J:hād*—31-32 75 91 121 J:jābā:- 56, 83, 118-119, 121-122, 182 Jiziya (Jaziya)— 164 Junnar-63-69, 162, 166, 247

Kānhotī Jedhé--79, 120, 130-31, 137-38 Kānhopatrā—101 Karnātak—Shāhji ir Westein K,

70, 80, Carnatic, 81, 82, 89, 110, 113, Sivājī in, 122-23, 184, 187, 189, 191, 200-202, 204-205, 235-36, Rājāram, *255, 258*

(Vıśālgad)—Malık-u't-Khelna Tujjār's 34-36, expedition, Md Gāwāns expedition, 38-9

Kheloji Bhoslé—121

ondāna (Simhagad) — Mo Tughlaq's sirge of 20, 78, 79, Kondāna 120, 122-23, Sivājī takes, 126-27, 134, 149, 161-62, 166 Konkan –38, 64, 67, 124, 141, 143, 206, 209-11, 214, 216, 237,

242, 249-50, 259

Lukham Sāvant—143, 210-11 Lukhjī (Jādhav Rāc)—54, 56-7, 61-62, 86, 121, 173

Mahānubhāvas—8, 94 Mahārāstra—[abode of demo cracy of Bhaktās, 101, extent under Yadavas, 4, helps Mus-lims, 12, described by Ibn-1-Batuta, 17-19, revolts in, 20 21, conquests of, 28, cradle of independence, 35, Muslim kingdoms of, 41-42, heart of, 44, 91, mystics, 93]

Maliārāstra-Dharma — meaning of, 92, 109

Mahmūd Gāwān—27, 29, 32, 37-40

Mahmūd Ghazna—3 Māhulı—65, 68-69, 118, 136, 166. Malık Kāfūr—expeditions in alık Kafür—expeditions in Deccan and South, 3, 10-13, Malık condition of the country, 3-4, effects, 12-13

Malık-ut-Tujjār-tragic expedition against Sirkés, 34-36, 41 Marāthā — Ibn-1-Baţuţa cribes, 1819, absence of resistance, 19, 22, tutelage under Muslims, 28, beginning of resistance, 36-37, Marathas in Muslim cervice, 45-50, ruling families, 50-54, raids, 173, 236

Marāthī—court language at Bijāpūr, 45, 93-94. Eknāth on, 102, 104

Marco Polo—9, 33
Mir Jumla—importance of, 81, 201
Mores—28, 50; Jāvlī incident, 127-33, Bakhar, 131
Mudhoi—53, 131-32
Muhammad Tughlaq — 16-23, foundation of Daulatābād, 17, revolt against, 19-23, siege of Simhagad, 20, ineffectual Marāthā resistance to, 19, 22, end of, 23
Mukundarāj—his esoteric teachings (Paramamrta), 94
Mukund Rāo—resistance to 'Anil Shāh, 45
Murār Fājī—153
Murār Jagdev—64-67

Nämadev-97-98, 100 Netājī Pālkar—133, 139, 141. 160 61 Nikitin, Athanasius — Russian traveller in Deccan (1470-74) 40-41 Numbālkars—49-50, 121, 258. 263 Nızamshahi (Ahmednagar) ---Founded. 40-42, flourishes (1565-1626) under Malık 'Ambar and Chānd Bibi, 43, 58 59, disruption of, 44, 55, vicissitudes, 57, Malik 'Ambar's services to, 59, Shāhji's relations with, 59-65

Nādīr Shāh—146

Pandharpūr—92, 95, 99, 110-11 Panhāla—140 41, 173, 186, 222-23, 258, 261 Phond3—210, 220, 239, 242 Poona—66, 79, 89, 115, 119-20, 122, 141, 143, 154, 161, 171, 176, 262 Portuguese—172, 208, 213, 216-220, 232, 235, 237-243 Pratāpṣaq—134, 137-38, 176 Pratāpṛāo Guyar—160, 170, 172, 174-75, 212 261 Purandar—125, 134, 152-54, 159, 162, 166, 209

Qutbshāhı—40-42, ally of Sıvājı, 189-91, 192-93.

Rāigad (Rān1)—126, 129, 131, 134, 176, 178, 230 Rājāpūr (Danda) - 207-8. 214. 222-23, 225-27 Rājārām-234, accession of and situation, 252-54, at Ginji, 254-59, return and death. 259-60 Rājgad—126, 134, 136, 160, 176 Ramchandra Pant Amatya— Adnāpatra of, 125, 253-54, 260-61 Ramdas, 103-109 (Yādava)—col-Rāo Rämdev 'Allā-u'd-Dın, lapse betore

4-7, criticism of, 7-11

Rāsļraudha-vamsa Mahākāvya—

Rudra kavi's history of Bāgulas, 9

Sambhājī—rcturns from Agra, 158, accession, 234, 246, baffling inheritance of, 236, English attitude towards, 237, 244, and the Portuguese, 238-243, character of, 244-45, relations with Sivājī, 245, Aurangzeb's war with, 246-252, death of, 250-52

Sankerdev (Yādava)—6-7, his revolt, 10, 12 Santājī Ghorpadé—253, 258-59, 261-62

Sātārā—176, 260, 262 Sāvtā—100 Senā—101

Shāhn—28, relations with Nimbalkars, 50, ancestors of, 52-54, birth and career, 56-57, at Bhatvadi, 59, relations with M Ambar, 60, ambitions and opportunities, 60 69, crisis in the life of 67-69, 118, enters Bijāpūr service, 69, service and attitude, 71-72, in Karnātak campaigns, 72-76, arrest of, 76-79, attitude towards Sivājī, 79 80, 119-120, loyalty to 'Adilshāh, 82, Tanjore expedition, 83, character as conqueror, 85, intrigues with Nāyaks, 84, 88, letter to 'Āli 'Ādilshāh II, 87,

revolt of, 87, 120, last arrest, 88, assessment of services, 86-89, criticism of, 113-14, indispensable to 'Ādilshāh, 183-85, 197, samādhī of, 198 position in Karnātak, 205
Shā'ista Khān—141-42, 149
Sirkés—35-36, 50
Siddis (Habshis)—136, 206-207
213-16, 229, and Sambhājī, 235-38

Sivājī—28, 52, 54, 56, referied to in Shāhiji's letter to K Jedhé, 79-80, bearing of on Shāhjī, 80, 89, 183-84, his brother Sambhajī's death at Kanakagin, 83, compared with Shāhjī, 86, secret of success, 91-92, 116-117, his contemporary saints, 103, and Rāmdās, 106-109, indebted to Vijayanagar, 111-114, doubtful details, 115, man and his age, 115-116, grand-strategist, 118, influence of Jijābaī, 118-119, 182 influence of Shāhjī, 119-120, of Dādājī, 120-121, coadjutors, 121-122, his opportunities 122-123, geography, 124-125, Māvals, 125, early adventures, 126-127, Jāvli incident, 127-134, importance of Konkan, 134, Aurangzeb and Bijāpūr, 134-135, 140 141, builds naval base at Kalyān, 136, Afzal <u>Kh</u>ān, 136-140, Shā'ista <u>Kh</u>ān, 141-142, Lakham Sā-vant, 143, incredible dexterity vant, 143, incredible dexterty of, 142-143, Surat raids, 141-150, 167-171, and Jai Singh, 151-152, siege of Purandar, 153, peace of P, 154-156, visit to Agrā, 156-158, Rājyābhişeka, 159, 175-182, Sinhagad, 161-162, and Aurangzch, 162-166 162-166, raids Bāglān, Khāndesh, Berar, etc., 170-173, in the Deccan, 173-175, Konkan, 175, his ideals, 181-184, Karnatak campaign, 184 ff, goes to Golkonda, 189-191, conditions in Karnātak,

191-192, Ginji, Vellore etc, 192, struggle with Ekoji, 193-197, treaty with Vyankoji, 197-199 purpose of K campaign, 200 205, importance of sea-coast, 206-209, campaign in Konkan, 210 216, Europeans, 216, Portuguese, 216-220, English, 221-232, the crisis, 233-234, 236, death of, 244, 246
Sivanéri—118
Sri Ranga Rāyal—70, 73-74, 77, 82
Surat—sack of, 144-151, 162, 167, 172-173, 207, 209, 221-

Surat—sack of, 144-151, 162, 167, 172-173, 207, 209, 221-223, 225, 244

Tanājī Malusaré—121, 141, 153, 161-162, 173
Tanjore—Nayaks of, 75, conquest of, 83, condition, 84, 185, 193-194, estates in, 198, linked up with Sivājī, 204-205
Tārā Bāī—264
Tukābāi Mohité—56, 83, 126
Tukārām—103-106

Umiāni—174

Vijayanagar—foundation of, 24-26, wars with Muslim Kingdoms, 31-33, 42-43, disintegiation of, 70-71, betrayed by vassals, 82, 91, inspires Mahārāstra, 110-114, the legacy of, 184, 204-205, 111-113, (Bisnagar), 194, 195
Vyankojī (Ekojī)—birth, 56, conquers Tanjore, 83, 185, 193, fights against Sivājī, 159-160, loyal servant of Bījāpūr, 184, 188, Sivājī's letter to, 185, 186, foreigners on Ekoji's attitude, 186-187, 192-194, treaty with Sivājī, 195-199, character of government in Tanjore, 196-197

Yādava—dominions and titles, 4, collapse before invaders, 4-12